



Evolutionary Medicine: New Avenues of Research on Sugar

Riccardo Baschetti*

Retired Medical Inspector Italian State Railways

*Corresponding author: Riccardo Baschetti, Retired Medical Inspector Italian State Railways, Italy

Received: 📅 February 10, 2021

Published: 📅 February 26, 2021

Abstract

This article may open new avenues of research on sugar (sucrose), because it challenges experts in clinical nutrition by questioning two deep-rooted medical tenets that stigmatize sugar in the media. The first entrenched medical tenet claims that the metabolic effects of sugar depend on its ingested quantity, regardless of its form of ingestion, which accordingly is omitted in many experimental studies on sugar. In sharp contrast, evolutionary medicine stresses that concentrated sugar is harmful because it is nonexistent in nature, but diluted sugar is harmless because it is the most abundant carbohydrate of fresh fruit, which was the main food of our prehistoric ancestors for tens of millions of years. Consequently, fruit shaped genetically their metabolic physiology. Thanks to evolutionarily conserved physiological traits adapted to fresh fruit, our absorption of diluted sugar is harmlessly “linear”, i.e., slow and calorie-constant within the caloric range of fruit. Above this range, our absorption of concentrated sugar is harmfully “exponential”, i.e., precipitous. The second ingrained medical tenet claims that the quantity of sugar in sugar-sweetened beverages explains the reported association between their intake and some diseases. By contrast, evolutionary medicine emphasizes that this association reflects primarily the neglected metabolic effects of dietary salt, which was unknown for the 99.99% of our evolutionary existence. Salt unnaturally accelerates the absorption of sugar-sweetened beverages when they are ingested together with salt-containing foods, as generally occurs. By passing partly into those beverages, salt abnormally turns the linear harmless absorption of diluted sugar into a virtually exponential harmful absorption.

Keywords: Absorption, Diabetes; Dietary Salt; Evolutionary Medicine; Fruit; Gastric Emptying; Potassium; Sucrose; Sugar; Sugar-Sweetened Beverages

Introduction

In the book *The Origin of Species*, which “is the most radical reconfiguration of our place in the universe - as individuals and as a single species” [1], Charles Darwin advanced his theory of evolution by natural selection, “the single best idea anyone has ever had” [2]. Since 1859 when that book first appeared, “[m]any tens of thousands of scientific research papers confirming the legitimacy of Darwinian natural selection have been published” [3]. Consequently, “Darwin’s work on natural selection and the evolution of species is no longer a theory; rather it is a law of primary importance to contemporary biology and medicine” [3]. Indeed, “[e]volution is the unifying concept of biology and the basis for all modern biological research, including much research that affects our daily lives” [4]. Not only our daily lives, but also our daily meals may be affected by future research based on evolution, if an untested evolutionary

hypothesis will prove right experimentally. This hypothesis was first advanced in 1997 [5], underpinned another evolutionary hypothesis [6,7], and was invoked in critiques [8-10]. Its complete version, including a proposed dietary trial to test it, appeared in 2004 [11]. This hypothesis argues that the impact of sugar on human health reflects its form of ingestion, not its ingested quantity. Ergo, this hypothesis (thereinafter: the “sugar form” hypothesis), contrasts strikingly with the inveterate medical tenet that resulted in the failure of tens of authors and coauthors to specify the form(s) of ingestion of sugar in their experimental studies on sugar [12-22]. Importantly, “evolutionary theorizing points to hypotheses that we otherwise might not even think of” [23]. One of them is the “sugar form” hypothesis. Although 12 years have elapsed since its published extensive discussion [11], hitherto no researcher tested it, perhaps because “research funders are mainly concerned with

practical factual research, not with research that develops theories ... But theories are at the heart of practice, planning, and research ... Because theories powerfully influence how evidence is collected, analyzed, understood, and used, it is practical and scientific to examine them" [24]. Moreover, a "new theory may lead to new experiments that herald the downfall of the old dogma" [25]. This may greatly benefit humankind by fostering progress, because "science moves forward when orthodoxy is challenged" [26] and "[s]cience is unique among all human activities – unlike law, business, art, or religion – in its identification with progress" [27]. This article aims primarily at emphasizing the scientific need to test experimentally the "sugar form" hypothesis, because "the issue of dietary sucrose must assume a prominent role in the discussion of the dietary treatment of diabetes" [28], which "is becoming the plague of the 21st century" [29]. This implies that the need to fund and perform the unprecedented study to test the "sugar form" hypothesis is urgent, because its potentially enlightening findings may revolutionize both the dietary treatment of diabetes and the prevention of this plague. As argued elsewhere [11], the "sugar form" hypothesis can easily be tested by an unprecedented dietary trial aimed at comparing the metabolic effects of, say, 100 g/day of concentrated sugar with the effects of 100 g/day of diluted sugar. All evolutionary considerations are omitted purposely in the first part of this article, because "current funding mechanisms reinforce a disjunction between evolutionary biology and medical science and make the development of research programs at their intersection problematic. The National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health each currently see this area as outside their respective domains" [30]. Thus, to make the funding of that urgent research less problematic, here the reasons for doing it are discussed in non-evolutionary terms. Only later will the physiological reasons be interpreted in evolutionary perspective.

Current Status of Knowledge

Many "discrepancies between studies" [31] characterize the research on sugar. For example, "[s]everal authors have reported no change in plasma cholesterol in response to sucrose, even when sucrose was given as a very high proportion of the diet (11-65% of energy) ... In contrast, in other studies, plasma cholesterol concentrations were observed to rise in response to sucrose consumption within a broad range (18-52% of energy)" [31]. Moreover, some authors wrote "there is evidence from several well-controlled prospective studies demonstrating that the consumption of moderate amounts of sucrose may result in hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia, and reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations. The fact that not all studies demonstrate these deleterious effects does not negate the positive data" [28]. These conflicting results originated "considerable debate concerning the effects of sucrose on plasma lipids and in particular triglyceride levels" [32].

Discrepancies between studies also regard the effects of sugar on glycemic control. Indeed, "studies that have examined the addition of sucrose to the diet of noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) subjects for periods of 2-6 wk have produced conflicting results" [33]. These originated discrepant medical guidelines. Indeed, their recommended ingestion of sugar ranges conflictingly from 5% to 25% of energy requirement [34].

Comparative Reasons for Testing the Sugar Form Hypothesis

The abovementioned experimental inconsistencies are explainable by comparing the findings of the few studies that administered sugar in a single form and chose to specify it. This comparison reveals that diluted sugar is harmless and concentrated sugar is harmful. Here the terms "diluted" and "concentrated", for physiological reasons discussed below, refer to sugar within 1.08 kcal/ml and to sugar above this density, respectively. After administering sugar solely in a liquid diet [35], some researchers wrote "the feeding of 80% of calories as sucrose did not lead to an impairment of the GTT [Glucose Tolerance Test] in any subject" [35]. Conversely, after administering only 30% of calories as sucrose patties [36], other researchers wrote "sucrose feeding produces undesirable changes in several of the parameters associated with glucose tolerance" [36]. The virtually opposite effects of diluted sugar [35] and concentrated sugar [36] are in keeping with the observation that "diabetes was absent in cane cutters who ate large amounts of sugar by chewing cane, but common in their employers who ate large amounts as refined sugar" [37]. However, sugar contained in cane is always in a naturally diluted form, whereas refined sugar often is ingested in concentrated forms. Another comparative example emerges from the results of two studies [38, 39] that used different forms for administering an almost identical quantity of sugar. After administering 220 g/day of sugar mainly in "a specially prepared sweetened beverage" [38], some researchers concluded that sugar "did not affect glycemic or triglyceridemic control in type II diabetic patients" [38]. Conversely, after administering 210 g/day of sugar as "a sucrose patty" [39], other researchers wrote "[t]otal serum lipids, triglycerides, and total cholesterol levels were significantly higher when the subjects consumed the sucrose diet" [39].

Physiological Reasons for Testing the Sugar Form Hypothesis

Physiologically, "gastric emptying is a major factor in blood glucose homeostasis, in normal subjects and in patients with diabetes" [40]. Indeed, "[t]he rate of gastric emptying is an important determinant of carbohydrate absorption" [41]. Actually, "it is the rate of absorption of nutrients by the small intestine that is the most important factor in controlling gastric emptying" [42]. The following physiological data explain why diluted sugar is harmless and concentrated sugar is harmful:

a) Diluted glucose and diluted sucrose, which is a mixture of glucose and fructose [43], empty identically from the stomach [43]. Indeed, “[t]he effects of sucrose and a glucose and fructose mixture ... are indistinguishable ... in slowing gastric emptying” [43]. This identical gastric emptying reflects the identical caloric density of diluted sugar and diluted glucose, because “the rate of gastric emptying is a function of the caloric density of the ingested meal” [44].

b) In humans [45] and in monkeys [46], the gastric emptying of diluted glucose is “linear”, i.e., it is “a slow and calorie-constant emptying pattern” [45], which proceeds “progressively more slowly with increasing concentrations” [46], thereby determining the absorption of a constant quantity of calories per unit time [45, 46]. Indeed, “[g]lucose empties so as to maintain a constant rate of delivery of calories to the small intestine over a range of energy densities of 0.2-1.0 kcal/ml” [46]. Consequently, “[a]lthough gastric emptying slowed as glucose concentration increased, when gastric emptying was expressed as the rate of calories delivered to the intestine, all three glucose solutions emptied at indistinguishable rates” [45].

c) Within the range 0.2-1.0 kcal/ml, “[d]oubling the volume of a glucose meal does not significantly alter the rate of emptying” [46], so that “[t]he number of glucose calories passed per unit time (2.13 kcal/min) remained the same over a fivefold concentration range” [45]. Within this range (0.2-1.0 kcal/ml), “[s]uch constancy suggests in humans, as in the monkey, that glucose emptying differs from the emptying of physiological saline in being subject to tight regulation” [45].

d) In sharp contrast with the calorie-constant emptying pattern of diluted glucose, the gastric emptying of concentrated glucose is “exponential”, i.e., precipitous and massive. Indeed, “when glucose concentration exceeds 1.0 kcal/ml, gastric emptying does not slow further. As a result with each increment in concentration above 1.0 kcal/ml, there is more rapid delivery of calories to the small bowel, i.e., a loss of regulation to caloric concentration” [46]. More precisely, this loss of regulation occurs at a currently indefinite concentration between 1.08 kcal/ml and 1.33 kcal/ml. Indeed, although “extremely prolonged” [47], the gastric emptying of glucose solutions is still linear “after ingestion of the 400-kcal glucose (100 g in 300 cc H₂O) solution” [47], which contains 1.08 kcal/ml, but their gastric emptying is exponential when their density is 1.33 kcal/ml [46] [p. R255, Table 1].

The abovementioned physiological data show that diluted sugar proved harmless [35,38] because its absorption was linearly slow and calorie-constant, thereby preserving blood glucose homeostasis. Conversely, concentrated sugar proved harmful [36, 39] because its absorption was exponentially precipitous and

massive, thereby compromising blood glucose homeostasis and enhancing abnormally the endogenous production of blood lipids. Remarkably, those data corroborate the central notion of the “sugar form” hypothesis, namely, the concept that the form of ingestion of sugar is metabolically more important than its ingested quantity [11]. Indeed, the absorption of diluted glucose, which empties identically to diluted sucrose [43], remains linear even doubling its ingested quantity [46] but becomes exponential when its density increases even moderately from 1.08 kcal/ml [47] to 1.33 kcal/ml [46]. Should the “sugar form” hypothesis prove right experimentally, its practical and clinical implications will be far-reaching. For instance, the failure to disentangle the effects of diluted sugar from those of concentrated sugar will presumably originate a reanalysis of a recent investigation

[48] that raised several comments [49-54]. Its conclusion that there is “a significant relationship between added sugar consumption and increased risk for CVD [cardiovascular disease] mortality” [48] will sound misleading should future studies demonstrate that the metabolic effects of sugar depend on its form of ingestion, not on its ingested quantity.

Heuristic Ability of Evolutionary Medicine

Some authors wondered: “[w]hy do meals of high caloric concentrations empty more slowly in volume but just slowly enough as to deliver the same number of calories over time as more dilute meals?” [55]. This question can be answered thanks to the heuristic ability of Evolutionary Medicine (thereinafter: *EvolMed*) [56-58], which is a relatively “new, interdisciplinary field that brings together physicians, biologists, anthropologists, psychologists, and others to address questions about the evolutionary origins of many medical problems facing modern humans” [58]. *EvolMed* “is supplanting its predecessor synonym “Darwinian medicine”” [59], which was preferred previously [60-64]. The fundamental concept of *EvolMed* is that “[m]edicine needs evolution” [65], because “nothing in medicine makes sense except in the light of evolution” [66, 67]. Thanks to its heuristic ability and explanatory capacity, “evolutionary thinking on medical issues can sometimes illuminate features quite unexpected by nonevolutionary approaches” [30]. For example, “evolution does offer a way to ground the otherwise faddish area of nutrition research in a solid general understanding of the diets of our ancestors” [68]. *EvolMed* argues that their diets represent “the nutrition for which human beings are in essence genetically programmed” [69]. Indeed, “the introduction of agriculture and animal husbandry ~ 10 000 y ago occurred too recently on an evolutionary time scale for the human genome to adjust” [70]. Therefore, “[g]enetically speaking, humans today live in a nutritional environment that differs from that for which our genetic constitution was selected” [71]. Consequently, “[f]rom a genetic standpoint, humans living today are Stone Age hunter-gatherers” [72], whose dietary requirements “were met

exclusively by uncultivated vegetables and wild game" [73]. Of note, "wild animals hunted for prey as food do not accumulate the high percentage of fat seen in domesticated pigs, sheep, or cattle" [74]. Also, "[s]ince they had no domesticated animals, Stone Age people had no dairy products whatsoever after they were weaned" [75]. Ergo, "[t]he genetically ordered physiology of contemporary humans was selected over eons of evolutionary experience for a nutritional pattern affording much less fat" [75]. Indeed, "the fat intake in late Paleolithic diets was estimated to be ~ 10-20% of calories" [76]. There is "[e]vidence that men with familial hypercholesterolemia can avoid early coronary death" [77], simply "by strictly adhering to a low-fat diet without drugs" [77]. This indirectly explains why "migration studies have clearly shown that the change from a low-fat diet (15% of energy as fat) to a diet similar to that usually consumed in the United States (37% of energy as fat) is associated with 20% higher body weight, 20% higher plasma cholesterol levels, and a three-fold higher incidence of coronary heart disease mortality" [78]. This disease is one of the undesirable conditions that "are virtually unknown among the few surviving hunter-gatherer populations whose way of life and eating habits most closely resemble those of preagricultural human beings" [69]. The first 10 experimental studies on the Paleolithic diet were published between 2007 and 2015 [79-88]. All of them demonstrate its beneficial effects, as many papers based on EvolMed had heuristically predicted before 2007 [69-112]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis [113] concluded that "[t]he Paleolithic diet resulted in greater short-term improvements in metabolic syndrome components than did guideline-based control diets" [113].

Evolutionarily Conserved Physiological Traits

EvolMed recalls that "[h]umans are not self-made creations dietarily, but rather have an evolutionary history as anthropoid primates stretching back more than 25 million years, a history that shaped their nutrient requirements and digestive physiology well before they were humans or even protohumans. In hominoids, features such as nutrient requirements and digestive physiology appear to be genetically conservative and probably were little affected by the hunter-gatherer phase of human existence" [114]. Accordingly, EvolMed argues that the linear absorption of diluted sugars is an evolutionarily conserved physiological trait that was selected well before the existence of Paleolithic humans. EvolMed can explain that linear absorption because its "evolutionary perspective fundamentally challenges the prevalent but fundamentally incorrect metaphor of the body as a machine designed by an engineer" [68]. Indeed, "[b]odies are not designed; they are shaped by natural selection" [68]. The evolutionary genetic molding of bodies occurs because "natural selection tends to increase the frequencies of alleles of individuals that survive and reproduce better than others in specific environments"

[115]. Hence, these individuals are selectively "better adapted to their environments" [115]. We should bear in mind that "[o]ne of the most important influences affecting genetic selection and adaptation is the interaction between a species and its food supply" [75]. Consequently, "[a]vailable food shapes all species, and we were shaped by the fruit of the tree" [116], because "[d]uring the Miocene era (from about 24 to about 5 million years ago) fruits appear to have been the main dietary constituent for hominids" [69]. Indeed, "early hominids ate fleshy fruits" [117], and "the known early Miocene hominoids ... probably had diets consisting largely of fruit" [118].

We should also remember that "modern humans and chimpanzees diverged from a common ancestor – who was chimpanzee-like, forest-dwelling, and predominantly arboreal and fruit-eating – between 5 and 8 Myr [million years] ago" [119]. Anthropoids is "the group of higher primates that includes humans as well as monkeys and apes" [120]. These latter species of nonhuman primates still live on fruits. Notably, "the anthropoid lineage may have emerged as many as 50 million or even 60 million years ago" [120]. Evolutionarily, "[i]nside we're all primate, equipped with the instinctive and anatomical arrangements for eating mainly fruit" [116]. Indeed, "our eating instincts, and our bodies that receive the food, were unalterably molded during those 50 million years in the trees" [116]. This corroborates the suggestion that "[s]cenarists of hominid evolution would be wise to pay more attention to arboreal lodging behavior in nonhuman primates because the reliance on trees was part of the hominid adaptive complex during much of our ancestry" [121]. Regarding the physiological data discussed above, "[p]hysiologists are interested in how organisms work. A subset of physiologists also wants to know why organisms are designed to work in particular ways. Unless one assumes special creation of all organisms, an understanding of such why questions requires an evolutionary perspective" [122]. Accordingly, EvolMed explains that the linearly slow and calorie-constant absorption of diluted sugars constitutes an optimal adaptation to fresh fruits. This adaptation is really optimal because it preserves the blood glucose homeostasis of primates living on fresh fruits, which precisely contain mainly diluted sugars [123-125]. Fresh fruits are virtually "solid juices", because their solidity is due only to their tiny quantity of fiber. For instance, "[t]he total fib[er] (unavailable carbohydrate and lignin) content of apples is only about 1.5% by weight, but this fib[er] is wholly responsible for the solidity of apples" [126]. Moreover, "as the time after ingestion of a solid meal increases it becomes a suspension of solid particles mixed with gastric secretions and thus comes to resemble a viscous liquid mixture rather than a solid meal" [127]. Some authors "postulated that, with fib[er]-depleted foods, there is abnormally rapid absorption of carbohydrate and hence excessive stimulation of insulin secretion, which could lead eventually to diabetes" [126]. However, "[w]ith grapes, the insulin response to the whole fruit was, paradoxically, more than that

to the juice" [128]. Nonetheless, it is true that the juices of other fruits, such as apples [126] and oranges [128,129], are slightly more insulinogenic than the whole fruits [126-129]. However, this difference, which may well reflect a somewhat slower absorption of the sugars from the whole fruits, cannot be of clinical importance in the prevention of diabetes. Indeed, the linearly slow and calorie-constant absorption of such fiber-free foods as glucose solutions [45-47] is already regulatory enough to prevent any diabetogenic disruption of blood glucose homeostasis. The range 0.2-1.08 kcal/ml [45-47] within which our absorption of sugars is linear virtually overlaps the caloric range of the solutions of sugars present in fresh fruits [123-125]. This further confirms that fresh fruits shaped our metabolic physiology of sugars. The "loss of regulation to caloric concentration" [46] of glucose solutions exceeding the caloric range of sugars present in fresh fruits suggests that concentrated sugars are "genetically unknown foods" [6,7]. One might object that our prehistoric ancestors also ingested such concentrated sugars as honey and dried fruits. Before apiculture, however, honey was rare and guarded by bees. Dried fruits were virtually nonexistent in the "relatively heavily wooded habitats" [117] of early African hominids, because of the frequent tropical-equatorial rains and the shade of those tick forests. Ergo, the ingestion of dense sugars was not frequent and abundant enough to produce a genetic adaptation similar to that originated by the daily and large ingestions of fresh fruits. This ancestral adaptation also explains why a diet rich in fresh fruits is beneficial to contemporary humans [130-137].

Sugar-Sweetened Beverages (Ssbs)

The intake of SSBs has frequently been associated with diabetes [138-142], metabolic syndrome [143-146], and cardiovascular disease [147-154]. Besides being linked to these diseases, the intake of SSBs is also associated with weight gain [155-162] and obesity [163-168]. Obesity in itself is not a disease but "is a risk factor for chronic diseases and premature mortality" [169], because it "is an independent predictor of clinical CVD" [170], and "predisposes to non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, cholelithiasis, some malignancies and osteoarthritis" [171]. The association of SSBs intake with those diseases and unwanted conditions is currently attributed to the "large quantities of easily absorbable sugars" [141] present in SSBs. Indeed, this attribution, although paraphrased with substantially similar words, has been expressed by others, who wrote that SSBs are harmful because they "contain large amounts of rapidly resorbed carbohydrates" [142], and because of their "high content of rapidly absorbable carbohydrates" [146]. Notably, the adverb "rapidly" betrays the failure to realize that the absorption of diluted sugars, such as those of SSBs, is actually "slow and calorie-constant" [45]. The deep-seated medical tenet attributing the harmfulness of SSBs to their quantity of sugar stigmatizes sugar and implicitly blames their heavy consumers. That settled tenet seems to disprove the

"sugar form" hypothesis. Indeed, "[t]he sugar content of colas, soft drinks, fruit punches, 100% fruit juices, and liquid shakes is ~10-12 g/100 g" [172]. Since sugar provides 4 kcal/g, one can easily calculate that all of those beverages are far from exceeding 1.08 kcal/ml, which is the safety limit of sugar, according to the "sugar form" hypothesis. EvolMed once again demonstrates its heuristic ability by enabling us to realize that the quantity of sugar contained in SSBs is not responsible for their harmful effects. These damages are due to two neglected nutritional factors. We can detect them only thanks to the "maieutic" art that allows EvolMed to display its heuristic ability. This art derives its metaphorical meaning from the Greek words "μααιευτική τέχνη" (maieutiké téchne, i.e., obstetric art). The Greek philosopher Socrates used this art "to stimulate critical thinking and expose faulty reasoning through a series of questions and responses" [173], which eventually delivered philosophical "truths". Likewise, EvolMed raises three questions to identify the real culprits of the harmful effects misattributed to the quantity of sugar present in SSBs.

Lack of Potassium Makes Ssbs Harmful

EvolMed poses this first maieutic question "Can we attribute the harmfulness of SSBs to their quantity of sucrose?" Reason forces us to answer negatively. In fresh fruits, sucrose is generally the most abundant carbohydrate and its quantity often exceeds that of the other sugars combined [123-125]. Examples: 100 g of ripe banana contain 9.64 g of sucrose, 2.26 g of glucose, and 0.02 g of fructose [124]; 538 g of oranges contain 26.3 g of sucrose, 11.8 g of glucose, and 12.4 g of fructose [123]. Ergo, it is clear that our prehistoric ancestors living on fresh fruits ate sucrose in quantities exceeding those ingested by heavy consumers of SSBs. If we attribute the harmfulness of SSBs to their quantity of sucrose, then the untenable implication is that our remote ancestors were severely harmed by their main foods. Many nutritionists could object that SSBs are harmful because they contain added sugar, whereas fresh fruits are harmless because they contain naturally-occurring sugar. However, "there is often no difference in responses between foods containing added sugars and those containing naturally-occurring sugars" [174]. This reflects the fact that "the classification of natural and added sugars is not very instructive because they are indistinguishable in metabolism or chemical composition" [175]. Other nutritionists discriminate "intrinsic" sugars from "extrinsic" sugars [176]. However, "[s]uch a classification of sugars was not based on scientific research and it remains impossible to distinguish between intrinsic and extrinsic sugars using any form of chemical analysis" [176]. A physical analysis, however, reveals that naturally-occurring and intrinsic sugars are always ingested in naturally diluted forms. Conversely, added and extrinsic sugars can be ingested in concentrated forms, too. Therefore, only the evolutionary discrimination between diluted sugars and concentrated sugars is clinically useful. Both the

absorption of diluted sugar of SSBs and the absorption of solutions of sugar present in fruits are linearly slow and calorie-constant. However, SSBs are harmful, whereas those solutions are harmless. Hence, EvolMed poses this second maieutic question: What differentiates metabolically SSBs from the sugar solutions of fruits? Lack of potassium (K) is the answer. K has been defined “a non-celebrity cation” [177], because its medical importance is generally neglected. SSBs, being solutions of refined sugar, do not contain K, whereas fresh fruits are rich in K [178]. This abundance of K in their main foods explains why early humans “became exceedingly well adapted to this very high-K diet. Such a diet could be considered the “natural” diet of humans” [179]. In view of the various benefits of K [180-187], it is arguable that K largely explains the benefits of fresh fruits [130-137]. Indeed, “fruits and vegetables have been associated with a benefit to bone health. Potassium levels in fruits and vegetables have been a leading candidate for this benefit” [180]. Furthermore, “[h]igher dietary potassium intake is associated with lower rates of stroke and might also reduce the risk of CHD [coronary heart disease] and total CVD” [181]. Unsurprisingly, “[c]ardiovascular as well as total mortality was significantly lower among men with high fruit consumption” [137]. Moreover, many independent studies show that potassium protects against cancer [185]. K may well explain why “a diet that includes four or five fruits or vegetables per day substantially reduces the incidence of many types of cancers” [186]. The view that K largely explains the benefits of fresh fruits is further strengthened by the conclusion that “[a] low daily dietary supplement of K, equivalent to the content of five portions of fresh fruits and vegetables, induced a substantial reduction in MAP [mean arterial pressure], similar in effect to single-drug therapy for hypertension” [187].

EvolMed argues that the high content of K dissolved in fresh fruits played a central role in their ancestral shaping effects on our metabolic physiology of sugars. Hence, EvolMed predicts that a lack of K may compromise our metabolic responses to sugars. As an additional confirmation that EvolMed possesses remarkable heuristic abilities, “[a] large body of experimental evidence indicates that potassium deficiency leads to deterioration of carbohydrate tolerance” [188]. Indeed, “potassium depletion causes glucose intolerance, which is associated with impaired insulin secretion” [189]. Predictably, “[p]otassium supplementation during a 2-week fast was associated with a statistically significant improvement in GTT” [190]. Thus, EvolMed surmises that the enormous quantities of diluted sucrose (80% of calories) that produced a “significant improvement in the oral GTT” [35] were supplemented with K. Indeed, those large amounts of diluted sugar were ingested in a liquid diet “supplemented with vitamins and minerals” [35], which were unspecified but intuitively included K. Many foods contain adequate K, thereby making glucose intolerance caused by K depletion improbable in moderate consumers of SSBs. However, to prevent glucose intolerance in persons whose caloric intake

derives mainly from SSBs, EvolMed recommends supplementing SSBs with at least ~ 90 mg/100 ml of K, because 83 mg/100 g is the lowest content of K found in 23 varieties of fresh fruits [178]. That supplementation should be in the form of K citrate, not K chloride, to mimic as much as possible fresh fruits, which contain K in non-chloride salts [191]. Chloride concurs to produce hypertensive effects [192]. This may explain why K citrate proved more beneficial than K chloride in reducing blood pressure [193]. Other studies [194,195] found that the effects of K citrate and K chloride “did not differ significantly” [194]. Nonetheless, leaving aside possible economic reasons, there is no scientific reason to supplement SSBs with K chloride instead of non-chloride salts.

Dietary Salt Makes Ssbs More Harmful

EvolMed poses this third maieutic question: Which dietary factor absent in prehistoric nutrition can alter the metabolic response to SSBs? Dietary salt (sodium chloride, NaCl) is the answer. As rightly stressed, “[t]he diet of early humans was unsalted, and the Na content of breast milk (6 mmol/kg) shows how little NaCl is needed even during the most rapid period of growth” [196]. EvolMed argues that the tiny content of Na of breast milk is an evolutionarily conserved result of the ancestral diets based on fruits, in which the disproportion between K and Na is impressive. For instance, “a single serving (150 g) of raw, sliced bananas contains 594 mg (15.2 mmol) of K+, . . . , and 1 mg (0.043 mmol) of Na+” [197]. However, “[h]umans began to use large amounts of salt for the main purpose of food preservation approximately 5,000 years ago” [198]. This period is 10,000 times shorter than the 50 million years of our evolutionary lineage as anthropoids [120] living on unsalted diets based on fresh fruits, which abound in K but contain little Na [178,197]. So, “[i]n response to these dietary habits, evolutionary forces (acting over millions of years) fostered the development of physiological systems (primarily renal) that conserved sodium and excreted potassium” [199]. That period of 5,000 years is “brief, by evolutionary standards ... and thus, there has been little time for the physiologic systems that promote sodium retention and potassium excretion to adapt” [199]. Even an incomplete adaptation to salt would have rendered it almost harmless. In fact, a complete evolutionary adaptation of a given species to any environmental dietary component entails that this component became not only harmless, but also beneficial to that species. For example, the evolutionary adaptation of early humans to fresh fruits was so perfectly complete that these foods are beneficial to modern humans [130-137]. By implication, the various harmful effects of salt [200] show that we are far from being adapted to salt, which was nutritionally unknown for the 99.99% of our evolutionary history. Virtually all the harmful effects of salt are opposite to the beneficial effects of fruits or K.

Salt favors hypertension [201-205]; fruits or K prevent it [206-209]. Salt favors cardiovascular disease [210-214]; fruits or

K prevent it [214-216]. Salt favors stroke [217-219]; fruits or K prevent it [220-222]. Salt favors osteoporosis [223-225]; fruits or K prevent it [180, 226]. Salt favors cancer [227-230]; fruits or K prevent it [231-234]. Salt favors asthma [235-237]; fruits prevent it [238-240]. Salt favors kidney stone formation [241-243]; fruits or K prevent it [244-246]. Salt favors heart failure [247-249]; K prevents it [250, 251]. Revealingly, the authors who found “[a] low sodium, high water, high potassium regimen” [251] to be very beneficial “even in refractory cardiac failure” [251] unknowingly used a regimen mimicking the composition of fresh fruits. Finally, salt restriction benefits also patients with chronic kidney disease [252-255]. Hence, “[s]ubstantial health benefits might be achieved when added salt is removed from processed foods” [256]. Because of the “multiorgan targets” of Na [257], salt has been defined “the neglected silent killer” [258]. Indeed, “higher sodium intake is associated with increased total mortality in the general US population” [259]. This association also reflects the neglected harmful effects of salt on the absorption of SSBs. Many consumers of SSBs ingest them jointly with salt-containing foods. For example, afterschool programs served SSBs and “salty snacks” [260]. In the stomach, at least a tiny part of their salt unavoidably passes into SSBs, thereby unhealthily compromising their normal physiological absorption. Indeed, “[a]t low concentrations the addition of sodium chloride and sodium sulphate to test meals increased the rate of gastric emptying” [261]. As additional evidence that Na and K almost invariably produce opposite effects, “potassium chloride was ... effective in slowing gastric emptying” [261]. The accelerating effect of Na on absorption occurs because Na “greatly facilitates glucose uptake” [262]. Consequently, “[s]odium ion ... increases the rate of absorption of glucose” [43]. This confirms the importance of “[t]he role of sodium in intestinal glucose absorption in man” [263]. Indeed, “a small amount of NaCl in the solutions can potentiate intestinal absorption of sugars” [44]. As a metabolic consequence, “the addition of sodium chloride enhances the glycemic response to glucose ingestion through facilitation of intestinal absorption” [264]. Therefore, dietary salt unnaturally accelerates the absorption of diluted glucose, thereby abnormally turning its typically linear and harmless absorption into a virtually exponential harmful absorption. Remembering that the absorption of diluted glucose and diluted sucrose are physiologically identical [43], it is evident that the salt-induced hastened absorption of SSBs largely accounts for their observed harmfulness [138-154]. The accelerating effect of salt on the absorption of diluted sugar is also responsible for the weight gain and obesity linked to SSBs consumption [155-168]. Some authors did realize that dietary salt concurs to explain the association between SSBs and obesity [265-267]. However, they failed to mention the accelerating effect of salt on the absorption of sugar. Those authors merely suggest that salt, by causing thirst, “may drive greater consumption of SSBs and contribute to obesity risk” [266]. Without salt, SSBs are unlikely to favor obesity, because

EvolMed suggests that the linear absorption of diluted sugar is a function of exogenous glucose oxidation [268, 269]. This entails that the rate of absorption of SSBs is regulated by the personal caloric needs of their individual consumer, thereby making his/her weight gain virtually impossible. Tellingly, the Yanomamo Indians, who live mainly on bananas [270], the most caloric fruits [123-125], “are seldom obese and rarely demonstrate weight gain with advance in age” [270], thanks to their “no-salt” diet [270] and to the linear absorption of diluted sugars of bananas. Of note, those Indians “are physically a highly active people” [270]. Thus, as was appropriately remarked, “[t]hese observations on an unacculturated people provide further support for Dahl’s conclusion that in civilized societies “salt appetite is not to be equated with salt requirement” [270].

Fructose

Although “[b]oth controversy and confusion exist concerning fructose, sucrose, and high-fructose corn syrup [HFCS] with respect to their metabolism and health effects” [271], this article so far focused only on sucrose. However, for the sake of completeness, now it is opportune to add a brief discussion about fructose and HFCS in evolutionary perspective. Once again, the explanatory capacities of EvolMed enable us to shed a clarifying light on another otherwise obscure medical issue, namely, the controversial and confuse topic regarding fructose and HFCS. EvolMed explains that pure fructose proved harmful [272-276] because it represents one of the “genetically unknown foods” [6,7] that were unavailable to our prehistoric ancestors. Indeed, pure fructose is inexistent in nature. They ingested fructose only by eating fresh fruits, in which fructose is always diluted and indivisibly commingled with diluted glucose [123-125]. Consequently, the linear absorption of diluted glucose [45-47] prevents fructose from displaying its typically exponential absorption, which conversely is evident when fructose is investigated isolatedly [277]. In fact, “[f]ructose empties exponentially and more rapidly than the other sugars” [277]. Considering that “the rate of delivery of fructose is twice that seen with glucose” [277], it is clear why pure fructose proved harmful [272-276]. As to fructose contained in HFCS, “some would like to continue to demonize HFCS” [278] by claiming that “the large amounts of fructose now consumed from sugar or HFCS are hazardous to our health” [279]. HFCS “has replaced sucrose as the predominant sweetener used in soft drinks” [280]. EvolMed argues that diluted HFCS cannot be harmful because “HFCS is very similar to sucrose, being about 55% fructose and 45% glucose” [278]. Indeed, sucrose “is composed of 50% glucose and 50% fructose” [280]. Therefore, “not surprisingly, few metabolic differences were found comparing HFCS and sucrose” [278]. The overlapping effects of sucrose and HFCS have recently been confirmed by a study entitled “Consumption of honey, sucrose, and high-fructose corn syrup produces similar metabolic effects in glucose-tolerant

and -intolerant individuals" [281]. Notably, honey is metabolically similar to sucrose and HFCS because it shares their composition, i.e., fructose and glucose. Indeed, "[t]he principal carbohydrate constituents of honey are fructose [32.56 to 38.2%] and glucose [28.54 to 31.3 %], which represents 85-95% of total sugars" [282].

Conclusion

In fresh fruits, fructose is always present, often abundantly [123-125]. Some fruits contain more fructose than the other sugars combined. For instance, 100 g of apples contain 6.08 g of fructose, 3.62 g of sucrose, and 1.72 g of glucose [125]. Hence, it is intuitive that our prehistoric ancestors living on fresh fruits for tens of millions of years ate fructose in daily quantities exceeding those ingested today by consumers of SSBs containing mainly HFCS. Therefore, it is absurd to define "fructose as a weapon of mass destruction" [283]. These evolutionarily nonsensical words, written in the title of a recent medical article aimed at demonizing unjustly HFCS [283], constitute a sad and disheartening proof that "[t]he canyon between evolutionary biology and medicine is wide" [68]. Indeed, "[e]volutionary biology is an essential basic science for medicine, but few doctors and medical researchers are familiar with its most relevant principles" [68]. Therefore, it is appropriate to conclude this article by emphasizing that "[t]eaching medical students about our evolutionary legacy and the biological forces that shaped our past will help them to be better prepared for our future" [284].

Reference

- Pollack RE (1997) Book Review. On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life. *N Engl J Med* 337(2): 137.
- Clayton PH (1996) A promise rather than a threat. *Am Sci* 84: 289-291.
- Alpert JS (2006) In medicine, signs of evolution are ever-present. *Am J Med* 119(4): 291.
- Hanson RB, Bloom FE (1999) Fending off furtive strategists. *Science* 285(5435): 1847.
- Baschetti R (1997) Sucrose metabolism. *N Z Med J* 110: 43.
- Baschetti R (1998) Diabetes epidemic in newly westernized populations: is it due to thrifty genes or to genetically unknown foods? *J R Soc Med* 91(12): 622-625.
- Baschetti R (1999) Genetically unknown foods or thrifty genes? *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;70(3): 420-421.
- Baschetti R (1998) Sucrose in weight-loss regimens. *Am J Clin Nutr* 67(1): 150-151.
- Baschetti R (1999) High-sucrose diets and insulin sensitivity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 69: 575-576.
- Baschetti R (2001) Concentrations of sugars in high-carbohydrate diets. *Am J Clin Nutr* 73(1): 129-130.
- Baschetti R (2004) Evolutionary legacy: form of ingestion, not quantity, is the key factor in producing the effects of sugar on human health. *Med Hypotheses* 63(6): 933-938.
- Marckmann P, Raben A, Astrup A (2000) Ad libitum intake of low-fat diets rich in either starchy foods or sucrose: effects on blood lipids, factor VII coagulant activity, and fibrinogen. *Metabolism* 49(6): 731-735.
- Daly ME, Vale C, Walker M, Littlefield A, Alberti KGMM, Mathers JC, et al. (1998) Acute effects on insulin sensitivity and diurnal metabolic profiles of a high-sucrose compared with a high-starch diet. *Am J Clin Nutr* 67(6): 1186-1196.
- Bantle JP, Swanson JE, Thomas W, Laine DC (1999) Metabolic effects of dietary sucrose in type II diabetic subjects. *Diabetes Care* 19(11): 1249-1256.
- Yudkin J, Eisa O, Kang SS, Meraji S, Bruckdorfer KR, et al. (1986) Dietary sucrose affects plasma HDL cholesterol concentration in young men. *Ann Nutr Metab* 30(4): 261-266.
- Coulston AM, Hollenbeck CB, Donner CC, Williams R, Chiou YA, et al. (1985) Metabolic effects of added dietary sucrose in individuals with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). *Metabolism* 34(10): 962-926.
- Liu G, Coulston A, Hollenbeck C, Reaven G (1984) The effect of sucrose content in high and low carbohydrate diets on plasma glucose, insulin, and lipid responses in hypertriglyceridemic humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 59(4): 636-642.
- Grande F, Anderson JT, Keys A (1974) Sucrose and various carbohydrate-containing foods and serum lipids in man. *Am J Clin Nutr* 27(10): 1043-1051.
- Dunnigan MG, Fyfe T, McKiddie MT, Crosbie SM (1970) The effects of isocaloric exchange of dietary starch and sucrose on glucose tolerance, plasma insulin and serum lipids in man. *Clin Sci* 38(1): 1-9.
- Szanto S, Yudkin J (1969) The effect of dietary sucrose on blood lipids, serum insulin, platelet adhesiveness and body weight in human volunteers. *Postgrad Med J* 45(527): 602-627.
- Cohen AM, Teitelbaum A, Balogh M, Groen JJ (1966) Effect of interchanging bread and sucrose as main source of carbohydrate in a low fat diet on the glucose tolerance curve of healthy volunteer subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 19(1): 59-62.
- Kuo PT, Bassett DR (1965) Dietary sugar in the production of hyperglycemia. *Ann Intern Med* 62: 1199-1212.
- Konner M (1995) A dose of Dr Darwin. *Nature* 375: 641-642.
- Alderson P (1998) The importance of theories in health care. *BMJ* 317(7164): 1007-1010.
- Holmberg L, Baum M (1996) Work on your theories! *Nat Med* 2: 844-846.
- Goldman MA (2000) Evolution rising from the grave. *Nature* 404: 15-16.
- Kornberg A (1995) Science in the stationary phase. *Science* 269(5232): 1799.
- Hollenbeck CB, Coulston AM, Reaven GM (1989) Effects of sucrose on carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in NIDDM patients. *Diabetes Care* 12(1): 62-66.
- Editorial (2015) Diabetes: an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. *Lancet* 386(9997): 932.
- Stearns SC, Nesse RM, Govindaraju DR, Ellison PT (2010) Evolutionary perspectives on health and medicine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 1691-1695.
- Frayn KN, Kingman SM (1995) Dietary sugars and lipid metabolism in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 62: 250S-261S.
- Smith JB, Niven BE, Mann JI (1996) The effect of reduced extrinsic sucrose intake on plasma triglyceride levels. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 50(8): 498-504.
- Colagiuri S, Miller JJ, Edwards RA (1989) Metabolic effects of adding sucrose and aspartame to the diet of subjects with noninsulin-dependent

- diabetes mellitus. *Am J Clin Nutr* 50(3): 474-478.
34. Gibson S, Gunn P, Wittekind A, Cottrell R (2013) The effects of sucrose on metabolic health: a systematic review of human intervention studies in healthy adults. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 53(6): 591-614.
 35. Anderson JW, Herman RH, Zakim D (1973) Effect of high glucose and high sucrose diets on glucose tolerance of normal men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 26(6): 600-607.
 36. Reiser S, Handler HB, Gardner LB, Hallfrisch JG, Michaelis OE 4th, et al. (1979) Isocaloric exchange of dietary starch and sucrose in humans. II. Effect on fasting blood insulin, glucose, and glucagon and on insulin and glucose response to a sucrose load. *Am J Clin Nutr* 32: 2206-2216.
 37. Campbell GD, Batchelor EL, Goldberg MD (1967) Sugar intake and diabetes. *Diabetes* 16: 62-63.
 38. Abaira C, Derler J (1988) Large variations of sucrose in constant carbohydrate diets in type II diabetes. *Am J Med* 84(2): 193-200.
 39. Reiser S, Hallfrisch J, Michaelis OE 4th, Lazar FL, et al. (1979) Isocaloric exchange of dietary starch and sucrose in humans. I. Effects on levels of fasting blood lipids. *Am J Clin Nutr* 32(8): 1659-1669.
 40. Horowitz M, Edelbroek MA, Wishart JM, Straathof JW (1993) Relationship between oral glucose tolerance and gastric emptying in normal healthy subjects. *Diabetologia* 36(9): 857-862.
 41. Eliasson B, Björnsson E, Urbanavicius V, Andersson H, Fowelin J, et al. (1995) Hyperinsulinaemia impairs gastrointestinal motility and slows carbohydrate absorption. *Diabetologia* 38(1): 79-85.
 42. R S Hattner (1991) Determining gastric emptying rate. *J Nucl Med* 32(10): 2024-2025.
 43. Elias E, Gibson GJ, Greenwood LF, Hunt JN, Tripp JH, et al. (1968) The slowing of gastric emptying by monosaccharides and disaccharides in test meals. *J Physiol* 194(2): 317-326.
 44. Calbet JA, MacLean DA (1997) Role of caloric content on gastric emptying in humans. *J Physiol* 498 (part 2): 553-559.
 45. Brener W, Hendrix TR, McHugh PR (1983) Regulation of the gastric emptying of glucose. *Gastroenterology* 85(1): 76-82.
 46. McHugh PR, Moran TH (1979) Calories and gastric emptying: a regulatory capacity with implications for feeding. *Am J Physiol* 236: R254-R260.
 47. Phillips WT, Schwartz JG, Blumhardt R, McMahan CA (1991) Linear gastric emptying of hyperosmolar glucose solutions. *J Nucl Med* 32(3): 377-381.
 48. Yang Q, Zhang Z, Gregg EW, Flanders WD, Merritt R, et al. (2014) Added sugar intake and cardiovascular diseases mortality among US adults. *JAMA Intern Med* 174(4): 516-524.
 49. Dhurandhar NV, Thomas D (2015) The link between dietary sugar intake and cardiovascular disease mortality: an unresolved question. *JAMA* 313(9): 959-960.
 50. Avena NM, Potenza MN, Gold MS (2015) Why are we consuming so much sugar despite knowing too much can harm us? *JAMA Intern Med* 175(1): 145-146.
 51. Yang Q, Zhang Z, Hu FB (2015) Why are we consuming so much sugar despite knowing too much can harm us?-Reply. *JAMA Intern Med* 175(1): 146.
 52. McCarthy M (2014) Higher sugar intake linked to raised risk of cardiovascular mortality, study finds. *BMJ* 348: g1352.
 53. Mearns BM (2014) Public health: Increased risk of cardiovascular death in adults who eat high levels of added sugar. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 11:187.
 54. Schmidt LA (2014) New unsweetened truths about sugar. *JAMA Intern Med* 174(4): 525-526.
 55. McHugh PR, Moran TH, Wirth JB (1982) Postpyloric regulation of gastric emptying in rhesus monkeys. *Am J Physiol* 243(3): R408-415.
 56. Brüne M, Hochberg Z (2013) Evolutionary medicine--the quest for a better understanding of health, disease and prevention. *BMC Med* 11: 116.
 57. Stearns SC (2012) Evolutionary medicine: its scope, interest and potential. *Proc Biol Sci* 279(1746): 4305-4321.
 58. Sattenspiel L (2000) Evolutionary medicine. *JAMA* 284: 99-100.
 59. Alcock Joe (2014) Emergence of evolutionary medicine: publication trends from 1991-2010. *J Evol Med* 1: 235572.
 60. Pennisi E (2011) Darwinian medicine's drawn-out dawn. *Science* 334(6062): 1486-1487.
 61. Nesse RM (2001) How is Darwinian medicine useful? *West J Med* 174(5): 358-360.
 62. Finn R (1996) Darwinian medicine. *J R Soc Med* 89: 475-476.
 63. Goldsmith MF (1993) Ancestors may provide clinical answers, say 'Darwinian' medical evolutionists. *JAMA* 269(12): 1477-1480.
 64. Williams GC, Nesse RM (1991) The dawn of Darwinian medicine. *Q Rev Biol* 66(1): 1-22.
 65. Nesse RM, Stearns SC, Omenn GS (2006) Medicine needs evolution. *Science* 311(5764): 1071.
 66. Lee M (1995) Evolution and healing. *Lancet* 346: 686.
 67. Varki A (2012) Nothing in medicine makes sense, except in the light of evolution. *J Mol Med* 90(5): 481-494.
 68. Nesse RM, Stearns SC (2008) The great opportunity: Evolutionary applications to medicine and public health. *Evol Appl* 1(1): 28-48.
 69. Eaton SB, Konner M (1985) Paleolithic nutrition. A consideration of its nature and current implications. *N Engl J Med* 312(5): 283-289.
 70. Cordain L, Eaton SB, Sebastian A, Mann N, Lindeberg S, et al. (2005) Origins and evolution of the Western diet: health implications for the 21st century. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81(2): 341-354.
 71. Simopoulos AP (1999) Genetic variation and nutrition. *Nutr Rev* 57(5 part 2): S10-9.
 72. Eaton SB, Konner M, Shostak M (1988) Stone agers in the fast lane: chronic degenerative diseases in evolutionary perspective. *Am J Med* 84(4): 739-749.
 73. Roberts WC (1995) Preventing and arresting coronary atherosclerosis. *Am Heart J* 130(3 part 1): 580-600.
 74. Carpenter KJ (1992) Protein requirements of adults from an evolutionary perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 55(5): 913-917.
 75. Eaton SB (1992) Humans, lipids and evolution. *Lipids* 27(10): 814-820.
 76. Poston WS 2nd, Foreyt JP (1999) Obesity is an environmental issue. *Atherosclerosis* 146(2): 201-209.
 77. Williams RR, Hasstedt SJ, Wilson DE, Ash KO, Yanowitz FF, et al. (1986) Evidence that men with familial hypercholesterolemia can avoid early coronary death. An analysis of 77 gene carriers in four Utah pedigrees. *JAMA* 255(2): 219-224.
 78. Schaefer EJ, Lichtenstein AH, Lamon Fava S, McNamara JR, Schaefer MM, et al. (1995) Body weight and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol changes after consumption of a low-fat ad libitum diet. *JAMA* 274(18): 1450-1455.
 79. Masharani U, Sherchan P, Schloetter M, Stratford S, Xiao A, et al. (2015) Metabolic and physiologic effects from consuming a hunter-gatherer (Paleolithic)-type diet in type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 69(8): 944-948.

80. Pastore RL, Brooks JT, Carbone JW (2015) Paleolithic nutrition improves plasma lipid concentrations of hypercholesterolemic adults to a greater extent than traditional heart-healthy dietary recommendations. *Nutr Res* 35(6): 474-479.
81. Bligh HF, Godsland IF, Frost G, Hunter KJ, Murray P, et al. (2015) Plant-rich mixed meals based on Palaeolithic diet principles have a dramatic impact on incretin, peptide YY and satiety response, but show little effect on glucose and insulin homeostasis: an acute-effects randomised study. *Br J Nutr* 113(4): 574-584.
82. Boers I, Muskiet FA, Berkelaar E, Schut E, Penders R, et al. (2014) Favourable effects of consuming a Palaeolithic-type diet on characteristics of the metabolic syndrome: a randomized controlled pilot-study. *Lipids Health Dis* 13: 160.
83. Jönsson T, Granfeldt Y, Lindeberg S, Hallberg AC (2013) Subjective satiety and other experiences of a Paleolithic diet compared to a diabetes diet in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Nutr J* 12: 105.
84. Jönsson T, Granfeldt Y, Erlanson Albertsson C, Åhrén B, Lindeberg S, et al. (2010) A paleolithic diet is more satiating per calorie than a mediterranean-like diet in individuals with ischemic heart disease. *Nutr Metab* 7: 85.
85. Jönsson T, Granfeldt Y, Åhrén B, Branell UC, Pålsson G, et al. (2009) Beneficial effects of a Paleolithic diet on cardiovascular risk factors in type 2 diabetes: a randomized cross-over pilot study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 8: 35.
86. Frassetto LA, Schloetter M, Mietus Synder M, Morris RC Jr, Sebastian A, et al. (2009) Metabolic and physiologic improvements from consuming a paleolithic, hunter-gatherer type diet. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 63(8): 947-955.
87. Osterdahl M, Kocturk T, Koochek A, Wändell PE (2008) Effects of a short-term intervention with a paleolithic diet in healthy volunteers. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 62(5): 682-685.
88. Lindeberg S, Jönsson T, Granfeldt Y, Borgstrand E, Soffman J, et al. (2007) A Palaeolithic diet improves glucose tolerance more than a Mediterranean-like diet in individuals with ischaemic heart disease. *Diabetologia* 50(9): 1795-1807.
89. Baschetti R (2006) Carbohydrate intake, serum lipids and evolution. *J Am Coll Nutr* 25(5): 437.
90. Baschetti R (2006) Definition of low-fat diets. *Arch Intern Med* 166(13): 1419-1420.
91. Baschetti R (2006) Diabetes susceptibility. *CMAJ* 174(11): 1597-1598.
92. Eaton SB (2006) The ancestral human diet: what was it and should it be a paradigm for contemporary nutrition? *Proc Nutr Soc* 65(1): 1-6.
93. Baschetti R (2005) The ideal diet is the one indicated by evolution. *Am J Cardiol* 96(1): pp. 166.
94. O'Keefe JH Jr, Cordain L (2004) Cardiovascular disease resulting from a diet and lifestyle at odds with our Paleolithic genome: how to become a 21st-century hunter-gatherer. *Mayo Clin Proc* 79(1): 101-108.
95. Baschetti R (2004) The diet-heart hypothesis: an evolutionary support. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 44(9): 1934-1935.
96. Baschetti R (2004) Preventing Type 2 diabetes: an evolutionary view. *Diabet Med* 21(6): 649-650.
97. Eaton SB, Strassman BI, Nesse RM, Neel JV, Ewald PW, et al. (2002) Williams GC, Weder AB, Eaton SB 3rd, Lindeberg S, Konner MJ, et al. Evolutionary health promotion. *Prev Med* 34(2): 109-118.
98. Eaton SB, Cordain L, Lindeberg S (2002) Evolutionary health promotion: a consideration of common counterarguments. *Prev Med* 34: 119-123.
99. Eaton SB, Eaton SB 3rd (2000) Paleolithic vs. modern diets--selected pathophysiological implications. *Eur J Nutr* 39(2): 67-70.
100. Baschetti R (2000) Effect of dietary manipulations on plasma leptin. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 24: 1542-1543.
101. Baschetti R (2000) Diabetes in aboriginal populations. *CMAJ* 162: 969.
102. Baschetti R Vegetarian diet. *QJM* 2000 93: 387.
103. Baschetti R (1999) Very-low fat diets. *Circulation* 100: 1013.
104. Baschetti R (1999) Comment on Laurila and colleagues' article. *Atherosclerosis* 147(1): 199-200.
105. Baschetti R (1999) Evolution, cholesterol, and low-fat diets. *Circulation* 99(1): 166.
106. Baschetti R (1998) Low carbohydrate intake and oral glucose-tolerance tests. *Lancet* 352(9135): 1223-1224.
107. Baschetti R (1998) Low-fat diets and HDL cholesterol. *Am J Clin Nutr* 68: 1143-1144.
108. Eaton SB, Eaton SB 3rd, Konner MJ (1997) Paleolithic nutrition revisited: a twelve-year retrospective on its nature and implications. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 51(4): 207-216.
109. Baschetti R (1997) Paleolithic nutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 51: 715-716.
110. Baschetti R (1997) The low fat/low cholesterol diet. *Eur Heart J* 18: 1514-1515.
111. Eaton SB, Eaton SB 3rd, Konner MJ, Shostak M (1996) An evolutionary perspective enhances understanding of human nutritional requirements. *J Nutr* 126(6): 1732-1740.
112. Burkitt DP, Eaton SB (1989) Putting the wrong fuel in the tank. *Nutrition* 5(3): 189-191.
113. Manheimer EW, van Zuuren EJ, Fedorowicz Z, Pijl H (2015) Paleolithic nutrition for metabolic syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 102(4): 922-932.
114. Milton K (2000) Hunter-gatherer diets-a different perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 71(3): 665-667.
115. Nesse RM, Bergstrom CT, Ellison PT, Flier JS, Gluckman P, et al. (2010) Making evolutionary biology a basic science for medicine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:1800-1807.
116. Hackett E (1984) The fruit people. Part 1. *Med J Aust* 141(11): 736-738.
117. Sponheimer M, Lee Thorp JA (1999) Isotopic evidence for the diet of an early hominid, *Australopithecus africanus*. *Science* 283(5400): 368-370.
118. Kay RF (1977) Diets of early Miocene African hominoids. *Nature* 268: 628-630.
119. Wood B, Brooks A (1999) We are what we ate. *Nature* 400: 219-220.
120. Culotta E (1992) A new take on anthropoid origins. *Science* 256(5063): 1516-1517.
121. Tuttle RH (1998) Great ape societies. *Am Sci* 86: 90.
122. Garland T Jr, Carter PA (1994) Evolutionary physiology. *Annu Rev Physiol* 56: 579-621.
123. Lunetta M, Di Mauro M, Crimi S, Mughini L (1995) No important differences in glycaemic responses to common fruits in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabet Med* 12(8): 674-678.
124. Roongpisuthipong C, Banphotkasem S, Komindr S, Tanphaichitr V (1991) Postprandial glucose and insulin responses to various tropical fruits of equivalent carbohydrate content in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 14(2): 123-131.
125. Hoover-Plow J, Savesky J, Dailey G (1987) The glycemic response to meals with six different fruits in insulin-dependent diabetics using a

- home blood-glucose monitoring system. *Am J Clin Nutr* 45(1): 92-97.
126. Haber GB, Heaton KW, Murphy D, Burroughs LF (1977) Depletion and disruption of dietary fibre. Effects on satiety, plasma-glucose, and serum-insulin. *Lancet* 2(8040): 679-682.
 127. Macdonald IA (1996) Physiological regulation of gastric emptying and glucose absorption. *Diabet Med* 13: S11-5.
 128. Bolton RP, Heaton KW, Burroughs LF (1981) The role of dietary fiber in satiety, glucose, and insulin: studies with fruit and fruit juice. *Am J Clin Nutr* 34(2): 211-217.
 129. Kay RM, Stitt S (1978) Food form, postprandial glycemia, and satiety. *Am J Clin Nutr* 31(5): 738-739.
 130. Liu ZM, Leung J, Wong SY, Wong CK, Chan R, et al. (2015) Greater fruit intake was associated with better bone mineral status among Chinese elderly men and women: results of Hong Kong Mr. Os and Ms. Os studies. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 16(4): 309-315.
 131. Davis MA, Bynum JP, Sirovich BE (2015) Association between apple consumption and physician visits: appealing the conventional wisdom that an apple a day keeps the doctor away. *JAMA Intern Med* 175(5):777-783.
 132. Subash S, Essa MM, Al Adawi S, Memon MA, Manivasagam T, et al. (2014) Neuroprotective effects of berry fruits on neurodegenerative diseases. *Neural Regen Res* 9(16): 1557-1566.
 133. Wang Q, Chen Y, Wang X, Gong G, Li G, et al. (2014) Consumption of fruit, but not vegetables, may reduce risk of gastric cancer: results from a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Eur J Cancer* 50(8):1498-1509.
 134. Ciacci C, Russo I, Bucci C, Iovino P, Pellegrini L, et al. (2014) The kiwi fruit peptide kissper displays anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant effects in in-vitro and ex-vivo human intestinal models. *Clin Exp Immunol* 175(3): 476-484.
 135. Asgary S, Sahebkar A, Afshani MR, Keshvari M, Haghjooyjavanmard S, et al. (2014) Clinical evaluation of blood pressure lowering, endothelial function improving, hypolipidemic and anti-inflammatory effects of pomegranate juice in hypertensive subjects. *Phytother Res* 28(2): 193-199.
 136. Gorinstein S, Caspi A, Libman I, Katrich E, Lerner HT, et al. (2004) Preventive effects of diets supplemented with sweetie fruits in hypercholesterolemic patients suffering from coronary artery disease. *Prev Med* 38(6): 841-847.
 137. Strandhagen E, Hansson PO, Bosaeus I, Isaksson B, Eriksson H (2000) High fruit intake may reduce mortality among middle-aged and elderly men. The Study of Men Born in 1913. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 54(4): 337-341.
 138. Wang M, Yu M, Fang L, Hu RY (2015) Association between sugar-sweetened beverages and type 2 diabetes: A meta- analysis. *J Diabetes Investig* 6(3): 360-366.
 139. Teshima N, Shimo M, Miyazawa K, Konegawa S, Matsumoto A, et al. (2015) Effects of sugar-sweetened beverage intake on the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance: the mihama diabetes prevention study. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* 61(1): 14-19.
 140. Connor L, Imamura F, Lentjes MA, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, et al. (2015) Prospective associations and population impact of sweet beverage intake and type 2 diabetes, and effects of substitutions with alternative beverages. *Diabetologia* 58(7): 1474-1483.
 141. de Koning L, Malik VS, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB (2011) Sugar-sweetened and artificially sweetened beverage consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 93(6): 1321-1327.
 142. Palmer JR, Boggs DA, Krishnan S, Hu FB, Singer M, et al. (2008) Sugar-sweetened beverages and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in African American women. *Arch Intern Med* 168(14): 1487-1492.
 143. Mirmiran P, Yuzbashian E, Asghari G, Hosseinpour Niazi S, Azizi F (2015) Consumption of sugar sweetened beverage is associated with incidence of metabolic syndrome in Tehranian children and adolescents. *Nutr Metab* 12: 25.
 144. Ejtahed HS, Bahadoran Z, Mirmiran P, Azizi F (2015) Sugar-sweetened beverage consumption is associated with metabolic syndrome in Iranian adults: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Endocrinol Metab* 30(3): 334-342.
 145. Chan TF, Lin WT, Huang HL, Lee CY, Wu PW, et al. (2014) Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages is associated with components of the metabolic syndrome in adolescents. *Nutrients* 6(5): 2088-2103.
 146. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Després JP, Willett WC, et al. (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 33(11): 2477-2483.
 147. Ambrosini GL, Oddy WH, Huang RC, Mori TA, Beilin LJ, et al. (2013) Prospective associations between sugar- sweetened beverage intakes and cardiometabolic risk factors in adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 98(2): 327-334.
 148. Kosova EC, Auinger P, Bremer AA (2013) The relationships between sugar-sweetened beverage intake and cardiometabolic markers in young children. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 113(2): 219-227.
 149. Richelsen B (2013) Sugar-sweetened beverages and cardio-metabolic disease risks. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 16(4): 478-484.
 150. de Koning L, Malik VS, Kellogg MD, Rimm EB, Willett WC, et al. (2012) Sweetened beverage consumption, incident coronary heart disease, and biomarkers of risk in men. *Circulation* 125(14): 1735-1741.
 151. Huffman MD (2012) Association or causation of sugar-sweetened beverages and coronary heart disease: recalling Sir Austin Bradford Hill. *Circulation* 125(14): 1718-1720.
 152. Aeberli I, Gerber PA, Hochuli M, Kohler S, Haile SR, et al. (2011) Low to moderate sugar-sweetened beverage consumption impairs glucose and lipid metabolism and promotes inflammation in healthy young men: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 94(2): 479-485.
 153. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Després JP, Hu FB (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease risk. *Circulation* 121(11): 1356-1364.
 154. Fung TT, Malik V, Rexrode KM, Manson JE, Willett WC, et al. (2009) Sweetened beverage consumption and risk of coronary heart disease in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89(4): 1037-1042.
 155. Lim L, Banwell C, Bain C, Banks E, Seubsman SA, et al. (2014) Sugar sweetened beverages and weight gain over 4 years in a Thai national cohort --a prospective analysis. *PLoS One* 9(5): e95309.
 156. Malik VS, Pan A, Willett WC, Hu FB (2013) Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 98(4): 1084-1102.
 157. de Ruyter JC, Olthof MR, Seidell JC, Katan MB (2012) A trial of sugar-free or sugar-sweetened beverages and body weight in children. *N Engl J Med* 367: 1397-1406.
 158. Ebbeling CB, Feldman HA, Chomitz VR, Antonelli TA, Gortmaker SL, et al. (2012) A randomized trial of sugar-sweetened beverages and adolescent body weight. *N Engl J Med* 367: 1407-1416.
 159. Collison KS, Zaidi MZ, Subhani SN, Al Rubeaan K, Shoukri M, et al. (2010) Sugar-sweetened carbonated beverage consumption correlates with BMI, waist circumference, and poor dietary choices in school children. *BMC Public Health* 10: 234.
 160. Jiménez Aguilar A, Flores M, Shamah Levy T (2009) Sugar-sweetened beverages consumption and BMI in Mexican adolescents: Mexican National Health and Nutrition Survey 2006. *Salud Publica Mex* 51: S604-612.

161. Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB (2006) Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr* 84(2): 274-288.
162. Schulze MB, Manson JE, Ludwig DS, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, et al. (2004) Sugar-sweetened beverages, weight gain, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in young and middle-aged women. *JAMA* 292(8): 927-934.
163. Hu FB (2013) Resolved: there is sufficient scientific evidence that decreasing sugar-sweetened beverage consumption will reduce the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases. *Obes Rev* 14(8): 606-619.
164. Shang XW, Liu AL, Zhang Q, Hu XQ, Du SM, et al. (2012) Report on childhood obesity in China (9): sugar-sweetened beverages consumption and obesity. *Biomed Environ Sci* 25(2): 125-132.
165. Rhee JJ, Mattei J, Campos H (2012) Association between commercial and traditional sugar-sweetened beverages and measures of adiposity in Costa Rica. *Public Health Nutr* 15(8): 1347-1354.
166. Qi Q, Chu AY, Kang JH, Jensen MK, Curhan GC, et al. (2012) Sugar-sweetened beverages and genetic risk of obesity. *N Engl J Med* 367(15): 1387-1396.
167. Odegaard AO, Choh AC, Czerwinski SA, Towne B, Demerath EW (2012) Sugar-sweetened and diet beverages in relation to visceral adipose tissue. *Obesity* 20(3): 689-691.
168. Hu FB, Malik VS (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: epidemiologic evidence. *Physiol Behav* 100(1): 47-54.
169. Donini LM, Savina C, Gennaro E, De Felice MR, Rosano A, et al. (2012) A systematic review of the literature concerning the relationship between obesity and mortality in the elderly. *J Nutr Health Aging* 16(1): 89-98.
170. Pérez Pérez A, Ybarra Muñoz J, Blay Cortés V, de Pablos Velasco P (2007) Obesity and cardiovascular disease. *Public Health Nutr* 10: 1156-1163.
171. Dustan HP (1992) Cardiovascular consequences of obesity. *Chin Med J* 105: 360-363.
172. Drewnowski A, Bellisle F (2007) Liquid calories, sugar, and body weight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 85(3): 651-661.
173. Oh RC (2005) The Socratic Method in medicine—the labor of delivering medical truths. *Fam Med* 37(8): 537-539.
174. Miller JB, Pang E, Broomhead L (1995) The glycaemic index of foods containing sugars: comparison of foods with naturally-occurring v. added sugars. *Br J Nutr* 73(4): 613-623.
175. Anderson GH (1997) Sugars and health: a review. *Nutr Res* 17(9): 1485-1498.
176. Ruxton CH, Garceau FJ, Cottrell RC (1999) Guidelines for sugar consumption in Europe: is a quantitative approach justified? *Eur J Clin Nutr* 53(7): 503-513.
177. Roffidal Blanco C, Giles TD (2011) Potassium: a non-celebrity cation. *J Clin Hypertens* 13(8): 541-542.
178. Ramona Cristina HM, Gabriel HM, Petru N, Radu S, Adina N, et al. (2014) The monitoring of mineral elements content in fruit purchased in supermarkets and food markets from Timisoara, Romania. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 21(1): 98-105.
179. Tobian L (1988) Potassium and hypertension. *Nutr Rev* 46: 273-283.
180. Weaver CM (2013) Potassium and health. *Adv Nutr* 4: 368S-77S.
181. D Elia L, Barba G, Cappuccio FP, Strazzullo P (2011) Potassium intake, stroke, and cardiovascular disease. A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 57(10): 1210-1219.
182. He FJ, MacGregor GA (2008) Beneficial effects of potassium on human health. *Physiol Plant* 133(4): 725-735.
183. He FJ, MacGregor GA (2001) Fortnightly review: Beneficial effects of potassium. *BMJ* 323(7311): 497-501.
184. Khaw KT, Barrett Connor E (1987) Dietary potassium and stroke-associated mortality. A 12-year prospective population study. *N Engl J Med* 316(5): 235-240.
185. Jansson B (1986) Geographic cancer risk and intracellular potassium/sodium ratios. *Cancer Detect Prev* 9:171-194.
186. Abelson PH (1994) Adequate supplies of fruits and vegetables. *Science* 266(5189): 1303.
187. Naismith DJ, Braschi A (2003) The effect of low-dose potassium supplementation on blood pressure in apparently healthy volunteers. *Br J Nutr* 90(1): 53-60.
188. Conn JW (1965) Hypertension, the potassium ion and impaired carbohydrate tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 273(21): 1135-1143.
189. Rowe JW, Tobin JD, Rosa RM, Andres R (1980) Effect of experimental potassium deficiency on glucose and insulin metabolism. *Metabolism* 29(6): 498-502.
190. Anderson JW, Herman RH, Newcomer KL (1969) Improvement in glucose tolerance of fasting obese patients given oral potassium. *Am J Clin Nutr* 22(12): 1589-1596.
191. Kopyt N, Dalal F, Narins RG (1985) Renal retention of potassium in fruit. *N Engl J Med* 313(9): 582-583.
192. Kurtz TW, Al Bander HA, Morris RC Jr (1987) "Salt-sensitive" essential hypertension in men. Is the sodium ion alone important? *N Engl J Med* 317(17): 1043-1048.
193. Overlack A, Maus B, Ruppert M, Lennarz M, Kolloch R, et al. (1995) Potassium citrate versus potassium chloride in essential hypertension. Effects on hemodynamic, hormonal and metabolic parameters. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 120(18): 631-635.
194. Braschi A, Naismith DJ (2008) The effect of a dietary supplement of potassium chloride or potassium citrate on blood pressure in predominantly normotensive volunteers. *Br J Nutr* 99(6): 1284-1292.
195. He FJ, Markandu ND, Coltart R, Barron J, MacGregor GA (2005) Effect of short-term supplementation of potassium chloride and potassium citrate on blood pressure in hypertensives. *Hypertension* 45(4): 571-574.
196. Beard TC (1990) A salt-hypertension hypothesis. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 16: S35-38.
197. Miller KC (2012) Plasma potassium concentration and content changes after banana ingestion in exercised men. *J Athl Train* 47(6): 648-654.
198. D Elia L, Galletti F, Strazzullo P (2014) Dietary salt intake and risk of gastric cancer. *Cancer Treat Res* 159: 83-95.
199. Packer M (1990) Potential role of potassium as a determinant of morbidity and mortality in patients with systemic hypertension and congestive heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 65(10):45-51.
200. de Wardener HE, MacGregor GA (2002) Harmful effects of dietary salt in addition to hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens* 16(4) :213-223.
201. Takase H, Sugiura T, Kimura G, Ohte N, Dohi Y (2015) Dietary sodium consumption predicts future blood pressure and incident hypertension in the Japanese normotensive general population. *J Am Heart Assoc* 4(8): e001959.
202. Ha SK (2014) Dietary salt intake and hypertension. *Electrolyte Blood Press* 12(1): 7-18.
203. Elliott P, Walker LL, Little MP, Blair West JR, Shade RE, et al. (2007) Change in salt intake affects blood pressure of chimpanzees:

- implications for human populations. *Circulation*. 116(14): 1563-1568.
204. Dyer AR, Stamler R, Elliott P, Stamler J (1995) Dietary salt and blood pressure. *Nat Med* 1: 994-996.
205. Denton D, Weisinger R, Mundy NI, Wickings EJ, Dixson A, et al. (1995) The effect of increased salt intake on blood pressure of chimpanzees. *Nat Med* 1(10): 1009-1016.
206. Kieneker LM, Gansevoort RT, Mukamal KJ, de Boer RA, Navis G, et al. (2014) Urinary potassium excretion and risk of developing hypertension: the prevention of renal and vascular end-stage disease study. *Hypertension* 64(4): 769-776.
207. Du S, Batis C, Wang H, Zhang B, Zhang J, et al. (2014) Understanding the patterns and trends of sodium intake, potassium intake, and sodium to potassium ratio and their effect on hypertension in China. *Am J Clin Nutr* 99(2): 334-343.
208. Adrogué HJ, Madias NE (2014) The impact of sodium and potassium on hypertension risk. *Semin Nephrol* 34(3): 257-272.
209. Castro H, Raij L (2013) Potassium in hypertension and cardiovascular disease. *Semin Nephrol* 33(3): 277-289.
210. O'Donnell M, Mente A, Yusuf S (2015) Sodium intake and cardiovascular health. *Circ Res* 116(6): 1046-1057.
211. Bruins MJ, Dötsch Klerk M, Matthee J, Kearney M, van Elk K, et al. (2015) A modelling approach to estimate the impact of sodium reduction in soups on cardiovascular health in the Netherlands. *Nutrients* 7(9): 8010-8019.
212. Maruyama K, Kagota S, Van Vliet BN, Wakuda H, Shinozuka K (2015) A maternal high salt diet disturbs cardiac and vascular function of offspring. *Life Sci* 136: 42-51.
213. Edwards DG, Farquhar WB (2015) Vascular effects of dietary salt. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 24(1): 8-13.
214. Aaron KJ, Sanders PW (2013) Role of dietary salt and potassium intake in cardiovascular health and disease: a review of the evidence. *Mayo Clin Proc* 88(9): 987-995.
215. Aburto NJ, Hanson S, Gutierrez H, Hooper L, Elliott P, et al. (2013) Effect of increased potassium intake on cardiovascular risk factors and disease: systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ* 346: 1378.
216. Ando K, Matsui H, Fujita M, Fujita T (2010) Protective effect of dietary potassium against cardiovascular damage in salt-sensitive hypertension: possible role of its antioxidant action. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 8(1): 59-63.
217. Appel LJ (2014) Reducing sodium intake to prevent stroke: time for action, not hesitation. *Stroke* 45(3): 909-911.
218. Tomonari T, Fukuda M, Miura T, Mizuno M, Wakamatsu TY, et al. (2011) Is salt intake an independent risk factor of stroke mortality? Demographic analysis by regions in Japan. *J Am Soc Hypertens* 5(6): 456-462.
219. Perry IJ (2000) Dietary salt intake and cerebrovascular damage. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 10(4): 229-235.
220. Hunt BD, Cappuccio FP (2014) Potassium intake and stroke risk: a review of the evidence and practical considerations for achieving a minimum target. *Stroke* 45(5): 1519-1522.
221. D'Elia L, Iannotta C, Sabino P, Ippolito R (2014) Potassium-rich diet and risk of stroke: updated meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 24(6): 585-587.
222. Gillman MW, Cupples LA, Gagnon D, Posner BM, Ellison RC, et al. (1995) Protective effect of fruits and vegetables on development of stroke in men. *JAMA* 273(14):1113-1117.
223. Teucher B, Dainty JR, Spinks CA, Majsak Newman G, Berry DJ, et al. (2008) Sodium and bone health: impact of moderately high and low salt intakes on calcium metabolism in postmenopausal women. *J Bone Miner Res* 23(9): 1477-1485.
224. Heaney RP (2006) Role of dietary sodium in osteoporosis. *J Am Coll Nutr* 25: 271-276.
225. Devine A, Criddle RA, Dick IM, Kerr DA, Prince RL (1995) A longitudinal study of the effect of sodium and calcium intakes on regional bone density in postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 62(4): 740-745.
226. He FJ, Marciniak M, Carney C, Markandu ND, Anand V, et al. (2010) Effects of potassium chloride and potassium bicarbonate on endothelial function, cardiovascular risk factors, and bone turnover in mild hypertensives. *Hypertension* 55(3): 681-688.
227. Golledge J, Moxon JV, Jones RE, Hankey GJ, Yeap BB, et al. (2015) Reported amount of salt added to food is associated with increased all-cause and cancer-related mortality in older men in a prospective cohort study. *J Nutr Health Aging* 19(8): 805-811.
228. Lin SH, Li YH, Leung K, Huang CY, Wang XR (2014) Salt processed food and gastric cancer in a Chinese population. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 15(13): 5293-5298.
229. Gaddy JA, Radin JN, Loh JT, Zhang F, Washington MK, et al. (2013) High dietary salt intake exacerbates *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastric carcinogenesis. *Infect Immun* 81(6): 2258-2267.
230. Ge S, Feng X, Shen L, Wei Z, Zhu Q, et al. (2012) Association between habitual dietary salt intake and risk of gastric cancer: a systematic review of observational studies. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2012: 808120.
231. Turati F, Rossi M, Pelucchi C, Levi F, La Vecchia C (2015) Fruit and vegetables and cancer risk: a review of southern European studies. *Br J Nutr* 113: 102-110.
232. Bradbury KE, Appleby PN, Key TJ (2014) Fruit, vegetable, and fiber intake in relation to cancer risk: findings from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Am J Clin Nutr* 100(suppl 1): 394S-398S.
233. Jaganathan SK, Vellayappan MV, Narasimhan G, Supriyanto E, Octorina Dewi DE, et al. (2014) Chemopreventive effect of apple and berry fruits against colon cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 20(45): 17029-17036.
234. Jaganathan SK, Vellayappan MV, Narasimhan G, Supriyanto E (2014) Role of pomegranate and citrus fruit juices in colon cancer prevention. *World J Gastroenterol* 20(16): 4618-4625.
235. Mickleborough TD (2010) Salt intake, asthma, and exercise-induced bronchoconstriction: a review. *Phys Sportsmed* 38(1): 118-131.
236. Sausenthaler S, Kompauer I, Brasche S, Linseisen J, Heinrich J, et al. (2005) Sodium intake and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in adults. *Respir Med* 99(7): 864-870.
237. Mickleborough TD, Lindley MR, Ray S (2005) Dietary salt, airway inflammation, and diffusion capacity in exercise-induced asthma. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 37(6): 904-914.
238. Seydrezazadeh E, Moghaddam MP, Ansarin K, Vafa MR, Sharma S, et al. (2014) Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of wheezing and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev* 72(7): 411-428.
239. Nurmatov U, Devereux G, Sheikh A (2011) Nutrients and foods for the primary prevention of asthma and allergy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;127(3): 724-733.
240. Njå F, Nystad W, Lødrup Carlsen KC, Hetlevik O, Carlsen KH, et al. (2005) Effects of early intake of fruit or vegetables in relation to later asthma and allergic sensitization in school-age children. *Acta Paediatr* 94(2):147-154.

241. Massey LK, Whiting SJ (1995) Dietary salt, urinary calcium, and kidney stone risk. *Nutr Rev* 53(5): 131-139.
242. Antonios TF, MacGregor GA (1995) Salt intake: potential deleterious effects excluding blood pressure. *J Hum Hypertens* 9(6): 511-515.
243. Sakhaee K, Harvey JA, Padalino PK, Whitson P, Pak CY, et al. (1993) The potential role of salt abuse on the risk for kidney stone formation. *J Urol* 150(part 2): 310-312.
244. McNally MA, Pyzik PL, Rubenstein JE, Hamdy RF, Kossoff EH, et al. (2009) Empiric use of potassium citrate reduces kidney-stone incidence with the ketogenic diet. *Pediatrics* 124(2): e300-304.
245. Zerwekh JE, Odvina CV, Wuermsler LA, Pak CY (2007) Reduction of renal stone risk by potassium-magnesium citrate during 5 weeks of bed rest. *J Urol* 177(6): 2179-2184.
246. Cirillo M, Laurenzi M, Panarelli W, Stamler J (1994) Urinary sodium to potassium ratio and urinary stone disease. The Gubbio Population Study Research Group. *Kidney Int* 46(4): 1133-1139.
247. He FJ, Burnier M, Macgregor GA (2011) Nutrition in cardiovascular disease: salt in hypertension and heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 32(24): 3073-3080.
248. Arcand J, Ivanov J, Sasson A, Floras V, Al-Hesayen A, et al. (2011) A high- sodium diet is associated with acute decompensated heart failure in ambulatory heart failure patients: a prospective follow-up study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 93(2): 332-337.
249. He J, Ogden LG, Bazzano LA, Vupputuri S, Loria C, et al. (2002) Dietary sodium intake and incidence of congestive heart failure in overweight US men and women: first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Arch Intern Med* 162(14): 1619-1624.
250. He FJ, MacGregor GA (2003) Potassium: more beneficial effects. *Climacteric* S3: 36-48.
251. Sodi-Pallares D, Fishleder BL, Cisneros F, Vizcaino M, Bisteni A, et al. (1960) A low sodium, high water, high potassium regimen in the successful management of some cardiovascular diseases. Preliminary clinical report. *Can Med Assoc J* 83(6): 243-257.
252. Humalda JK, Navis G (2014) Dietary sodium restriction: a neglected therapeutic opportunity in chronic kidney disease *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 23(6): 533-540.
253. McMahon EJ, Bauer JD, Hawley CM, Isbel NM, Stowasser M, et al. (2013) A randomized trial of dietary sodium restriction in CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 24(12): 2096-2103.
254. Krikken JA, Laverman GD, Navis G (2009) Benefits of dietary sodium restriction in the management of chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 18(6): 531-538.
255. Ritz E, Koleganova N, Piecha G (2009) Role of sodium intake in the progression of chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Nutr* 19(1): 61-62.
256. Hendriksen MA, Hoogenveen RT, Hoekstra J, Geleijnse JM, Boshuizen HC, et al. (2011) Potential effect of salt reduction in processed foods on health. *Am J Clin Nutr* 99(3): 446-453.
257. Frohlich ED, Susic D (2011) Sodium and its multiorgan targets. *Circulation* 124(17): 1882-1885.
258. Shaldon S, Vienken J (2009) Salt, the neglected silent killer. *Semin Dial* 22(3): 264-266.
259. Yang Q, Liu T, Kuklina EV, Flanders WD, Hong Y, et al. (2011) Sodium and potassium intake and mortality among US adults: prospective data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arch Intern Med* 171(13): 1183-1191.
260. Beets MW, Weaver RG, Tilley F, Turner-McGrievy G, Huberty J, et al. (2015) Salty or sweet? Nutritional quality, consumption, and cost of snacks served in afterschool programs. *J Sch Health* 85(2): 118-124.
261. Hunt JN, Pathak JD (1960) The osmotic effects of some simple molecules and ions on gastric emptying. *J Physiol* 154(2): 254-269.
262. Gray GM (1975) Carbohydrate digestion and absorption. Role of the small intestine. *N Engl J Med* 292(23): 1225-1230.
263. Olsen WA, Ingelfinger FJ (1968) The role of sodium in intestinal glucose absorption in man. *J Clin Invest* 47(5): 1133-1142.
264. Ferrannini E, Barrett E, Bevilacqua S, Dupre J, DeFronzo RA (1982) Sodium elevates the plasma glucose response to glucose ingestion in man. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 54(2): 455-458.
265. Grimes CA, Riddell LJ, Campbell KJ, Nowson CA (2013) Dietary salt intake, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption, and obesity risk. *Pediatrics* 131(1): 14-21.
266. Grimes CA, Wright JD, Liu K, Nowson CA, Loria CM (2013) Dietary sodium intake is associated with total fluid and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption in US children and adolescents aged 2-18 y: NHANES 2005-2008. *Am J Clin Nutr* 98(1): 189-196.
267. He FJ, Marrero NM, MacGregor GA (2008) Salt intake is related to soft drink consumption in children and adolescents: a link to obesity? *Hypertension* 51(3): 629-634.
268. Baschetti R (1999) Gender differences in gastric emptying. *Eur J Nucl Med* 26: 295-296.
269. Baschetti R (1997) Gastric emptying: gender differences. *N Z Med J* 110: 238.
270. Oliver WJ, Cohen EL, Neel JV (1975) Blood pressure, sodium intake, and sodium related hormones in the Yanomamo Indians, a "no-salt" culture. *Circulation* 52(1): 146-151.
271. Rippe JM, Angelopoulos TJ (2013) Sucrose, high-fructose corn syrup, and fructose, their metabolism and potential health effects: what do we really know? *Adv Nutr* 4(2): 236-245.
272. Aeberli I, Hochuli M, Gerber PA, Sze L, Murer SB, Tappy L, et al. (2013) Moderate amounts of fructose consumption impair insulin sensitivity in healthy young men: a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 36(1): 150-156.
273. Silbernagel G, Machann J, Unmuth S, Schick F, Stefan N, et al. (2011) Effects of 4-week very-high- fructose/glucose diets on insulin sensitivity, visceral fat and intrahepatic lipids: an exploratory trial. *Br J Nutr* 106(1): 79-86.
274. Ngo Sock ET, Lê KA, Ith M, Kreis R, Boesch C, et al. (2010) Effects of a short-term overfeeding with fructose or glucose in healthy young males. *Br J Nutr* 103(7): 939-943.
275. Lê KA, Ith M, Kreis R, Faeh D, Bortolotti M, et al. (2009) Fructose overconsumption causes dyslipidemia and ectopic lipid deposition in healthy subjects with and without a family history of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89(6): 1760-1765.
276. Lê KA, Faeh D, Stettler R, Ith M, Kreis R, et al. (2006) A 4-wk high-fructose diet alters lipid metabolism without affecting insulin sensitivity or ectopic lipids in healthy humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 84(6): 1374-1379.
277. Moran TH, McHugh PR (1981) Distinctions among three sugars in their effects on gastric emptying and satiety. *Am J Physiol* 241(1): 25-30.
278. Fulgoni V 3rd (2008) High-fructose corn syrup: everything you wanted to know but were afraid to ask. *Am J Clin Nutr* 88(6): 1715.
279. Bray GA (2010) Fructose: pure, white, and deadly? Fructose, by any other name, is a health hazard. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 4(4): 1003-1007.
280. Stanhope KL, Griffen SC, Bair BR, Swarbrick MM, Keim NL, et al. (2008) Twenty-four-hour endocrine and metabolic profiles following consumption of high-fructose corn syrup-, sucrose-, fructose-, and glucose- sweetened beverages with meals. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87(5): 1194-1203.

281. Raatz SK, Johnson LK, Picklo MJ (2015) Consumption of honey, sucrose, and high-fructose corn syrup produces similar metabolic effects in glucose-tolerant and -intolerant individuals. *J Nutr* 145: 2265-2272.
282. Eteraf Oskouei T, Najafi M (2013) Traditional and modern uses of natural honey in human diseases: a review. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* 16(6): 731-742.
283. Basaranoglu M, Basaranoglu G, Bugianesi E (2015) Carbohydrate intake and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: fructose as a weapon of mass destruction. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 4(2): 109-116.
284. Hidaka BH, Asghar A, Aktipis CA, Nesse RM, Wolpaw TM, et al. (2015) The status of evolutionary medicine education in North American medical schools. *BMC Med Educ* 15: 38.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here: [Submit Article](#)

DOI: 10.32474/SJFN.2021.03.000173



Scholarly Journal of Food and Nutrition

Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles