The Incidental Adrenal Mass on Computed Tomography

Khalid S Aljabri1*, Ibrahim M Alnasser2, Samia A Bokhari1, Saad Zuair2, Walied O Bawzeer1 and Mohammad A Melibari1

1Department of Endocrinology, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
2Department of Radiology, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author: Khalid S. Aljabri, Department of Endocrinology, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Received: March 09, 2019
Published: March 19, 2019

Abstract

Background: The widespread use of computed tomography (CT) has resulted in the frequent incidental discovery of asymptomatic adrenal masses. The aim of the present study was to report retrospectively a 4-year CT studies of the frequency of adrenal incidentalomas (AI) in a tightly defined geographical area in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Design: This retrospective study was approved by our institutional review board, and informed consent was waived. The CT reports of 15,600 abdominal CT examinations from our institution at King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia performed from January 2015 to December 2018 were searched for the word “adrenal” in the impression. The search identified 87 patients with adrenal lesions which constituted the subject material for the study.

Results: During a 4-year period, a total of 87(0.6%) patients were diagnosed with AI and included in the study. Demographic characteristics were as follows: 37 (43%) males and 50 (58%) females, aged between 30–87 years (median, 58 years and mean ± SD 58.7±13.6 years). Fifty-five percent of the subjects were in their 50s and 60s. AI in males were more frequent in the fourth, eighth and ninth decades whereas AI in females were more frequent in fifth and seventh decades. AI were more frequent on the left [47 (54%)] than on the right side [28 (32.3%)], whereas bilateral AI were found in 12 [(13.8 %)] patients. Moreover, AI in males were more frequent on the right [17 (45.9%)] than on the left side [11 (22.0%)], whereas AI in females were more frequent on the left 15 (40.5%) than on the right side 32 (64.0%) and slightly more frequent in bilateral sites (14% vs. 13.5%), p=0.05. The size of the right-sided AI (1.9±1.3 cm) were non-significantly larger than the left-sided ones (1.6±0.8 cm), p=0.2. In the overall series, the diameter of the AI estimated by CT ranged from 0.5–7.9 cm; (median, 1.7 cm and mean 1.8±1.1 cm) where the largest number of patients with AI were seen in 8 cases (11.7%) at size of 1.7 cm. Most lesions [60 cases (69%)] were less than 2.0 cm, whereas the mean size of bilateral AI in the dominant mass (2.3 cm) was larger than mean size of right (1.9 cm) or left (1.6 cm) AI. The frequency of AI more than 4 cm was higher in the right side (50%) and the dominant mass in the bilateral AI (50%) within the size of AI groups whereas the frequency of AI less than 2 cm was higher in the right side of AI (57%). The mean size of AI was larger in the sixth decade compared to other age groups whereas males (2.1 cm) and females (2.7 cm) have higher mean size of AI in the fourth and sixth decade respectively. A negative non-statistically significant correlation was found between age and mass size (r=-0.132, p=0.2). In addition, a positive non-statistically significant correlation was found between BMI and AI size (r= -0.066, p=0.6).

Conclusion: In conclusion, the current study indicated low prevalence of AI as indicated by CT. In the absence of registry data, larger cooperative studies involving diverse population samples from multiple centers could help to provide further information on the true frequency nationally.

Keywords: Prevalence of adrenal incidentaloma

Introduction

Adrenal masses are among the most prevalent human tumors and are frequently detected unexpectedly by an imaging study performed for reasons unrelated to suspect of adrenal diseases.

The widespread use of computed tomography (CT) has resulted in the frequent incidental discovery of asymptomatic adrenal masses [1,2]. Adrenal incidentalomas (AI) raise challenging questions for
both physicians and their patients and represent one of the leading reasons for seeking endocrinological consultation. Although, in most cases, these masses are non-hypersecreting and benign, they still represent an important clinical concern because of the risk of malignancy or hormone hyperfunction. The prevalence of AI varies according to the inclusion criteria of the study and the circumstances under which patient data are collected with a common range of approximately 0.5-4% of all such investigations [3-9]. This rate is probably underestimated because adrenal adenomas were found in up to 10% of patients autopsy series without any pre-mortem suspicion of adrenal disease [4,5,10-12].

Although, by definition, adrenal masses discovered in the course of abdominal imaging performed for staging of cancer are not generally considered as AI, several authors include patients with known malignancies in their series. In such patients the risk of an adrenal mass being a metastasis is high, ranging from 45 to 73% [7,13,14]. The economic aspect of the problem has not to be underestimated, because the detection of adrenal masses as an incidental finding with imaging techniques will be ever growing in the future, and an extensive endocrine work-up is required to recognize hormonal abnormalities. The aim of the present study was to report retrospectively a 4-year CT studies of the frequency of AI in a tightly defined geographical area in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

**Methods**

This retrospective study was approved by our institutional review board, and informed consent was waived. The CT reports of 15,600 abdominal CT examinations from our institution at King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia performed from January 2015 to December 2018 were searched for the word “adrenal” in the impression. Patient CT reports with ambiguous findings (e.g., possible adrenal nodule, probable adrenal adenoma) were excluded. Only the CT estimate of the mass size was considered for statistical analysis. Patients with adrenal lesions on initial CT who were diagnosed with malignancy after the initial CT were included in the study cohort. The search identified 87 patients with adrenal lesions which constituted the subject material for the study. Information was collected from two resources such as clinical case records of subjects seen at the endocrinology or other services and the data on hormonal analysis including neurodynamic tests of the subjects. All endocrine evaluations showed non-hypersecreting adrenal masses. Imaging characteristics were reported on the basis of radiologists’ descriptions.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD) or numbers (%). Quantitative variables were compared between two groups by using the Student’s test. Differences in categorical variables were analyzed using the chi-square test. The relationship between continuous variables was assessed using coefficients of correlation. P value <0.05 indicates significance. The statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS version 23.0 for Windows.

**Results**

During a 4-year period, a total of 87 (0.6%) patients were diagnosed with AI and included in the study. Demographic characteristics were as follows: 37 (43%) males and 50 (58%) females, aged between 30-87 years (median, 58 years and mean ± SD 58.7±13.6 years) (Table 1). Fifty-five percent of the subjects were in their 50s and 60s (Figure 1). AI in males were more frequent in the fourth, eighth and ninth decades whereas AI in females were more frequent in fifth and seventh decades (Figure 2). The reasons for an abdominal imaging procedure were renal colic (33%), abdominal pain (13%), liver lesions (8%), intestinal obstruction (2.3%), abdominal trauma (1.1%) and others (43%). In the overall series, obesity in 36 (51%; missing, 18%), type 2 diabetes in 42 (48%) and hypertension was observed in 39 (45%) patients.
Table 1: Demographics of patients in the study (Data are number (%) and mean ± standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.7 ± 13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>37 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50 (57.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.2 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>42 (48.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>39 (44.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass size (cm)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AI were more frequent on the left [47 (54%)] than on the right side [28 (32.3%)], whereas bilateral AI were found in 12 [(13.8%)] patients (Figure 3). Moreover, AI in males were more frequent on the right [17 (45.9%)] than on the left side [11 (22.0%)], whereas AI in females were more frequent on the left side 32 (64.0%) and slightly more frequent in bilateral sites (14% vs. 13.5%), p=0.05 (Figure 4). The size of the right-sided AI (1.9±1.3 cm) were non-significantly larger than the left-sided ones (1.6±0.8 cm), p=0.2.

In the overall series, the diameter of the AI estimated by CT ranged from 0.5-7.9 cm; (median, 1.7 cm and mean 1.8±1.1 cm) where the largest number of patients with AI were seen in 8 cases (11.7%) at size of 1.7 cm (Figure 5). Most lesions [60 cases (69%)] were less than 2.0 cm (Figure 6), whereas the mean size of bilateral AI in the dominant mass (2.3 cm) was larger than mean size of right (1.9 cm) or left (1.6 cm) AI (Figure 7). The frequency of AI more
than 4 cm was higher in the right side (50%) and the dominant mass in the bilateral AI (50%) within the size of AI groups whereas the frequency of AI less than 2 cm was higher in the right side of AI (57%) (Figure 8). Figure 9 showed the mean size of AI was larger in the sixth decade compared to other age groups whereas males (2.1 cm) and females (2.7 cm) have higher mean size of AI in the fourth and sixth decade respectively (Figure 10). A negative non-statistically significant correlation was found between age and mass size (r= -0.132, p=0.2) (Figure 11). In addition, a positive non-statistically significant correlation was found between BMI and AI size (r= -0.066, p=0.6) (Figure 12).

Figure 7: Size distribution of adrenal incidentaloma according to site.

Figure 8: Size distribution of adrenal incidentaloma according to site in correlation to gender.

Figure 9: Mean size of adrenal incidentaloma in correlation to age groups.

Figure 10: Mean size of adrenal incidentaloma according to gender in correlation to age groups.

Figure 11: Correlation of size of adrenal incidentaloma and age in the study population.
than 2 cm in agreement with previous results whereas AI larger females in autopsy series.

Adrenal adenomas occur with comparable frequency in males and due to higher prevalence of biliary disease), as nonfunctioning referral bias (i.e. more imaging studies are recommended for women radiological series [7]. This figure could be partly explained by a observed in the present study confirms the results of some large diagnostic procedures in aged patients, or it could be explained by selection bias because only surgically resected masses were reported [4]. This pattern could merely reflect a higher number of cases within the study region and the effect that might have on our estimates, in addition, the current study population may appear limited in size and therefore may underestimate the true prevalence of AI in the general population. In addition, the study shares the limitations of all retrospective studies. In conclusion, the current study indicated low prevalence of AI as indicated by CT. In the absence of registry data, larger cooperative studies involving diverse population samples from multiple centers could help to provide further information on the true frequency nationally.
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**Discussion**

CT is an accurate tool for detecting the presence of adrenal masses in 97-99% of patients. Numerous comprehensive reviews on the topic of radio-imaging have been published describing the most common adrenal gland pathologies [3,15-19]. Incidental adrenal masses are frequently seen on CT with the increasing use of cross-sectional imaging. With the current high resolution CT scanners, the prevalence of AI has increased, and our result of 0.6% prevalence corroborates with the 1-2% reported in the older literature, compared the 4.4% reported from a recent series [20,21]. The prevalence of AI on imaging now approaches that of autopsy series, although not as high as the frequently cited 9%, which includes microscopic lesions [4,22]. Prior studies reporting the pathology of incidentally detected adrenal masses often had selection bias because only surgically resected masses were analyzed [23,24]. In addition, the definition of AI is broad among different series, with many studies including oncologic patients [24-26].

The age distribution of the present study is wide, skewed toward older ages, and peaks in the sixth decade, as previously reported [4]. This pattern could merely reflect a higher number of diagnostic procedures in aged patients, or it could be explained by an increased occurrence of cortical nodules with age, as observed in unselected autopsy series [10,11]. The higher number of females observed in the present study confirms the results of some large radiological series [7]. This figure could be partly explained by a referral bias (i.e. more imaging studies are recommended for women due to higher prevalence of biliary disease), as nonfunctioning adrenal adenomas occur with comparable frequency in males and females in autopsy series.

In the present study, the majority of AI (69%) were smaller than 4 cm was found in 2% of our studied population [23,27-29]. Previous report confirmed that the risk of a primary adrenal malignancy is related to the mass size. The correlation, however, is far from perfect, as different types of adrenal lesions presented as large masses. In the literature, the cut-off points proposed ranged from 2.5-6.0 cm [7,9,30-32]. The diagnostic value of different cut-off points was evaluated, and a threshold at 4 cm proved to have the highest sensitivity (93%); the specificity was low because the rate of benign lesions exceeded that of malignant ones [7,9,30-32]. AI were most frequently found on the left side in disagreement with previous reports which reported no side difference, considering mass number or size, was apparent in the series discovered by CT scan [5,32,33].

We aimed to identify the unapparent adrenal mass as incidentally found by CT scans, and this aim was reflective of the clinical setting because not all AI are formally diagnosed with histological confirmation. Furthermore, due to the retrospective nature of this study, the observed population reflects a selected, yet comprehensive group of patients referred for non-adrenal CT, rather than the general population as would be encountered in an autopsy series. Our study could be limited by the question of clustering of cases within the study region and the effect that might have on our estimates, in addition, the current study population may appear limited in size and therefore may underestimate the true prevalence of AI in the general population. In addition, the study shares the limitations of all retrospective studies. In conclusion, the current study indicated low prevalence of AI as indicated by CT. In the absence of registry data, larger cooperative studies involving diverse population samples from multiple centers could help to provide further information on the true frequency nationally.

**Figure 12:** Correlation of size of adrenal incidentaloma and body mass index in the study population.


