LUPINE PUBLISHERS

ISSN: 2690-5760

Journal of Clinical & Community Medicine

DOI: 10.32474/JCCM.2024.05.000219

Research Article

# Research Sampling in Medicine

**Joel Snell***

*Kirkwood College, Cedar Rapids, Lowa, USA*

***Corresponding author:** Joel Snell, Kirkwood College, Cedar Rapids, Lowa, USA

**Received:** October 21, 2024 **Published:** October 25, 2024

## Abstract

Convenience sampling is often overused in medical research due to its ease of application, despite its inherent weaknesses. Researchers frequently employ alternative strategies to mitigate these limitations. However, reliance on flawed sampling techniques can lead to misleading results, affecting how students and professionals understand research methodologies. This article explores various sampling methods and discusses how improvements can be made to enhance the validity and reliability of medical research.

## Introduction

Medical research faces numerous challenges, particularly in adopting the most effective strategies to diagnose, treat, and improve patient outcomes. All areas of medicine, from clinical trials to pharmaceutical research, must rely on sound research methodologies to produce accurate, reliable results. One of the central issues is the type of sampling used to gather data. Choosing the correct sampling method is critical to ensuring that findings are valid and can be generalized to a broader population.

## Description of Sampling Methods

### Convenience Sampling

Convenience sampling is commonly used in medical research due to its ease of application, but it presents significant limitations. Researchers often select participants who are easily accessible, such as students, clinic patients, or individuals at a specific location. While this saves time, labor, and funding, it often results in biased outcomes that do not accurately reflect the target population. The over-reliance on convenience sampling can undermine the validity of the research and weaken its findings [1].

### Random Sampling

In contrast to convenience sampling, random sampling involves selecting participants in a way that every individual in the target population has an equal chance of being chosen. This method is considered the gold standard in research because it minimizes bias and allows for generalization to the larger population. Random samples are often smaller but, when done correctly, they can yield more reliable results that reflect the characteristics of the larger group [2].

## Types of Biased Samples

### Purposive Sampling

Purposive sampling involves selecting participants based on specific criteria, such as a particular health condition. While this method is useful for targeting a specific population, it is not random and may introduce biases if not carefully controlled. For example, selecting participants who all share a common treatment experience may lead to results that don't apply to a wider population [3].

### Cross-sectional Sampling

Cross-sectional sampling compares different groups at a single point in time. For instance, patients with and without nausea might be compared based on their responses to a questionnaire. While this method offers more structure than convenience sampling, it

still lacks randomness since participants are often selected based on pre-existing conditions [4].

### Longitudinal Sampling

Longitudinal sampling involves collecting data from independent samples at multiple time points. While this method allows researchers to observe changes over time, it can also introduce bias if the sample is not random or if participants drop out of the study. Unlike cross-sectional sampling, which provides a snapshot, longitudinal studies track trends but may be limited by their non-random design [5].

### Panel Sampling

Panel sampling involves repeatedly collecting data from the same group of participants. While this method offers valuable insights into changes within a population, it risks bias due to participant dropouts or external influences. If new participants are added, the sample is no longer completely consistent, and randomness may be compromised [6].

## Adjusted and Specialized Sampling Methods

### Adjusted Sampling

In adjusted sampling, researchers compare two groups that differ in size or demographic characteristics. For example, a large city and a small town may have different rates of a condition like nausea. To account for these differences, researchers adjust the sample size by applying a constant multiplier to ensure fairer comparisons. While this improves the comparability of the results, the samples remain non-random [7].

### Meta-analysis Sampling

Meta-analysis involves combining data from multiple studies to generate a larger sample size for analysis. While this method can provide stronger statistical power, it relies on convenience because the data comes from pre-existing studies. As such, the results may not be as precise as data collected from a truly random sample [8].

### Systematic Sampling

Systematic sampling selects participants at regular intervals, such as every tenth patient in a clinic. While this approach ensures a consistent selection process, it is still not truly random, as it may miss important segments of the population [9].

### Cluster Sampling

Cluster sampling is used when the population is geographically dispersed. Researchers divide the population into clusters, such as hospitals or regions, and randomly select some clusters for study. If not carefully managed, this method can introduce bias and may not represent the entire population [10].

### Stratified Sampling

Stratified sampling divides the population into subgroups based on characteristics like age, gender, or health status. Researchers then randomly sample from each subgroup to ensure representation. Although the samples are not always random, this method allows for better control over population variability. However, it is not random [11].

## Importance of Randomness in Sampling

Random sampling is essential for ensuring that research findings can be generalized to a larger population. A small random sample can have a margin of error of approximately 6%, but when comparing a medication to a placebo, this margin may be acceptable if the difference is substantial. However, when the difference is minimal, larger samples (e.g., 5,000 participants) are necessary to achieve statistical significance. Even with precautions, if the sample is not random, the results cannot be considered truly representative [12]

## Randomized Sampling

Randomized sampling remains the ideal in medical research, allowing for the most accurate, unbiased results. Researchers must carefully design their studies to ensure randomness, often using techniques to simulate random assignment. True randomization is difficult to achieve, but it is critical for producing reliable, generalizable findings. Further, randomization is a statistical process where a random mechanism may be employed [13].

## Discussion

The reliance on convenience and non-random sampling in medical research can be likened to a boat with leaks covered by patches. While some methods come closer to randomization, they often fall short. The inability to replicate many studies, as highlighted by Ioannidis and others, raises concerns about the reliability of published research. Statistical tests like chi-squared or Fischer Corrected can help assess data, but randomness remains the key to producing trustworthy results [14].

There is hope, however, for improving research methodologies. As noted by Babalola, Nawanzu, and Serpa (2021), the current phase of social science research is evolving, with new approaches emerging to address these concerns [15].

## Conclusion

A perfect random sample means that the total is randomly chosen from a target population. Other strategies are used with differing outcomes. Some are superior to others. However, they do not mean that these alternatives can match a true random sample.

## References

1. Nikopoula (2023) What is Convenience Sampling? Definition, Definions/examples, Scribners 6(2).
2. Yates D, Moore D, Starne D (2008) The Practice if Statistics, 3rd Freeman, ISBN 978-0-7167.7009-2

3. Crossman A (2020) Understanding Purposive Sampling, Thought. Co.com pp. 3-19.
4. Cherry, Gans (2024) How Do Cross- sectional Studies, Work Very Well, Mind 6(23).
5. Thomas L (2023) Longitudinal Study, Definition, Approaches, Scribber 6(22).
6. Simkus, Julia (2023) Panel Study, Defintion, and Sample Approaches, Simply Psychology, London 6(5/31).
7. Saudi J (2016) Basic Concepts, Sample Size Calculations, Journal of Anathesisa 6(8/3): (328-333).
8. (2024) Meta-Analysis, George Washington University, Washington D.C 10/18.
9. Hassan, M (2023) Systematic Sampling 3/25.
10. Thomas, Lauren (2023) Cluster Sampling, A step-by-Step Guide with Examples, Scribbr.Com pp. 6-22.
11. (2024) Stratified Sample, Wikipedia.
12. (2024) Randomness, Wikipedia.
13. (2024) Randomnization, Wikipedia.
14. Ioannidis J (2016) Why Most Clinical Research Is Not Useful, PLOS MEDICINE 13(6): 100249.
15. Babalos S, Nwanzu C (2021) The Current Phase of Social Science: A Thematic Overview of the Literature, Cogent Social Science 7(1): 1-21.



**DOI:** 10.32474/JCCM.2024.05.000219