



Clinical Assessment of Short-Term Outcome of Para Aortic Lymphadenectomy in Cases of Cancer Ovary

Bassem A Islam*

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, Egypt

***Corresponding author:** Dr Bassem A Islam Lecturer in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Received:  December 17, 2019

Published:  January 10, 2020

Abstract

There is no uncertainty that total careful organizing is the standard of care for ovarian malignant growth. Lymphadenectomy is a fundamental piece of careful arranging as they have a potential job in both organizing and retroperitoneal debulking. Proof from the writing shows that medical procedure is lacking in 75% of situations when the method is performed by unpracticed specialists. This information reports a 50_100% contrast in 5-year generally endurance. Then again, the wellbeing of the medical procedure is much increasingly significant than its sufficiency in many cases. The careful intercession ought not open the patient to expanded paces of intraoperative difficulties while the specialist is endeavoring endeavors to play out an increasingly broad LND. Since the lymph hubs are found adjoining significant structures including extraordinary vessels and nerves, a few wounds might be seen during LND. The normal execution of lymphadenectomy in ovarian malignant growth patients is yet the subject of debate. In this way, the point of current investigation is to assess the recurrence and nature of employable and perioperative intricacies and to improve careful result identified with para-aortic lymphadenectomy in ovarian malignant growth patients. Patients were selected among those going to the oncology outpatient facility of branch of Obstetrics and Gynecology Ain Shams University The examination included 72 patients; analyzed by clinical assessments, ultrasound of midriff and pelvis and CT of mid-region and pelvis associated these outcomes with tumor marker CA125 level. Patients were admitted to medical clinic and a composed educated assent was marked by every patient with clarification of the administration technique, potential risks and development. Full history taking and Complete research center. Radiological assessment included ultrasound of stomach area and pelvis, CT of midriff and pelvis.

Patients and Methods: All patients (n=36) experienced medical procedure including para aortic LND, 8 patients experienced ripeness safeguarding medical procedure, 12 patients experienced formal arranging medical procedure for suspected beginning time ovarian malignant growth, 10 patients experienced essential debulking surgery and 56 patients experienced interim debulking medical procedure. The perioperative parameters of medical procedure were assessed as far as; employable time, the blood misfortune rate, perioperative blood transfusions, emergency unit and postoperative medical clinic stay, as well as assessment of perioperative morbidities and mortalities. Dismalness information was assessed by; entrail/bladder damage, ureteric damage, vascular damage, discharge, profound venous thrombosis (DVT), aspiratory embolism and wound disease.

Results: The result of para aortic LND was evaluated as far as; number of hubs retrieved, time for analyzation, related blood misfortune and careful intricacy exceptional to PALND. Present examination gives data to help in the structure of future studies and conventions for overseeing ovarian malignancy patients. Despite the fact that our information on perioperative horribleness of medical procedure included PALND for ovarian disease is promising; still exists a requirement for planned comparative preliminaries surveying endurance and personal satisfaction of ovarian disease patients treated with this class of medical procedure.

Conclusion: Surgical treatment of ovarian malignant growth, including para-aortic lymphadenectomy, ought to be performed distinctly at specific establishments as when radical ovarian disease medical procedure is performed at high volume focuses, the paces of precise organizing and ideal cytoreduction are far higher, and the related inconveniences and coming about postoperative bleakness are far lower than when similar medical procedures are performed at low volume focuses. Further huge scaled near investigations with longer follow up period are prescribed to assess the dismalness related with orderly lymphadenectomy in the executives of ovarian malignancy.

Introduction

Ovarian malignant growth (OC) is the seventh reason for disease passing around the world, and the 6th most basic malignant growth in ladies (Siegel et al., 2013). The sickness is frequently analyzed at a propelled stage, and therefore, the long-haul endurance of patients with ovarian disease ranges from 30% to 40% [1]. Metastasis to the Para-aortic lymph hubs (PALN) is the essential course of lymphatic spread in ovarian malignancy, and the high PALN over the second-rate mesenteric conduit (IMA) is as often as possible included site. Another significant course for metastasis is through pathway enters the obturator and iliac hubs [2]. Retroperitoneal lymph hub contribution happens in 4-25% of ladies with early ovarian malignant growth, and 50-80% of ladies with cutting edge ovarian disease [3]. Nodal energy can't be determined dependably either to have imaging or by intraoperative palpation [4], and hence, the best technique accessible for the recognition of nodal association is lymph hub dismemberment (LND) with histopathological assessment [5]. It has likewise been recommended that nodal metastases might be less touchy to fundamental chemotherapy, and therefore lymphadenectomy in patients with nodal metastasis is remedial [6]. Pelvic and para aortic LND is a fundamental piece of ovarian malignant growth organizing [7]. The basic role of LND in beginning time sickness is for organizing and to control resulting treatment. Notwithstanding, the main role in late-arrange infection is to accomplish ideal debulking [8]. Additionally, it has been indicated that lymphadenectomy in ovarian malignant growth patients has been related with more prominent in general 5-year endurance in both early and propelled organize sickness [9]. As indicated by the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) Clinical Practice Guidelines, 30% of the cases at first named introductory stage ovarian malignant growth, were renamed in cutting edge illness after histopathological investigation of the lymph hubs. In patients with beginning time ovarian danger, the para-aortic lymph hubs were sure in about 9% of the cases with testing strategy, and 22% in cases with precise lymphadenectomy [10]. All in all, LND is a possible and well-endured careful system [11]. Notwithstanding, precise lymphadenectomy is troublesome and might be related with critical grimness. Horribleness is especially identified with intricacies that may happen during or after medical procedure and may prompt a more drawn out hospitalization and defer treatment [12]. Because of the danger of confusions identified with this procedure, the standard execution of lymphadenectomy in ovarian malignant growth patients is yet the subject of contention [13].

Aim of the Work

The point of this examination is to assess the result of the methodology of Para-aortic LND through laparotomy in the executives of patients with ovarian carcinoma.

Patients and Methods

Specialized plan

This investigation was an observational partner study did at the Oncology Department at Ain Shams University clinic. 72 patients

experienced Para-aortic LND as a piece of medical procedure for associated early and propelled stages with ovarian malignant growth were remembered for the investigation during the period from August 2016 to June 2019.

Incorporation criteria

- a) Patients experiencing organizing medical procedure for suspected clear beginning period ovarian disease.
- b) Patients experiencing Primary or interim debulking medical procedure for suspected propelled arrange ovarian malignant growth.
- c) Patients were possibility for significant medical procedure.
- d) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) execution status (0-2) (Table 1).

Exclusion criteria

- a) Patients with current malignancies.
- b) History of past carefully and radiologically treated abdominopelvic harm.
- c) BMI >35.

Patients and methods

Histological analysis other than intrusive epithelial ovarian malignant growth.

Withdrawal criteria

- a) Cases analyzed as unresectable propelled infection after investigation what's more, the activity was performed without cytoreduction.
- b) Cases with cutting edge ovarian malignant growth and had remaining tumor after cytoreduction, in these cases Para-aortic analysis was excluded. (Table 1): ECOG Performance Status.

Grade ECOG

Fully dynamic, ready to continue all pre-infection execution without confinement.

- a) Restricted in physically strenuous action however wandering and ready to do work of a light or stationary nature, e.g., beacon work, office work.
- b) Ambulatory and able to do all selfcare however incapable to do any work exercises. Physically functional over half of waking hours.
- c) Capable of just constrained selfcare, bound to bed or seat over half of waking hours.
- d) Completely incapacitated. Can't continue any selfcare. Completely restricted to bed or seat.
- e) Dead [14].

Ethical consideration

A composed educated assent structure was marked by every member with clarification of the administration methodology, potential risks and development.

Operational design

Patients in this examination were exposed to the accompanying.

History

- a) Personal history: Age, living arrangement, occupation and conjugal status.
- b) Menstrual history: Menstrual example (premenopausal or postmenopausal), history of sporadic uterine dying, dysmenorrhea and date of last menstrual period.
- c) Obstetric history: Gravidity and equality.
- d) Present history: Analysis of the fundamental grievance of female going to the outpatient center, with reexamining different side effects and frameworks.
- e) Contraceptive history: Type of the technique, term of use and reason for stopping (whenever ended).
- f) Family history of ovarian, bosom or colorectal malignancy.
- g) Past therapeutic history: medicinal malady, medical procedures and known medication sensitivity.

Clinical Assessment

Counting general, chest, stomach, pelvic and general lymph hubs assessment.

Investigations

- a) Research center: Complete blood picture, glucose level, renal capacity tests (Blood urea and serum creatinine), liver capacity tests, coagulation profile, viral markers and tumor markers (CA125, CA 19-9 and CEA).
- b) Radiological imaging: This is finished by pelvi-stomach US, chest X-beam, pelvi-stomach CT with differentiation and chest CT just when shown.
- c) Upper and lower GIT endoscopy for patients with unusual manifestations or imaging discoveries reminiscent of GIT contribution.
- d) Heart assessment including ECG and ECHO for left ventricular launch division for patients beyond 40 a year old or in a patient with explicit signs or indications of cardiovascular malady.

In the present investigation all patients with suspected ovarian malignant growth experienced beginning assessment began with physical assessment, research facility tests, and imaging. In the present examination Pelvic ultrasound was commonly the principal line imaging study used to portray any adnexal mass and we utilize the accompanying sonographic qualities to be regularly connected with an ovarian danger (Solid segment, Septations, if present, that

are unpredictably thick (>2 to 3mm), Color or power Doppler show of stream in the strong segment, peritoneal masses, developed hubs, or tangled inside). In a critical number of patients, up to 20% had uncertain US discoveries which require further imaging portrayal. In this Situation, MRI was utilized as a critical thinking apparatus.

Serum biomarkers Included in the underlying assessment were (CA)125 with shorts 35U/mL for postmenopausal ladies and 20 to 200 U/mL for premenopausal ladies, CEA, and CA 19-9. In the present investigation CT with oral and intravenous complexity before careful arranging and cytoreduction was utilized to characterize malady degree which at that point illuminate's further administration in regard to reasonableness for essential cytoreductive medical procedure or neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

CT criteria used to anticipate problematic careful result and subsequently alluded patients for neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the present investigation incorporated the accompanying:

- a. Diffuse peritoneal thickening or embeds.
- b. Large omental building up.
- c. Diffuse upper stomach metastasis (tumor stores in the porta hepatis, diaphragmatic stores, ailment in the intersegmental crevice of the liver, lesser sac, little gut mesentery and gastrosplenic tendon and parenchymal hepatic sickness).
- d. Pelvic sidewall association.
- e. Extra stomach metastasis.
- f. Massive ascites and pleural emission.

In the present examination Image guided omental biopsy was done under US direction in all patients with cutting edge OC regarded unsatisfactory for medical procedure clinically or dependent on imaging requires histological affirmation of ovarian threat before initiation of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. In the present investigation all Patients with suspected clear beginning time ovarian malignant growth dependent on clinical, research facility and preoperative imaging thinks about were exposed to formal arranging techniques including hysterectomy, reciprocal salpingo-oophorectomy, peritoneal (washing, irregular peritoneal biopsies, appendectomy with likely mucinous tumors and at any rate infracolic omentectomy) and retroperitoneal(pelvic-and Para-aortic-LND)organizing.

Ladies matured 40 years or more youthful, who were eager to protect their potential childbearing, were enlisted for fruitfulness saving medical procedure (essentially comprising in full careful organizing with conservation of the uterus and in any event one cylinder and the contra-horizontal ovary). Patients with cutting edge organize ovarian malignant growth and not met the criteria used to anticipate imperfect cytoreduction were exposed to PDS pursued by adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients with cutting edge organize ovarian disease and met the criteria used to foresee problematic cytoreduction were oppressed ±3 courses of neoadjuvant chemotherapy then IDS following (2 a month) trailed by postoperative ±3 courses of adjuvant chemotherapy.

In the present examination, patients with cutting edge arrange ovarian malignant growth and were analyzed as unresectable propelled malady after investigation (I.e., patients with thick and vascularized grips, Extensive upper stomach illness or potentially Multiple spread knobs of carcinomatosis at the peritoneum surface or the outside of little and enormous gut) experienced careful investigation with tumor, peritoneal, or omental biopsy to affirm the analysis and were pulled back from the examination. Standard techniques of PDS or IDS comprise of absolute stomach hysterectomy, BSO, omentectomy (in any event infra-colic part) and complete debulking of metastatic tumors. Non-standard systems incorporate a solitary organ resection e.g., (small digestive system, colon, spleen) in the best approach to accomplish a total cytoreduction. Pelvic and para aortic LND was done just when complete cytoreduction was accomplished and discarded in patients with leftover sickness.

Careful Administration

Groundwork for medical procedure

Preoperative inside readiness: At least for 24 hours before medical procedure using:

- a. Liquid and low buildup diet.
- b. Intestinal disinfectant, for example, oral neomycin ± metronidazole.
- c. Laxative, for example, oral lactulose syrup.
- d. Repeated bowel purges.

Thromboprophylaxis

As 40-60mg Enoxaparin (Clexane) is allowed 6-12 hours preoperatively as SC infusions, at that point like clockwork for at any rate 7-10 days.

Perioperative anti-infection prophylaxis

As 2 grams cefotaxime (ceftriaxone vial) and metronidazole infusion (flagyl trickle) inside 2 hours before medical procedure, intraoperative rehashed portion might be given particularly in systems that most recent a few hours. Postoperative anti-infection agents were given in IV course for the primary 48h postoperative then patients proceeded on oral routine for 7 days.

- i. Preparation of perfect blood.
- ii. Intensive consideration unit (ICU) accessibility.
- iii. Anesthesiologist assessment.
- iv. Patient assent.

Careful systems

- a) Abdominal passage through a midline vertical entry point in the skin from the umbilicus to the pubic bone, at that point stretched out superiorly past the umbilicus to pick up adequate presentation to the upper mid-region.
- b) Peritoneal wash/ascitic liquid drainage for cytology.

- c) Thorough investigation of the stomach and pelvic holes, examination and palpation of every single peritoneal surface.
- d) Total hysterectomy and two-sided salpingo-oophrectomy.
- e) Omentectomy, in any event infracolic omentectomy was finished.

Pelvic and para-aortic LND (a standard advance in careful arranging of clear beginning period and in patients with cutting edge sickness accomplished ideal cytoreduction). It was accomplished for all patients.

Para-aortic LND was done from the left renal vein cranially to the midpoint of the normal iliac vessels caudally and along the side to the psoas muscle and the ureters, permitted full perception of adventitia of IVC, aorta and left renal vessels, psoas muscles and sacrum. In the present study the procedure used to access the retroperitoneum for Para-aortic LND was as follow. The entrail was tenderly uprooted until the base of the mesentery was uncovered. An entry point was made in the peritoneum over the correct normal iliac supply route and was stretched out cephalad along the aorta to the degree of the duodenum. Dissection was done inside the areolar plane between the lymph hubs and the peritoneum. Para-aortic LND was then performed with the utilization of either chilly scissors or electrocautery and with a De Bakey forceps. The dismemberment was started over the aorta and continued in an average to sidelong evacuating all the fibro-greasy tissue with the lymph hubs till skeletonization of the aorta and IVC. Dainty pedicles are made before transection so that puncturing veins could be recognized. Infrarenal hubs: on the left side, the plane of dismemberment is over the foremost surface of the aorta. Presentation was encouraged by analyzing the areolar tissue underneath the duodenum and afterward delicately withdrawing it cephalad. The ureter was withdrawn along the side by the partner. The left nodal pack is transected close to the degree of the left renal vein.

On the correct side, analyzation was conveyed up to the level between the ovarian vein and the privilege renal vein. The privilege renal vein was frequently not pictured.

- a) Appendectomy (for mucinous histology).
- b) Maximal debulking of any metastatic tumors.
- c) Intraperitoneal channel addition.
- d) Wound conclusion: the stomach divider cut is shut with a mass conclusion strategy utilizing monofilament postponed absorbable suture (PDS circle number 1). Skin shut with careful stapler after addition of subcutaneous vacuum channel.

All examples expelled were stamped and sent for histopathological assessment for affirmation of conclusion, reviewing, and lymph hub.

Information extraction

Illustrative information of the present investigation:

- A. Patient attributes.

B. Tumor attributes.

C. Surgery results

1. Surgeries.

2. Assessment of careful result: total cytoreductive medical procedure in cutting edge ovarian malignancy characterizes just like no naturally visible lingering ailment by any means.

3. Assessment of perioperative parameters: Total Operative time, para-aortic LND time, the complete usable blood misfortune, blood misfortune identified with para-aortic LND, intra and postoperative blood transfusion, ICU affirmation, Period of postoperative ileus and postoperative emergency clinic remain.

Evaluated intraoperative blood misfortune depended on employable and anestheologist's notes. The doused towel implies around 150 cc blood misfortune and the drenched wipe implies 5cc. notwithstanding the sum in the suction compartment in the wake of subtracting the measure of ascitic liquid or liquid utilized for washing. Time of postoperative ileus was estimated from recuperation of anesthesia till first go of flatus. Postoperative emergency clinic remain Patients was released when they were sans fever, walking, have no useful weakness of the bladder, they can endure a delicate eating routine and their agony is gentle and can be assuaged by oral medicine alone. Postoperative medical clinic remain was characterized as the quantity of days in the emergency clinic from the main postoperative day.

4. Assessment of perioperative morbidities and mortalities: Bleakness information assessed by; entrail/bladder damage, ureteric damage, vascular damage, drain, relaparotomy, profound venous thrombosis (DVT), aspiratory embolism, lower appendages lymphedema, symptomatic lymphocele, fistula and wound recuperating issues.

Information the board

PC utilizing Statistical Package of Social Services adaptation 22 broke down the gathered information (SPSS) (IBM., 2015), Data was spoken to in tables and charts as mean + standard deviation, middle and range for quantitative factors and as number and rate for subjective factors.

Results

This examination was directed at the Department of Oncology Ain Shams University emergency clinic and planned to assess the exhibition of para aortic LND through laparotomy in the board of ovarian malignant growth. At first, 82 Patients experienced medical procedure for associated early and propelled stages with ovarian malignant growth were remembered for this examination. 72 patients experienced Para-aortic LND as a piece of medical procedure and 10 cases were pulled back from the outcomes, (Para-aortic LND was excluded).

Table 1 show that the scope of age was (22-75) years. The most elevated recurrence was seen in the age class between 50-< 60 years (47.2%). In the present examination, 22 patients (30.6%) of the contemplated gathering were premenopausal, 50 patients

(69.4%) were postmenopausal. As respects the equality, 2 patients (5.6%) were nullipara, 34 patients (94.4%) were multipara. most of the patients remembered for the examination (63.8%) had ECOG execution status 1as appeared in (Table 1). The most incessant indications among patients in this investigation were gastro-intestinal side effects and stomach extension (77.8% of cases)trailed by stomach/pelvic/back torment (half 0f cases), weight reduction and exhaustion (22.2% of cases), stomach mass (63.9% of cases) and urinary side effects (11.1% of cases). Practically all patients were giving more than one indication. Most patients (61.1%) are analyzed following a half year of indications. 54 patients(75% of cases) had CA125 > 500U/ml. CA125 level ran from (212 -10180U/ml and the middle was 775U/ml as appeared in (Table 2).Tumor attributes: After careful assessment, 58patients (80.6%) had FIGO organize III-IV ovarian malignant growth and 14 patients (19.4%) had FIGO arrange I-II as appeared in (Table 3). One patient was upstaged after proper organizing of 8 cases with clear beginning period ovarian malignant growth.

Table 1: Demographic data of the studied group.

Age (y)	Mean \pm SD (N)	Range (%)
Age (y)	48.9 \pm 11.9	22-75
\emptyset <40	4	5.6
\emptyset 50-<60	26	36.1
\emptyset >60	34	47.2
	8	11.1
Menopausal state	(N)	(%)
Parity	(N)	(%)
\emptyset nulli -para		
\emptyset multi-para	2	5.6
\cdot < 3 deliveries	9	25
\cdot > 3 deliveries	25	69.4
Performance status	(N)	(%)
0	8	11.1
1	46	63.8
2	18	25

Table 2: Clinical data of studied group.

Presenting symptoms	(N)	(%)
Asymptomatic (accidentally discovered)	16	22.2
Pain (abdominal- pelvic-back)	36	50
Abdominal mass	46	63.9
Duration of symptoms before diagnosis	(N)	(%)
> 6m	25	38.9
Equal or < 6m	44	61.1
Weight loss	16	22.2
Pre-treatment CA-125U/ml	(N)	(%)
Equal or <500	18	25
>500	54	75

Table 3: Tumor characteristics of studied group.

FIGO stage	(N)	(%)
Stage I-II	14	19.4
Stage III-IV	58	80.6
Histo-pathological type	(N)	(%)
Serous	56	63.9
Mucinous	12	16.7
Clear	6	8.3
Endometrial	2	2.7
Others (undifferentiated anaplastic)	6	8.3
Histological grade	(N)	(%)
G3	28	38.9
G2	42	58.3
G1	2	2.8
Pelvic and par aortic lymph node metastasis	Apparent early stage N=16	Advanced stage N=56
No metastasis	14(87.5%)	38(67.9%)
With metastasis	2(12.5%)	18(32.1%)
Pelvic	--	8(14.3%)
Para-aortic (PA)	--	4(7.1%)
Pelvic & PA	2(12.5%)	6(10.7%)

With respect to obsessive kinds, 46 patients (63.9 of cases) were analyzed as serous adenocarcinoma which is the most widely recognized sort of epithelial ovarian carcinoma. The vast majority of the patients (58.3%) in the present investigation had reasonably separated tumor (G2) and one of them was (G1). The scope of recovered RT and LT pelvic lymph hubs was (9-17) with middle (11) hubs, the scope of recovered PA lymph hubs was (5-11) with middle (8) hubs, (Table 3) shows that 2 patient (12.5%) of 16 patients with clear beginning period ovarian malignant growth was upstaged to cutting edge organize after careful arranging which uncovered pelvic and PA nodal metastasis. 18 patients (32.1%) of 56 patients with cutting edge arrange ovarian disease had pelvic and Para-aortic nodal metastasis, 8 patients (14.3%) with just positive pelvic hubs, 4 patients (7.1%) with just positive Para-aortic hubs and 6 patients (10.7%) had both pelvic and Para-aortic nodal metastasis (Table 3). In the present examination, Pelvic lymphadenectomy (pelvic LND) and para-aortic lymphadenectomy (para-aortic LND) were performed to all patients (100% of cases) all out stomach hysterectomy and reciprocal salpingo-oophorectomy (TAH+BSO) were performed for 34 patients (94.4%), two patients (5.6%) had one-sided adnexectomy as a piece of richness conservation medical procedure, infra-colic omentectomy was performed in 32 patients (88.9), four patients (11.1% of cases) had likewise supra-colic omentectomy, 3 patients (8.3% of cases) had inside resection and essential anastomosis, five patients (13.8) had incomplete peritoneal resections, in conclusion, appendectomy was performed

in 10 cases (27.8%). as appeared in (Table 4). Table 5 shows that the entire activity time from skin entry point to skin conclusion was inside a range (120-230 minutes) and the scope of entire blood misfortune was 180-600ml. The interim of PALND was around 65min, and the middle volume of blood misfortune related with PALND was roughly 105ml. 16 patients (22.2%) of cases got intra and post-usable blood transfusion, the scope of clinic remain for all patients was (7-14 days). Just 12 patients (16.6%) were admitted to ICU.

Table 4: Surgical procedures.

Surgical procedure	(N)	(%)
TAH	34	94.4
BSO	34	94.4
Infarcolic omentectomy	32	88.9
Total omentectomy	4	11.1
Unilateral adnexectomy	2	5.6
Appendectomy	10	27.8
Bowel resection	3	8.3
Partial Peritoneal resection	5	13.8
Pelvic LND	36	100
Para-aortic LND	36	100

Table 5: Peri- operative parameters of whole surgery and of Para-aortic lymphadenectomy.

	Total surgery	PALND
Operation Time (min)		
Mean \pm SD	183.7 \pm 40.7	66.1 \pm 13.7
Range	(120-230)	(45-80)
Blood loss (ml)		
Median	434	105
Range	180-600	80-190
Peri-operative parameters	(N)	(%)
	(Mean \pm SD)	(Range)
Blood transfusion	8	22.2
ICU	6	16.6
Hospital stay (d)	7.8 \pm 4.8	14-Apr

Table 6 shows that there was no treatment-related passing. Neither gut, bladder damage nor drain had happened during medical procedure. 2 patient (2.7% of cases) had ureteric damage and 6 patients (8.3%) had vascular damage during medical procedure. 6 patients (8.3%) had lower appendage lymphatic edema, 6 patients (8.3%) had crippled ileus and 8 patients (11.1%) had postoperative injury contamination. No patient had profound venous thrombosis (DVT) pneumonic embolism, fistula or symptomatic lymphocele.

Table 6: Peri-operative morbidities and mortality.

	(N)	(%)
Treatment related deaths	0	0
Morbidity	-	-
Bowel injury	0	0
Bladder injury	0	0
Ureteric injury	1	2.7
Vascular injury	3	8.3
DVT	0	0
Pulmonary embolism	0	0
Fistula	0	0
Ileus	3	8.3
Symptomatic Lymphocele	0	0
Wound infection	4	11.1
LL lymphedema	3	8.3
Intraoperative Hge.	0	0

Discussion

Numerous specialists revealed that the rate pace of para-aortic lymph hub metastasis in beginning time ovarian malignant growth extended from 4.3% to 8.6% [15] In a manner to keep away from para-aortic lymphadenectomy in early ovarian malignancy. The choice to perform lymphadenectomy should consider the histological kind, the level of separation, and the CA-125 level at the hour of conclusion [9]. The present examination researched the pervasiveness of LN inclusion in a little arrangement of beginning time epithelial ovarian disease experiencing retroperitoneal organizing (N=16), we watched a relative high predominance of LN contribution in beginning period epithelial ovarian malignant growth, representing about 12.5%, These discoveries are steady with the information of different investigations announcing that up to 30% of early ovarian malignancy patients have positive lymph hubs [16,17].

In Ovarian malignant growth, most of the patients influenced are determined to have propelled phase of ailment (organize III and IV as indicated by FIGO arranging framework). (In our study, 56 patients (77%) determined to have propelled organize ovarian malignant growth of each of the 72 ovarian disease patients), In those patients, a lymph hub dismemberment is less helpful prognostically and to manage treatment choices than for the patient determined to have malady limited to the ovaries. However, it is as yet imperative to evacuate suspicious or horribly extended hubs in patients with cutting edge sickness experiencing tumor debulking with the objective of ideal cytoreductive medical procedure [18].

The restorative job of lymphadenectomy in patients with cutting edge ovarian disease is the subject of different clinical investigations. Evaluation of its noteworthiness is troublesome as the nearness of different variables may influence the visualization in this gathering of patients. Moreover, a few specialists stress the way that, because of the broad idea of the technique, the danger of confusions is high, and the choice to execute lymphadenectomy ought to be gone out on a limb in the wake of weighing both the

danger of entanglements and conceivable advantages' Boas et al indicated that in patients with no leftover ailment, lymphadenectomy expanded middle endurance. For patients with little remaining ailment, lymphadenectomy had no huge effect [19]. All the more as of late in 2016, a meta-examination of 14 investigations including 3488 patients affirmed improved by and large endurance rate in patients experiencing precise lymphadenectomy even with residua tumors accomplishing 2cm [20]. The recently referenced examinations still needed level-one evidence with respect to the job of lymphadenectomy in cutting edge arrange. Then again, Abe et al, revealed that efficient lymphadenectomy in cutting edge ovarian malignant growth doesn't appear to be useful [3]. Moreover, the aftereffects of the LION study were first exhibited at ASCO 2017, This preliminary indicated that normal pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy in patients after naturally visible complete tumor resection and with clinically negative lymph hubs couldn't improve malady free or generally endurance. In any case, after production of consequences of LION study, numerous creators begin to pose the inquiry, Is LION study is sufficiently amazing to move the act of methodical lymphadenectomy in cutting edge phase of ovarian malignant growth? However, the suggestions concerning lymphadenectomy in cutting edge phase of ovarian malignant growth remains debatable. In the present investigation and dependent on the above information, it was the polof the careful group to perform routine broad LND in every single propelled case just when complete cytoreductive medical procedure was accomplished and to be discarded in patients with leftover illness. After distribution of the aftereffects of the LION study after consummation of cases remembered for this examination, we began to talk about training movement to resection of cumbersome hubs after plainly visible complete tumor resection in patients with cutting edge ovarian cancer. Women with cutting edge infection in our territory keep on accepting problematic careful care.

We make the honorable stride of institutionalizing the careful way to deal with ladies with cutting edge ovarian concentrating on a multidisciplinary way to deal with malady the executives that breezes through recognizing the basic commitment of the specialist at each progression in the sickness procedure, unmistakably sketching out and formalizing proposals for this illness, just as perceiving the estimation of all suppliers with the accessible assets in thinking about ladies with cutting edge ailment. this multidisciplinary approach permits advancement of the treatment procedure, considering patients' attributes (age, execution/healthful status, comorbidities, practical status) and tumor dispersion (assessed pre-and intraoperatively). Likewise, this treatment methodology attempt to adjust the need to maximally draw out the movement free interim and limit treatment-related morbidities. In the manner to accomplish this system, the treatment idea of IDS has been presented as the neoadjuvant chemotherapy is by all accounts noninferior to forthright medical procedure [21] while adjuvant chemotherapy is related with improved generally speaking and movement free endurance [22]. In this elective administration approach the underlying treatment in some progressed ovarian carcinoma patients ordinarily comprises of 3 courses of chemotherapy pursued by cytoreductive medical

procedure and extra three courses of postoperative chemotherapy. It turns into an elective treatment for patients with low execution status and those with obviously unresectable tumors. Proposed focal points of IDS incorporate an expanded pace of ideal cytoreduction, less broad medical procedure, diminished blood misfortune, lower dreariness, abbreviated emergency clinic remain and improved personal satisfaction [23]. In this investigation, 46 patients of every one of the 56 patients with cutting edge organize ovarian malignancy met the CT criteria used to foresee problematic cytoreductive medical procedure that incorporate (peritoneal carcinomatosis, pelvic sidewall penetration, and broad upper stomach illness over stomach, liver, porta hepatis, mesentery, and inside), these patients got platinum based convention as neoadjuvant chemotherapy after austere cytology goal with cell square as well as histology of percutaneous picture guided biopsy (genuine cut) trailed by IDS whatever their response for ovarian carcinoma, there is discussion about the degree of analyzation (complete lymphadenectomy versus lymph hub examining) and the anatomic degree of dismemberment that is required (i.e., pelvic with or without paraaortic hubs), (i.e., Complete PAL (incorporates infrarenal and suprarenal up to the coeliac trunk to midpoint of basic iliac vessels), Infrarenal PAL (as above, yet does exclude suprarenal dismemberment) and IMA-PAL (as above, however does exclude dismemberment above IMA). Selective or arbitrary inspecting of hubs from the pelvis or potentially the paraaortic district has been depicted and is less exhaustive or complete contrasted and a lymph hub dismemberment (lymphadenectomy).

On the off chance that particular hub testing is performed, hubs are normally envisioned and palpated and examined in the event that they are suspicious for metastatic sickness (Eg, augmented to >1cm, adjusted rather than oval, hard, self-destruct when dissected). But, lymph hub metastases are available in non-massive hubs and have a breadth estimating exceptionally close to 2mm, so palpation of lymph hub metastasis is anything but a sheltered assessment technique [24]. Moreover, all accessible radiological systems, for example, ultrasound, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Computer Tomography or Positron Emission Tomography are likewise unfit to recognize lymph hub metastasis correctly [25]. In view of these information, Selective or irregular inspecting is by and large of little incentive aside from when executed as a component of a conventional sentinel hub distinguishing proof method and evaluation of paraaortic hubs ought to be through finished dismemberment. Lymphatic seepage from the pelvic viscera may continue in a stepwise manner from the pelvic to the lower and afterward upper aortic lymph hubs; nonetheless, lymphatic channels from the ovaries, fallopian cylinders, and uterus may likewise deplete legitimately into the lower and upper paraaortic nodes.

The lymphatic waste from pelvic viscera to the paraaortic hubs is mind boggling and includes both ipsilateral and contralateral associations notwithstanding direct lymphatic channels that may sidestep the pelvic waste bowl. Also, ovarian lymphatics can deplete legitimately to the paraaortic hubs over the degree of the mediocre mesenteric supply route. In light of the above information, In the present investigation LND included both the pelvic and aortic

lymph hubs up to degree of renal vessels, which is in concurrence with distributed arrangement [26]. In this examination, 2 patient (12.5%) of 16 patients with clear beginning period ovarian disease was upstaged to cutting edge organize after careful arranging which uncovered pelvic and PA nodal metastasis. 18 patients (32.1%) of 56 patients with cutting edge organize ovarian malignancy had pelvic and para-aortic nodal metastasis, 8 patients (14.3%) with just positive pelvic hubs, 4 patients (7.1%) with just positive para-aortic hubs and 6 patients (10.7%) had both pelvic and para-aortic nodal metastasis. As per a novel careful order framework prescribed by Pomel et for para-aortic hub evaluation [26], in the present investigation the method applied in basically all cases experienced paraaortic hub appraisal was broad para-aortic hub inspecting (incorporates para-aortic regions, that permit full representation of adventicia of aorta, IVC, renal vessels, psoas muscles and sacrum). All patients experienced exploratory laparotomy with a midline vertical entry point reaching out from the symphysis pubis to simply over the umbilicus or the xiphisternum. Lymphadenectomy was done after cytology, finishing of all out-stomach hysterectomy, respective salpingoopherectomy and omentectomy. Deliberate LND (pelvic and paraaortic) was performed after introduction of the retroperitoneal space beginning caudally from the iliac vessels at that point heading cranially skeletonizing the sub-par vena cava then the aorta and expelling all the fibro-greasy tissue with the lymph hubs till skeletonization of the vessels. We utilized either cool scissors or electrocautery during LND. Bilateral pelvic LND included outside iliac lymphadenectomy pursued by presentation of the obturator fossa up to the degree of bifurcation of the regular iliac vessels.

The PALND included dismemberment of the lymphatics from the common iliac vessels up to the degree of left renal vein crossing the aorta to drain into the second-rate vena cava and along the side to the psoas muscle and the ureters. It is expressed that a radical pelvic lymphadenectomy should yield in any event 9 to 27 hubs and a para-aortic lymphadenectomy somewhere in the range of five and 12 hubs [15]. Our examination demonstrated that a scope of (9-17) hubs gathered from the pelvic territory and (5-11) hubs from the para-aortic area, this relative more modest number of hubs because the method applied was testing instead of complete efficient dismemberment. Careful inconveniences in ladies experiencing a pelvic and paraaortic lymph hub analyzation are multifactorial and normally identified with the patient age, existing patient comorbidities, careful methodology, usable term, and simultaneous surgeries [27,28]. pelvic and para-aortic LND is testing and may be related with high danger of both intraoperative and postoperative occasions, including the event of extreme difficulties and sequelae, in this way affecting on patients personal satisfaction and possibly on oncologic results (because of the postpone arranged chemotherapy, when required) [29]. Because of the danger of difficulties identified with this system, the normal execution of lymphadenectomy in ovarian malignant growth patients is as yet the subject of debate. Along these lines, the present investigation planned to assess the recurrence and nature of intraoperative and postoperative entanglements in ovarian malignant growth patients treated with medical procedure including para aortic LND.

Intraoperative complexities: Although we have begun uniquely in the ongoing years to rehearse along these lines of para-aortic nodal evaluation in type of complete LND, just scarcely any intraoperative intricacies happened in direct connection to the paraaortic lymphadenectomy. The aftereffects of the present investigation indicated that the most regular intraoperative entanglements were vascular damage in 6 patients and urinary framework damage in 2 patients. The occurrence of significant organ and vessel damage identified with lymphadenectomy is low [30]. In the present examination and during the absolute first technique performed, one patient had IVC little tear quickly fixed by 5/0 vicryl and two patients had mediocre mesenteric vascular damage promptly cinched and ligated. The analyzation of the fat retroperitoneal tissue that contains the lumbar-aortic lymphatic ways is generally simple since it exists a decent dismemberment plane regarding vascular adventitia. Clear presentation and cautious analyzation of the retroperitoneal vessels, information on the probability of some vascular inconsistencies, particularly venous, using basic methods of vascular suture and guaranteeing the presence of 4-0 or 5-0 strings add to bring down fundamentally the difficulties chance and their simple and quick tackling on the off chance that they show up. In the present investigation 2 patients had ureteric damage happened during left para aortic LND, analyzed intraoperative and quickly fixed utilizing 5/0 polyglactin sutures over a stent, at that point evacuated by a cystoscope 2 weeks postoperatively. All iatrogenic wounds were constrained by the careful group with no help from different specialists from other related controls aside from two instance of IVC damage when a vascular specialist joined for consultation. In the present examination 4 patients (5.5%) had ripeness safeguarding medical procedure, 12 patients (16.7%) experienced formal careful organizing in assumed beginning period ovarian disease, 10 patients (13.9%) had PDS and 46 patients (64%) had IDS.

This makes wide varieties in the all-out usable time, the all-out blood misfortune and the requirement for intra and postoperative blood transfusion, yet, we consider the major surgery performed in this examination was interim debulking medical procedure. In this manner the outcomes will be contrasted and IDS of other trials. In present examination, absolute activity time run was (120-230 minutes) which was in a range with information of past preliminaries. Efficient survey of fifteen preliminaries gave an account of activity time found that general activity time ran from 95 to 276 minutes and the patients of NACT arms invested shorter energy in medical procedure (10-65 minutes) not as much as patients of essential medical procedure arms, this was frequently not measurably noteworthy. This could maybe be clarified by the littler volume of tumor that would be respected and the lesser degree of careful resections required to get ideal lingering ailment status [31]. The term of para-aortic lymphadenectomy is pretty much than 60 minutes (mean 66.1 ± 13.7 and extend 45-80m) and the middle volume of blood misfortune was (105ml).

In an examination distributed in 2016, Comparing the dreariness between patients in the Inframesenteric para aortic dismemberment (IMLN) and infrarenal paraaortic analyzation (IR-

LND) gatherings, the middle volume of blood misfortune in IR-LND was 100ml [32]. The consequences of our examination are halfway in concurrence with aftereffects of the huge randomized LION Lymphadenectomy In Ovarian Neoplasms Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup preliminary, Lymphadenectomy, contrasted and no lymphadenectomy, required an extra hour of medical procedure (mean time: 340 versus 280min; $p < 0.001$), brought about 150ml more noteworthy blood misfortune (middle volume: 650 versus 500mL; $p < 0.001$). additionally, the aftereffects of our examination are halfway less or in concurrence with those of an investigation by Panici et al. One of the points of the investigation by Panici et al was the evaluation of perioperative complexities.

The specialists affirmed that the middle time of medical procedure was longer by around 90min in patients experiencing lymphadenectomy, and the middle volume of blood misfortune was higher by roughly 350 ml, when contrasted with the gathering with no lymphadenectomy [33]. In present examination, 8 patients (22.2%) got intra and postoperative blood transfusion, the scope of medical clinic remain for all patients was (7-14) days. These results are good with that revealed in the writing. Four preliminaries revealed that blood transfusion was required in (18-57%) of patients with measurably huge contrast among PDS and IDS in just two preliminaries [34]. Admission to ICU speaks to a critical expense to the social insurance framework [35]. Patients with cutting edge arrange ovarian malignant growth frequently require ICU-level of care. More seasoned age, more noteworthy ailment weight and increasingly extreme medicinal comorbidities have all been referred to as components inclining ovarian malignancy patients to ICU affirmation at the hour of medical procedure [29]. In the present examination the pace of postoperative ICU affirmation was generally low (16.6%). This might be clarified by diminished employable time, decreased intraoperative blood misfortune, exclusion of poor careful candidates and IDS in patients with extraordinary sickness trouble. most of the patients have been conceded for observing hardly any hours after medical procedure.

Pepin, et al, announced that 7% of patients experiencing debulking medical procedure required ICU confirmation [36]. This rate is on the lower end of what has been recently detailed in different examinations, with ICU confirmation in this patient populace answered to run somewhere in the range of 6% and 39 [37]. In present examination, the postoperative medical clinic stay was in arrange of (7-14) days). Thus, past preliminaries report a scope of (4-12 days) emergency clinic remain in patients got IDS contrasted with a scope of (5-20 days) for patients with PDS [38].

Postoperative Complications

All patients were followed up for 3 weeks after medical procedure, patients encouraged to be followed up at Gynecology center each Wednesday in the wake of being released for 3 weeks after medical procedure. There were no postsurgical treatment-related passings. In the present examination, the most regular postoperative confusions were ileus (8.3%), LL lymphatic edema (8.3%) and significant injury recuperating complexities (11.1%). These confusions settled with suitable administration

inside about fourteen days. A large portion of our patients had the option to restart their chemotherapy inside 3 weeks of medical procedure and without a higher danger of hematologic or irresistible inconveniences. No patient had drain, relaparotomy, profound venous thrombosis (DVT), aspiratory embolism, fistula or symptomatic lymphocele. The frequency of postoperative ileus was 8.3%, however practically every one of the patients were restored inside seven days without careful treatment.

Lymphedema is the most widely recognized intricacy of pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy, announced in 1.5 to 28 percent of patients. This is likely lower than the real frequency, since this intricacy is probably going to be underreported in review reports [39]. In the present investigation 6 patients (8.3%) had lower appendage lymphedema and introduction was by and large very factor. A few patients just notice some expanded lower leg growing, others saw edema reached out from the feet to the stomach divider. Another potential entanglement identified with nodal dismemberment is lymphocele. In a forthcoming investigation of 800 ladies who experienced performance pelvic or potentially paraaortic lymphadenectomy for gynecologic malignancy, the pace of lymphocele was 20 percent, and the pace of symptomatic lymphocele was 6 percent [40] In the present examination no case gave symptomatic lymphocele, asymptomatic lymphocele may pass unnoticed as normal imaging postoperative not done.

At long last, the principle impediments of this examination were the little example size, nonappearance of coordinated near gathering treated without lymphadenectomy, the brief time of follow up which isn't sufficient to remark on tolerant endurance and the all-out lymph hub check recovered was generally little. This may bring about the underestimation of the occurrence of nodal metastasis in our study or underestimation of perioperative result. Then again, in the present investigation all precise lymphadenectomies were performed in a solitary emergency clinic by one group that utilized the equivalent careful strategy to avoid the predisposition of the potential impact of various methodologies of lymph hubs' gather and its complexities. Additionally, the lymph hubs were analyzed by a similar pathology group. We trust that Present examination gives data to help in the structure of future investigations and conventions for overseeing ovarian malignancy.

Conclusion

This examination demonstrated that ovarian malignant growth patients may securely experience far reaching organizing including broad para-aortic lymph hub dismemberment in open medical procedures without huge perioperative dreariness, whenever gave via prepared gynae oncologists. In any case, efficient lymphadenectomy is related with an expanded working time, blood misfortune, blood transfusions, emergency clinic remain and a higher rate of postoperative difficulties. Such information is with regards to the consequences of every single randomized examination in ahead of schedule or progressed ovarian malignant growth [41].

Likewise, bleakness related with deliberate lymphadenectomy through laparotomy in ovarian malignant growth patients particularly while performing fastidious analyzation around the

incredible vessels up to the renal vessels ought not be viewed as the factor that pushes the specialist to preclude lymph hub dismemberment or move to lymph hub inspecting. However, the choice to continue or not for a methodical lymphadenectomy ought to be exclusively founded on oncological premise.

References

- Hunn J, Rodriguez GC (2012) Ovarian Cancer: Etiology, Risk Factors, And Epidemiology. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 55:3-23.
- Kimmig R, Buderath P, Mach P, Rusch P, Aktas B (2017) Surgical treatment of early ovarian cancer with compartmental resection of regional lymphatic network and indocyanine-green-guided targeted compartmental lymphadenectomy (TCL, paraaortic part). *J Gynecol Oncol* 28(3):e41.
- Abe A, Furumoto H, Irahara M (2010) The impact of systematic para-aortic and pelvic lymphadenectomy on survival in patients with optimally debulked ovarian cancer. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 36:1023-1030.
- Harter P, Gnauer K, Hils R, Lehmann TG, Fisseler-Eckhoff A, et al. (2007) Pattern and clinical predictors of lymph node metastases in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 17:1238-1244.
- Goff BA, Mandel LS, Melancon CH, Muntz HG (2004) Frequency of symptoms of ovarian cancer in women presenting to primary care clinics. *JAMA* 291:2705.
- Angioli R, Plotti F, Palaia I (2008) Update on lymphadenectomy in early and advanced ovarian cancer. *Obstet Gynecol* 20:34-39.
- Prat J (2004) *Pathology of the Ovary*. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Mikami M (2014) Role of lymphadenectomy for ovarian cancer. *J Gynecol Oncol* 25:279-281.
- Zhou J, Shan G, Chen Y (2016) The Effect of Lymphadenectomy on Survival and Recurrence in Patients with Ovarian Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta- Analysis. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 46(48):718-726.
- Lederhann JA, Raja FA, Fotopoulou C, Gonzalez-Martin A, Colombo N, et al. (2013) Newly diagnosed and relapsed epithelial ovarian carcinoma ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol Suppl* 6: vi24-vi32.
- Larson DM, Johnson K, Olson KA (2011) Pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy for surgical staging of endometrial cancer: morbidity and mortality. *Obstet Gynecol* 79: 998-1001.
- Warwick J, Vardaki E, Fattizzi N, et al. (2009) Defining the surgical management of suspected early-stage ovarian cancer by estimating patient numbers through alternative management strategies. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 116: 1225-1241.
- Camara O, and Sehouli J (2009) Controversies in the management of ovarian cancer-pros and cons for lymph node dissection in ovarian cancer. *Anticancer Res* 29: 2837-2843.
- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton (1982) Toxicity and Response Criteria Of The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Am J Clin Oncol* 5: 649-655.
- Nomura H, Tsuda H, Susumu N, Fujii T, Banno K, et al. (2010) Lymph node metastasis in grossly apparent stages I and II epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 20(3): 341-345.
- Powless CA, Aletti GD, Bakkum-Gamez JN, Cliby WA (2011) Risk factors for lymph node metastasis in apparent early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer implications for surgical staging. *Gynecol Oncol* 122: 536-540.
- Harter P, Sehouli J, Lorusso D (2017) LION: Lymphadenectomy in Ovarian Neoplasms-A Prospective Randomized AGO Study Group Led Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup Trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 35(15_suppl): 5500.
- Krasner C, and Duska L (2009) Management of women with newly diagnosed ovarian cancer. *Semin Oncol* 36(2): 91-105.

19. Du Bois A, Reuss A, Harter P (2010) Potential role of lymphadenectomy in advanced ovarian cancer: a combined exploratory analysis of three prospectively randomized phase III multicenter trials. *J Clin Oncol* 28(10): 1733-1739.
20. Zhou J, Shan G, Chen Y (2016) The effect of lymphadenectomy on survival and recurrence in patients with ovarian cancer: asystematic review and meta-analysis. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 46(8): 718-726.
21. Department of Gynecologic Tumor, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China, pp. 944-952.
22. Paulino E, Nogueira Rodrigues A, Strasser-Weippl K, St. Louis J, Bukowski A, et al. (2017) Barriers to Primary Debulking Surgery for Advanced Ovarian Cancer in Latin America. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 27(8):1645-1649.
23. Chung YS, Kim Y-J, Lee I (2017) Impact of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy cycles on survival of patients with advanced-stage ovarian cancer. *PLoS One* 12(9): e0183754.
24. Feuer DJ, Broadley KE, Shepherd JH (2000) Surgery for the resolution of symptoms in malignant bowel obstruction in advanced gynaecological and gastrointestinal cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1: CD002764.
25. Ayhan A, Gullekim M, Celik NY (2007) Occult metastasis in early ovarian cancer: risk factors and associated prognosis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 196(81): e1-e6.
26. Risch HA, McLaughlin JR, Cole DE (2001) Prevalence and penetrance of germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population series of 649 women with ovarian cancer. *Am J Hum Genet* 68(3): 700-710.
27. Pomel C, Naik R, Martinez A, Ferron G, Nassif J, et al. (2011) Systematic (complete) para-aortic lymphadenectomy: description of a novel surgical classification with technical and anatomical considerations *BJOG* 119(2): 249-253.
28. Galaal K, Bryant A, Fisher AD (2012) Laparoscopy versus laparotomy for the management of early stage endometrial cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 9: CD006655.
29. Lawrie TA, Medeiros LR, Rosa DD (2013) Laparoscopy versus laparotomy for FIGO stage I ovarian cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 10: CD005344.
30. Gallotta V, Ghezzi F, Vizza E (2016) Laparoscopic Management of Ovarian Cancer Patients with Localized Carcinomatosis and Lymph Node Metastases: Results of a Retrospective Multi-institutional Series. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 23(4): 590-596.
31. Walker JL, Piedmonte MR, Spirtos NM (2009) Laparoscopy compared with laparotomy for comprehensive surgical staging of uterine cancer: Gynecologic Oncology Group Study LAP2. *J Clin Oncol* 27(32): 5331-5336.
32. National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre and Australian Cancer Network (2008) Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer: A systematic review. *National Breast Ovarian*.
33. Clothilde P, Henri A, Louise G, Emmanuelle B (2016) Morbidity of Staging Inframesenteric Paraaortic Lymphadenectomy in Locally Advanced Cervical Cancer Compared with Infrarenal Lymphadenectomy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 27(3): 575-580.
34. Panici BP, Maggioni A, Hacker N (2005) Systematic aortic and pelvic lymphadenectomy versus resection of bulky nodes only in optimally debulked advanced ovarian cancer: a randomized clinical trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 97: 560-566.
35. Milam MR, Tao X, Coleman RL (2007) Neoadjuvant chemotherapy improves peri-operative outcomes in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. *Annual Meeting on women's Cancer* pp:152.
36. Rota M, Pasquali E, Scotti L (2012) Alcohol drinking and epithelial ovarian cancer risk. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol* 125: 758.
37. Pepin K, Bregar A, Davis M (2017) Intensive care admissions among ovarian cancer patients treated with primary debulking surgery and neoadjuvant chemotherapy-interval debulking surgery. *Gynecol Oncol* 147(3): 612-616.
38. Ruskin R, Urban R, Sherman A (2011) Predictors of intensive care unit utilization in gynecologic oncology surgery. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 21(8): 1336-1342.
39. Shimoji K, Ito K, Tashima (2017) Comparison between Primary Debulking Surgery and Neo-Adjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Interval Debulking Surgery for Patients with Stage III-IV Ovarian Cancer. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 44(8): 675-679.
40. Todo Y, Yamamoto R, Minobe (2010) Risk factors for postoperative lower-extremity lymphedema in endometrial cancer survivors who had treatment including lymphadenectomy. *Gynecol Oncol* 119(1): 60-64.
41. Zikan M, Fischerova D, Pinkavova I, Slama J, Weinberger V, et al. (2015) A prospective study examining the incidence of asymptomatic and symptomatic lymphoceles following lymphadenectomy in patients with gynecological cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 137(2): 291-298.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here: [Submit Article](#)

DOI: [10.32474/IGWHC.2020.04.000178](https://doi.org/10.32474/IGWHC.2020.04.000178)



Interventions in Gynecology and Women's Healthcare

Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles