(8)

DOI: 10.32474/OAJESS.2019.04.000181

Mini Review

Research Progress of Manganese-Based Catalysts in Low-Temperature Denitration

Shiguo Wu*, Hao Zhou, Jun Wu and Chengxian Liu

Changzhou Institute of Engineering Technology, Changzhou 213164, PR China

*Corresponding author: Shiguo Wu, Changzhou Institute of Engineering Technology, Changzhou 213164, PR China

Received:

November 18, 2019

Published:

November 25, 2019

Abstract

Low temperature denitration technology is a hotspot in recent years. Manganese-based catalysts are demonstrated to be most promising catalysts at low temperature. Many researchers have improved manganese-based catalysts from different aspects to meet the requirements of low-temperature catalysts. This paper attempts to review the progress of manganese-based catalysts from catalyst morphology, catalyst carriers, composite manganese-based catalysts and catalyst preparation methods in recent years, and the existing problems are also analyzed in the review.

Keywords: Low-temperature denitration; Manganese-based catalysts; NH₂-SCR

Mini Review

Atmospheric contaminant treatment becomes an important research topic in recent years with the strict requirements of environmental protection. Nitrogen oxides (NOx), which emitted from stationary sources (e.g., coal-fired power plants) and mobile sources (e.g., vehicle exhausts), is one of the major air pollutants. It can cause not only photochemical smog and acid rain, but also ozone depletion and greenhouse effect [1]. Many methods are used in applied and fundamental studies about denitration catalyst. Among them, The Selective Catalytic Reduction of NOx by NH3 (NH₂ -SCR) is to date the best developed and most widespread method for NOx removal from stationary sources, and the general NH₃-SCR reaction is: $4NO + 4NH_3 + O_2 = 4N_2 + 6H_2O$ [2]. Commercial technology, which employing V₂O₅-WO₃ (or MoO₃)/ TiO₂ as catalyst [3], exhibits excellent catalytic performance in the temperature range of 300-400 °C. However, there are still some disadvantages as follows [4-7]: (1) V₂O₅ is easy to sublimate in the using process, which causing biological toxicity when it enters into the environment; (2) SO₂ durability is unsatisfactory. The low temperature denitration technology (<200 °C) can place the SCR device in the low temperature and low dust section at the end of the flue gas treatment system, which can reduce the poisoning and mechanical wear of the catalyst. It is a meaningful work to develop low-temperature NH₂-SCR catalysts, therefore, many efforts have been made to develop novel non-vanadium-based metal oxide

denitration catalysts with merits of high low-temperature efficiency and environment friendly.

Many investigations have been carried out on low-temperature NH₃-SCR reaction. In comparison, manganese species have been demonstrated to be higher NOx conversion at low temperatures. Pena et al. [8] studied the removal of NO by transition metal oxide/ TiO₂ under low temperature conditions and found that the denitration efficiency of different metal oxides is Mn>Cu>Cr>Co>Fe>V>Ni. It is believed that the transfer of electrons between different valence manganese species is very rapid, and it is conducive to improve NH₃-SCR low-temperature activity. Huang et al. [9] prepared pure MnOx (MnO, Mn₃O₄, Mn₂O₃, MnO₂) catalysts in NH₃-SCR reaction, the results showed that MnO2 is more suitable than other manganese oxides. Kapteijn et al. [10] studied the denitration performance of MnOx with different valence states, and the conclusion is similar to Huang & Luo et al. [11] loaded MnO, with different crystal on TiO_2 -palygorskite (TiO_2 -Pal) and found that γ -MnO₂ gave higher activity than δ - MnO₂ and γ - MnO₂. MnO₂ particles had a better dispersion in the carrier when mass ratio of Pal to TiO₂ was 2:1.

Ordinary manganese-based catalysts cannot meet the requirements of low temperature catalysis. In recent years, many researchers have improved manganese-based catalysts from different aspects to meet the requirements of low-temperature

catalysts. This paper attempts to summarize the progress of manganese-based catalysts from catalyst morphology, catalyst carriers, composite manganese-based catalysts and changing catalyst preparation methods in recent years.

