A supernumerary tooth is a developmental anomaly characterized by more number of teeth than normal series [1,2]. The prevalence varies between 0.3 and 3.8% of the population. This anomaly of unknown etiology [1]. Supernumerary teeth may occur as a single isolated anomaly or in association with specific developmental syndromes like cleft lip and palate, Downs syndromes, Cleidocranial dysplasia, chorhinophalangeal syndrome and Gardner’s syndrome etc [1,2]. Supernumerary teeth in the maxillary anterior region may also compromise facial esthetics series [2]. Mesiodens, most common supernumerary teeth accounts around 80% of all supernumerary teeth series which may erupt normally, appear inverted, stay impacted or take a horizontal position series [1]. The shape may be tuberculate, conical, odontome, or closely resemble the normal tooth, based on their morphology series [2]. Mesiodens can occur individually or as multiples called as mesiodentes [3].

The etiology of these teeth is still obscure although several theories have been suggested such as genetics, dichotomy of the tooth bud, hyperactivity of the dental lamina, and a combination of genetic and environmental factors, proliferation of odontogenic cell rests, palatal off shoot from continued activity of the dental lamina after the normal number of tooth buds are formed, atavism and consanguineous marriages [1-7].

Mesiodens may give rise to a variety of complications such as delayed eruption, ectopic eruption of adjacent teeth, midline diastema, impaction, malalignment of incisors, displacement and axial rotation of adjacent teeth, radicular resorption of adjacent teeth, crowding, dilacerations, possible development of dentigerous cyst and migration into nasal cavity or maxillary sinus and fistula between oral and nasal cavity series [1,2,8].

This case report describes an extremely rare occurrence of bilaterally impacted mesiodentes with an inverted mesiodens in the hard palate area with its associated anomalies. It also describes about early diagnosis with treatment planning.

Case Report

A 7 year old male patient came with a chief complaint of an extra tooth in upper front teeth region. Patient complaints of irritation from this tooth. There was no associated history of trauma and pain. Medical and family history was non-contributory. There were no signs of any syndrome. On intra oral examination it was observed that a mesiodens was present palatally between 11 and 21 (Figure 1). On IOPA radiograph examination showed the presence of mesiodens of conical shape parallel to the teeth between 11 and 21 (Figure 2). Blood investigations were done and
the reports were well within normal limits. Treatment was planned to extract the mesiodens (Figure 3). Later IOPA radiograph was taken (Figure 4) to confirm the extracted mesiodens (Figure 5). The wound healing was uneventfully and the patient presented with no post-operative complications.

Figure 1: Intra-oral photograph showing mesiodens between 11 and 21.

Figure 2: IOPA showing mesiodens between 11 and 21.

Figure 3: Intra-oral photograph showing socket site after extraction of mesiodens.

Figure 4: Post-operative IOPA after extraction of mesiodens.

Figure 5: Extracted mesiodens.

Discussion

Mesiodens is considered as an extra tooth along with normal teeth with a prevalence 0.15 to 1.9%. One mesiodens occurred in 78.1% of the cases and in two in 21.9% of the cases1. Most of the mesiodentes (55.2%) were found to be in vertical position (55.2%) followed by inverted position (37.6%) and horizontal position (7%) [6]. Most of the mesiodens remain unerupted, and if they are erupting, it will be an ectopic eruption [1,6].

As maxillary permanent central incisors erupt 7 and 9 years of age, most of the mesiodens are reported at this age. Presence of mesiodens is mainly found during the radiological examination of nonerupted or axial rotated of upper central incisors or diastema [1]. Treatment of impacted mesiodens centers on several factors which include the age of the child, clinical manifestation, capacity of the child to tolerate the surgical procedure, root development stage of the adjacent permanent teeth etc [9]. If the mesiodens are asymptomatic then they are left in place and extraction is delayed until the adjacent teeth root completion [2,10]. Mesiodens are always under keen observational follow up. Their extraction is always discouraged due to the fear of iatrogenic damage to the permanent teeth or its vitality during extraction time [7,11]. Early surgical intervention in a young child requires treatment under general anesthesia. The associated complication risks and related psychological dental anxiety opposes the use of general anesthesia [2,12]. Even if the supernumerary teeth remain in the jaw for years without any complications periodic recall checkups are needed due to its cystic complications (in about 4-9% cases) and a possibility of development of a carcinoma [5,13].

As long as the coronal part of the follicle of the supernumerary teeth remains intact, migration of the supernumerary teeth is possible which may cause any disturbance in eruption or alignment of permanent dentition directs the extraction during mixed dentition [6,7,14]. However, in this present case, the mesiodens had already erupted into the oral cavity palatally causing irritation. It may cause midline diastema, malalignment, rotation of adjacent teeth structure and unesthetics. Due to these ongoing and future complications, the mesiodens was indicated for extraction.
Nevertheless, extraction that has been done at the right time in this case, can prevent the future migration of the supernumerary teeth and associated complications. Fortunately, post-surgical phase was uneventful. On surgical removal of impacted supernumerary teeth in the maxillary anterior region, there are no much reports on complications or disturbances to adjacent teeth with incomplete root development compared to surgery postponed for complete root development of incisors [2].

Early intervention and surgical removal of the unerupted supernumerary tooth as soon as it is detected helps to prevent the future complications such as retarded eruption of the permanent incisors or the need for any additional surgical procedure. This intervention gives an advantage to the spontaneous eruptive potential of the permanent incisor, to avoid eruption delay or failure of their eruption, crowding, space loss and midline shift which may require extensive orthodontic therapy. Therefore, an impacted supernumerary tooth should be extracted as soon as diagnosed at a young age when it appears to cause damage to adjacent teeth [2,9].

The spontaneous eruption of impacted maxillary incisors after removal of the supernumerary teeth depends on several factors such as the depth of the impacted tooth, amount of root development, the angulation of the impacted tooth, and the available space required for its eruption. Therefore, immediate orthodontic extrusive traction to erupt the impacted incisors following the surgical removal of the supernumerary teeth was highly recommended [15]. Thus avoid the need for a second surgery. Moreover, it has been suggested that early exposure and bonding the unerupted incisor may result in loss of supporting bone and create scar tissue, which may further delay its eruption [2].
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