Mitochondrial Abnormalities at Autopsy of Fetal Noncompaction
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Letter to the Editor

In a recent article, Tian et al. [1] reported about the echocardiographic and pathological findings in 9 fetuses with left ventricular hyper trabeculation/non-compaction (LVHT). We have the following comments and concerns. The authors differentiate between non-compaction cardiomyopathy (NCCM) and left ventricular non-compaction (LVNC). In our view these are two different terms which describe the same entity. Which is the difference between NCCM and LVNC in the authors’ view? Absence of additional cardiac abnormalities should not be a differentiating criterion.

We do not agree that ultrastructural investigations of non-compaction cardiomyopathy have not been carried out so far [1]. In a recent review we found 11 studies in which ultrastructural investigations of the compacted and non-compacted myocardium in LVHT patients have been carried out [2]. Did the authors carry out interobserver investigations? Which was the variability between the observers? How often did different investigators agree and how often disagree on the diagnosis of LVHT in the fetuses? LVHT occurs familiarly in relatives of LVHT index patients [3]. Were first degree relatives of these 9 fetuses investigated for LVHT? Was LVHT particularly present in the mothers of these 9 fetuses? We find it unethical to propose termination of a pregnancy in case the fetus is diagnosed with “muscle biopsy”. Do they mean biopsy of the skeletal muscle or biopsy of the myocardium? If they mean myocardium the term “muscle biopsy” is misleading. We do not agree that LVHT was first described by Engberding in 1984. In a previous study we provided evidence that LVHT was first described by Feldt et al. 1969 and possibly even earlier [5]. What happened to the two fetuses of which the pregnancy was not terminated? Are they still alive? Did they develop any complication of LVHT, such as ventricular arrhythmias, systolic dysfunction or stroke / embolism? Did postnatal echocardiography confirm the intrauterine finding of LVHT? For how long were these two patients followed-up? Did patient B in case 8 undergo autopsy? Was LVHT found on autopsy in this patient as well?

LVHT in children is most frequently due to chromosomal defects [6]. Were the 7 fetuses undergoing autopsy investigated for chromosomal aberrations? Subendocardial fibrosis is a frequent feature in the non-compacted layer of LVHT patients [7]. Was subendocardial fibrosis found in any of the 7 histologically investigated patients? Right ventricular hyper trabeculation should not be assessed since the right ventricle is physiologically hyper trabeculated. LVHT is frequently found in patients with mitochondrial disorders [8]. Were the mitochondrial changes on ultrastructural investigations attributable to primary mitochondrial dysfunction or a secondary effect? Were biochemical investigations also carried out? Were any of the fetuses tested for mtDNA mutations? Overall, this interesting case series could substantially profit from the presentation of additional data which eventually could help to clarify the still elusive pathogenesis of LVHT.
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