Change catalyst morphology Tian et al. [12] prepared different morphologies MnO2 using different methods. The results indicated that nanorods MnO2 contributed the better denitration effect, and it was due to the large amount of strong acid sites, low crystallinity and more lattice oxygen. Hu et al. [13] prepared Mo(x)-MnOx rectangular nanorod catalyst by co-precipitation method. The corresponding catalytic performance and anti-H₂O performance increased significantly. Moreover, nanorod-formed catalysts have higher concentrations of Mn⁴⁺, richer surface adsorption of oxygen (promoting NO oxidation to NO2 and "fast-SCR" processes) and active sites. Also, the Mo(x)-MnOx nanorod catalyst has stronger acidity to adsorb ammonia species, which is also beneficial for increasing the catalytic activity of the catalyst. Li et al. [14] prepared MnFeOx nanorods used a hydrothermal method, and the results indicated that the MnFe0.10x nanorods exhibited the highest NO conversion rate in NH2-SCR reaction. It was due to MnFe0.10x nanorod catalysts obtained higher oxidation activity of NO to NO₂. Li et al [15] prepared a three-dimensional flower-like NiMnFeOx mixed oxide catalyst and found that the structure of the threedimensional flower-like NiMnFeOx mixed oxide can improve the NH₂-SCR performance significantly. Li et al. [16] prepared a CeO₂-MnOx catalyst with core-shell structure by chemical precipitation method, and the denitration rate reached over 90% at 110~220 °C.

Supported manganese-based catalysts YAO et al. [17] investigated the catalytic performance of manganese species on different supports and established a related reaction model. The results show that γ-Al₂O₂ can provide the highest dispersion, most acidic sites and excellent NOx absorption capacity. Huang et al. [18] loaded Mn and Fe oxides onto mesoporous SiO, with Mn/Fe mass ratio of 1 and calcination temperature at 400 °C, and 99.1% NO conversion rate was achieved at 160 °C, and H₂O has little effect on the catalytic activity. Guo et al. [19] prepared Ce-MnOx/Al₂O₃ catalysts using impregnation method. The denitration performance of the catalysts in the range of 70~300 °C was investigated. The results showed that Ce addition can improve the dispersion of MnOx on the carrier surface. 4% Ce-MnOx/ Al₂O₃ showed good denitrification efficiency. The effect of calcination temperature on the catalytic performance was also investigated, and it found that the catalyst calcined at 550 °C contributed the best catalytic performance.

Composite manganese-based catalysts with the development of low temperature SCR catalyst, complex transition metal oxidation catalyst has been studied. Among these catalysts, the composite Mn-based catalysts were of great importance because of its wider active temperature range and higher denitration efficiency. Li et al. [14] prepared MnFeOx bimetallic oxides catalysts resulting in excellent SCR catalytic activity. The BET surface area of MnFeOx catalysts was larger than that of pure MnO₂. The authors attributed the results to a redox reaction may occur between Mn and Fe ions

resulting in electronic transfer. The electronic transfer played a key role in the oxidation of NO to NO_2 during the NH_3 -SCR reaction of removing NOx. Zuo et al. [20] prepared Mn-Zr catalyst and found that MnOx was better dispersed when Mn/Zr molar ratio was 0.5, and the catalytic activity was strengthened due to the synergistic effect between Mn^+ and Zr^+. Liu et al. [21] added WO_3 to the Mn-Zr catalyst. The highly dispersed WO_3 not only increased the acid sites on the catalyst surface, but also inhibited the adsorption of inert nitrates onto the catalyst surface. Wan et al. [22] synthesized NiMnOx catalysts with different molar ratios by co-precipitation. The catalyst showed highest denitration activity when the molar ratio of Ni/Mn was 0.4. It was illustrated that the catalytic performance was promoted due to the synergistic effect between Ni and Mn.

Guo et al. [23] studied the Sb modified MnTiOx catalyst and found that the average pore size of the catalyst increased due to the presence of Sb, resulting in a corresponding increase in the surface area of the catalyst. Wu et al. [28] found that adding a transition metal to the Mn/TiO2 catalyst can improve the dispersibility of the catalyst. Wu et al. [24] prepared a series of Ce-modified MnTi catalysts and evaluated the activity of catalysts with different Ce contents. The results indicated that the addition of Ce can improve the activity of the catalyst. When the Ce content was 0.07%, the catalyst contributed the highest catalytic activity. Through the analysis of TPR and XPS, the authors found that Ce modification increased the chemical adsorption ability of oxygen and acidic sites on the surface of the catalyst, which were beneficial to the catalytic reaction. Thirupath et al. [25] doped Ni to MnOx/TiO2 catalyst. The results showed that Ni doping improved the denitration efficiency. The presence of Ni enhanced the stability of active Mn4+ in the catalyst, inhibits the formation of Mn3+, and improved the H2O and SO₂ resistance. Shen et al. [26] doped Fe in Mn-Ce/TiO₂, and the catalyst showed good activity when the molar ratio of Fe/Ti was 0.1. The addition of Fe also improved the resistance ability of H₂O and SO₂ for the catalyst, and resistance ability was strengthened with the increase of Fe content until Fe content was 0.15%. Huang et al. [27] studied in detail the modification effect of Fe on Mn/ mesoporous silica catalysts. It was found that the addition of Fe greatly improved the denitration performance of the catalyst, especially when the molar ratio of Fe to Mn was 1:1. The conversion of NO increased by about 40% at 100 °C; the characterization results show that the addition of Fe mainly improves the thermal stability and dispersion of Mn. Qiu et al. [29] studied the denitration performance of Co-Ce-Mn/TiO2 catalysts formed by the addition of Co and Ce. It was found that the addition of Co and Ce increased the redox and the acidity of the catalyst compared with the Mn/ TiO2 catalyst. The addition of Co will produce a large amount of B acid sites, which is beneficial to the adsorption of NH2, and the addition of Ce is beneficial to the formation of NH₄+. Qi et al. [30] investigated the effects of the addition of different elements (Fe, Cu, Zr and Pr) on the activity of MnOx-CeO₂ catalyst and N₂ selectivity. The results showed that the addition of Fe and Zr could significantly increase the denitration activity of the catalyst in the range of 100-180 °C, but the N₂ selectivity did not change. The addition of Pr was opposite, which could increase the selectivity of $\rm N_2$ and reduce denitration activity. Cu has no significant effect on denitration activity and $\rm N_2$ selectivity. These changes are determined by the reduction performance and chemisorption capacity of the catalyst.

Changing catalyst preparation methods Tang et al. [31] synthesized MnO2 catalysts with different methods. It was found that MnO₂ prepared by solid phase method and rheological method exhibited excellent low temperature activity, and the conversion rate of NOx was close to 100% at 100 °C. At the same time, the analysis showed that the amorphous state of the catalyst was the key factor for the low temperature catalytic activity. Jiang et al. [32] investigated the effects of MnO2/TiO2 preparation methods (solgel method, impregnation method, co-precipitation method) on its denitration performance, and found that the catalyst prepared by sol-gel method showed the best performance, active and anti-SO₂ properties. In addition, the crystal form, specific surface area, and calcination temperature of MnOx are also important factors affecting the low temperature denitration performance [33]. Zhang et al. [34] prepared MnOx/TiO2 catalysts using conventional impregnation method, ultrasonic impregnation method and sol-gel method, and employed them in SCR reactions. The results revealed that the catalyst obtained by ultrasonic impregnation gave the best catalytic activity at low temperature. The conversion rate of NO was more than 90% at 120 °C. The other two catalysts gave comparable denitration efficiency almost at 150 °C. The results illustrated that the sample using ultrasonic impregnation method exhibited higher dispersibility for active species, and more Lewis acid sites, which improved the catalytic performance. France et al. [35] synthesized Ce-Fe/MnOx catalyst by citric acid method. The denitration rate of Ce12.5-Fe/MnOx was over 97% at $105\sim135$ °C, and denitration rate decreased only 4% after passing into SO₂. Shi et al. [33] synthesized TiO, with multi-stage pore structure (macroporous-mesoporous) and studied the effect of pore structure on the denitration performance of the catalyst. Compared with the non-multi-stage pore Mn/TiO2 catalyst, multi-stage pore Mn/TiO2 exhibits high low temperature denitration activity and strong sulfur resistance. The authors attributed it to the larger specific surface area and the dispersion degree of the active component Mn. In our previous work, FeMnTiOx mixed oxides catalyst, which was synthesized with CTAB-assisted and calcined at 500 °C, showed excellent lowtemperature performance in NH₃-SCR reaction [36].

In summary, relevant research on low temperature denitration catalysts has made some progress. Compared with other types of low-temperature denitration catalysts, manganese-based catalysts have high denitration efficiency and low cost, which can basically meet the denitration needs of coal-fired power plants. However, at present, there are still many problems in low temperature denitration catalysts. For example, there are a certain amount of SO_2 and water vapor in various types of flue gas. Manganese-based catalysts exhibit poor denitration activity when $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$ and SO_2 coexist. Furthermore, the related mechanism of low temperature denitration catalyst needs to be gradually elucidated, and the working temperature window of the catalyst needs to be widened.

References

- 1. Peng AY, Liu C, Zhang X, Li J (2013) The effect of ${\rm SiO_2}$ on a novel ${\rm CeO_2}$ ${\rm WO_3/TiO_2}$ catalyst for the selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃. Appl Catal B 140: 276-282.
- 2. Shi A, Wang X, Yu T, Shen M (2011) The effect of zirconia additive on the activity and structure stability of $\rm V_2O_5/WO_3$ -TiO $_2$ ammonia SCR catalysts. Appl Catal B 106(3-4): 359-369.
- 3. Roy S, Hegde MS, Madras G (2009) Catalysis for NO_x abatement. Appl Energ 86(11): 2283-2297.
- 4. Qu R, Gao X, Cen K, Li J (2013) Relationship between structure and performance of a novel cerium-niobium binary oxide catalyst for selective catalytic reduction of NO with $\rm NH_{3}$. Appl Catal B 142(143): 290-297.
- Moliner M, Franch C, Palomares E, Grill M (2012) Cu–SSZ-39, an active and hydrothermally stable catalyst for the selective catalytic reduction of NO_x. Chem Commun 48(66): 8264-8266.
- Liu F, He H, Ding Y, Zhang C (2009) Effect of manganese substitution on the structure and activity of iron titanate catalyst for the selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃. Appl Catal B 93: 194-204.
- 7. Chen Z, Yang Q, Li H (2010) Cr-MnO $_{\rm x}$ mixed-oxide catalysts for selective catalytic reduction of NO $_{\rm x}$ with NH $_{\rm 3}$ at low temperature. J Catal 276(9): 56-65.
- Pena DA, Uphade BS, Smirniotis PG (2004) TiO₂ supported metal oxide catalysts for low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃: evaluation and characterization of first row transition metals. J Catal 221(2): 421-431.
- Huang J, Huang H, Liu LC (2018) Revisit the effect of manganese oxidation state on activity in low-temperature NH₃-SCR. Molecular Catalysis 446: 49-57.
- Kapteijn F, Singoredjo L, Andreini A (1994) Activity and selectivity of pure manganese oxides in the selective catalytic reduction of nitric-oxide with ammonia. Appl Catal B 3(2-3): 173-189.
- 11. Luo SP, Zhou WT, Xie AJ (2016) Effect of MnO $_2$ polymorphs structure on the selective catalytic reduction of NO $_x$ with NH $_3$ over TiO2–palygorskite. Chemical Engineering Journal 286: 291-299.
- 12. Tian W, Yang HS, Fan XY (2011) Catalytic reduction of $\rm NO_x$ with $\rm NH_3$ over different-shaped $\rm MnO_2$ at low temperature. Journal of Hazardous Materials 188(1-3): 105-109.
- 13. Hu XL, Shi Q, Zang H (2017) $\rm NH_3$ -SCR performance improvement over Mo modified $\rm Mo(x)$ -MnO $_x$ nanorods at low temperature. Catalysis Today 297: 17-26.
- 14. Li Y, Li YP, Wang PF (2017) Low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of $\rm NO_x$ with $\rm NH_3$ over MnFeO_x nanorods. Chemical Engineering Journal 330: 213-222.
- 15. Li H, Zang D, Maitarad P (2012) In situ synthesis of 3D flower-like Ni Mn Fe mixed oxides as monolith catalysts for selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₂. Chemical Communication 48(86): 10645-10647.
- 16. Li SH, Huang BC, Yu CL (2017) A $\rm CeO_2$ -MnO $_{\rm x}$ core shell catalyst for low-temperature NH $_{\rm s}$ -SCR of NO. Catal Commun 98:47 51.
- 17. Yao XJ, Kong TT, Yu SH (2017) Influence of different supports on the physicochemical properties and denitration performance of the supported Mn-based catalysts for $\mathrm{NH_3}\text{-SCR}$ at low temperature. Appl Surf Sci 402: 208-217.
- 18. Huang JH, Tong ZQ, Huang Y (2008) Selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃ at low temperatures over iron and manganese oxides supported on mesoporous silica. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 78(3-4): 309-314.
- 19. Guo J, Li CT, Pei LU (2011) Research on SCR denitrification of ${\rm MnO_x/Al_2O_3}$ modified by ${\rm CeO_2}$ and its mechanism at low temperature. Chinese Journal of Environmental Science. 32(8): 2240-2246.

- 20. Zuo JL, Chen ZH, Wang FR (2014) Low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH3 over novel Mn-Zr mixed oxide catalysts. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research. 53(7): 2647-2655.
- 21. Liu ZM, Liu YX, Li Y (2016) WO₃ promoted Mn-Zr mixed oxide catalyst for the selective catalytic reduction of NO, with NH, Chemical Engineering Journal 283: 1044-1050.
- 22. Wan YP, Zhao WR (2014) Ni-Mn bi-metal oxide catalysts for the low temperature SCR removal of NO with NH₃. Applied Catalysis B Environmental 148(149): 114-122.
- 23. Guo RT, Sun X, Liu J (2018) Enhancement of the NH₂-SCR catalytic activity of MnTiO catalyst by the introduction of Sb. Applied Catalysis A General 558:1-8.
- 24. Wu ZB, Jin RB, Liu Y (2008) Ceria modified MnO₂/TiO₂ as a superior catalyst for NO reduction with NH, at low temperature. Catalysis Communications 9(13): 2217-2220.
- 25. Thirupathi B, Smirniotis P (2012) Nickel-doped Mn/TiO, as an efficient catalyst for the low-temperature SCR of NO with NH₃: catalytic evaluation and characterizations. Journal of Catalysis 288: 74-83.
- 26. Shen BX, Liu T, Zhao N (2010) Iron-doped Mn-Ce/TiO catalyst for low temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH3. Journal of Environmental Sciences 22(9): 1447-1454.
- 27. Huang JH, Tong ZQ, Huang Y (2008) Selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH2 at low temperature over iron and manganese oxides supported on mesoporous silica. Appl Catal B 78(3-4): 309 - 314.
- 28. Wu ZB, Jiang B, Liu Y (2008) Effect of transition metals addition on the catalyst of manganese/titania for low-temperature selective catalytic re-

- duction of nitric oxide with ammonia. Applied Catalysis B Environmental 79(4): 347-355.
- 29. Qiu L, Pang DD, Zhang CL (2015) In situ IR studies of Co and Ce doped Mn/TiO, catalyst for low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃. Appl Surf Sci 357: 189-196.
- 30. Qi GS, Yang RT, Chang R (2004) MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxides prepared by co-precipitation for selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH3 at low temperatures. Appl Catal B 51(2): 93-106.
- 31. Tang XL, Hao JM, Xu WG (2007) Low temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO, with NH, over amorphous MnO, catalysts prepared by three methods. Catalysis Communication 8(3): 329-334.
- 32. Jiang BO, Liu Y, Wu ZB (2009) Low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO on MnO_v/TiO₂ prepared by different methods. J Hazard Mater 162(2-3): 1249-1254.
- 33. Shi YN, Chen S, Sun H (2013) Low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO, with NH, over hierarchically macro-mesoporous Mn/TiO2. Catal Commun 42:10-13.
- 34. Zhang YP, Zhao XY, Xu HT (2011) Novel ultrasonic-modified MnO_/TiO_ for low-temperature selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO with ammonia. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 361(1): 212-218.
- 35. France LJ, Yang Q, Li W (2017) Ceria modified FeMnOx-enhanced performance and sulphur resistance for low-temperature SCR of NO_v. Appl Catal B 206: 203-215.
- 36. Wu SG, Yao XJ, Zhang L, Cao Y (2015) Improved low temperature NH3-SCR performance of FeMnTiO mixed oxide with CTAB-assisted synthesis. Chem Commun 51(16): 3470-3473.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here: Submit Article

DOI: 10.32474/OAJESS.2019.04.000181



Open Access Journal of Environmental and Soil Sciences

Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles