An archive of organic and inorganic chemical sciences
DOI: 10.32474/AOICS.2021.05.000212
ISSN: 2637-4609
Review Article

Bio-Pesticides: Natural Strategies for Agricultural Sustainabilities in the Developing Countries

Udemezue JC[1]*, Odia FN[2], Azodo NT[1], Eluagu CJ[1], Onwuneme NA[1], and Mbah CG[3]

[1]*National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, Nigeria*

[2]*Department of Agricultural and Bioenvironmental Engineering Technology, Delta State Polytechnic, Ogwashiuku*

[3]*Department of Chemical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Oko, Anambra State*

***Corresponding author:** Udemezue JC, National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, Nigeria

Received: June 7, 2021 **Published:** June 23, 2021

Abstract

The basic goal of sustainable development was to create a nexus between socially acceptable economic growth and environmental management. Within this framework, agriculture would be created to achieve profitability, community well-being and environmental safety. Agricultural sustainability is targeted at increasing the yield of food and fiber crops thereby reducing the incidence of pests and diseases to such a degree that they do not cause extensive damage to crop and environments. Overdependence on chemical pesticides for food production has caused serious health and environmental problems and these propelled researchers to look for better alternatives to synthetic pesticides. Bio-pesticides are materials with pesticidal properties that originate from natural living organisms, including microorganisms, plants, and animals. Bio-pesticides can make important contribution to sustainable agriculture and help to reduce over reliance on chemical pesticides that impose environmental pollution and health hazard at the detriment of mankind. To highlight the needs of bio-pesticides for the interest of man and his environment, this paper used analytical approach to review the followings: bio-pesticide as a concept, potentials of bio-pesticides, categories of bio-pesticides and agricultural sustainability as regard to bio-pesticides application.

Keywords: Bio Pesticides; Agriculture; Environment; Sustainable Development; Crop Protections

Introduction

Agriculture remains important tools for nation building due its ability to ensure food security, poverty alleviation and conservation of the vital natural resource on which the world's present and future generation will be entirely dependent upon for their survival and wellbeing. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) of the United Nations highlighted the need to increase world food production by 70% as to meet up with the growing demand of food caused by the over growing global population Kumar s [27] Increasing food production should be the primary objective of all the countries, as the global population is expected to reach 10 billion by 2050 Kumar [28]. Before 19th century, most food in the world was organically produced using organic manure, human and animal power. Thereafter, the tremendous increase in the human population necessitated the use of modern technologies in agriculture production system to balance the need of food for human consumptions as well as commercial purposes. Improving crop yield to an industrial scale requires the deliberate application of conventional fertilizers and pesticides. Modern agriculture which mainly relies on extensive use of external inputs like hybrid seeds, fertilizers and pesticides for better production has been of great help in alleviating hunger from the world in the last century, but this has not benefited modern agriculture, since it has led to the emergence of several pests and diseases. Pests are any species of living agents that cause damage to crop and their stored products. Some of these agents include fungi, bacteria, nematodes, weeds, rodents, and insects. According to [46], pests account for 30% loss of potential yield (with major loss from developing countries) and 14% damage in storage pests Jankielsohn. This has later raised concern about sustainable development, considered as the judicious exploitation of the environment for the benefit of both the present and future generations Dhakal et al. [13]; Emmanuel, et al. [14]. The central message of sustainable development was to create a nexus between socially acceptable economic growth and environmental management. Within this framework, agriculture would be tied to achieve profitability, community well-being and environmental safety Emmanuel, et al. [14]. Agricultural sustainability is targeted at increasing the yield of food and fiber crops thereby reducing the incidence of pests and diseases to such a degree that they do not cause extensive damage to crop. Overdependence on chemical pesticides for food production has caused serious health and environmental problems. This prompted researchers to look for better alternatives to synthetic pesticides Ansar, et al. [3]. Bio-pesticides are materials with pesticidal properties that originate from natural living organisms, including microorganisms, plants, and animals. Bio-pesticides can make important contribution to sustainable agriculture and help to reduce over reliance on chemical pesticides that impose environmental pollution and health hazard at the detriment of mankind. To highlight the needs of bio-pesticides for the interest of man and his environment, this paper used analytical approach to review the followings: bio-pesticide as a concept, potentials of bio-pesticides, categories of bio-pesticides and agricultural sustainability as regard to bio-pesticides.

Bio-pesticides

Different scholars, various national and international organizations defined the term bio-pesticides to fascinate their own point of view, but the most important thing is that all of which embrace reference to the natural or biological origin of the active ingredient. Bio-pesticides are made from naturally occurring substances that controls pests by non-toxic mechanisms as well as eco-friendly manner. They may be derived from animals (e.g., nematodes), plants (*Chrysanthemum*, *Azadirachta*) and microorganisms (e.g., *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *Trichoderma,* Nucleopolyhedrosis virus), and include living organisms (natural enemies) and their products (phytochemicals, microbial products) or byproducts (semi chemicals) Kumar [28]. Therefore, bio-pesticides pose less threat to the environment and human health. They are generally less toxic than chemical pesticides, often target specific, have little or no residual effects including acceptability for use in organic farming. According to Environment Protection Agency USA "Bio-pesticides are certain types of pesticides derived from natural materials such as animals, plants, bacteria, and certain minerals". Bio-pesticides are naturally occurring organisms or bio-based formulations that control pests through different mechanisms of action Tijjani et al. [61]. Bio-pesticides are materials with pesticidal characters that extracted from natural living organisms like microorganisms, plants, and animals. They are the derivatives of plants, microorganisms, and insects. Biopesticides are products and by-products of naturally occurring substances such as insects, nematodes, microorganisms, plants as well as semi chemicals Lengai et al. [32]. According to CropWatch [10], bio-pesticides are anything that kills a pest and is biological in origin as opposed to being synthesized in a laboratory. In the potato industry, the best-known bio-pesticide is referred to as Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis). This is an example of a microbial bio-pesticide. B. thuringiensis is a soil bacterium, toxic to many insect larvae. There are several Bt-products registered on potatoes for foliar applications such as DiPel, Du-Ter and Javelin. Insect-killing genes of B. thuringiensis have also been introduced into the genome of several crops including potato, for example the New Leaf clones of several cultivars. As such, Bt has shown to be most effective. Generally, bio-pesticides are made of living things found in nature. They tend to pose fewer risks than conventional chemicals. Very small quantities can be effective, and they tend to break down more quickly, which implies less pollution. Some bio-pesticides are targeted in their activity to work on a small number of species. Therefore, users need more knowledge to use bio-pesticides effectively, this is because they are often most effectively used as part of an Integrated Pest Management approach.

Categories of bio-pesticides and their processes of action

Based on the nature and origin of the active ingredients, bio-pesticides fall into several categories such as botanicals, antagonists, compost teas, growth promoters, predators, and pheromones (Semeniuc, Pop and Rotar et al. [52]. Plants and microorganisms are the major sources of bio-pesticides due to the high components of bioactive compounds and antimicrobial agents Nefzi, Abdallah et al. [41]. The active compounds in plants are phenols, quinones, alkaloids, steroids, terpenes, alcohols and saponins. According to European Union, bio-pesticides have been divided into four categories: (a) Products based on pheromone and other semi chemical (for mass trapping or trap cropping), (b) Products containing a microorganism, (c) Products based on plant extracts, (d) other novel alternative products. On the other hand, Environment Protection Agency (EPA, 2003) recognizes three categories of bio-pesticides: Microbial pesticides, Plant-incorporated protectants (PIP) and Biochemical Pesticides. Bio-pesticides can be either microbial, biochemical, or plant-incorporated protectant (PIP) bio-pesticides. Their modes of action come under five groups: neuromuscular toxins, metabolic poisons, gut disruptors, growth regulators, and nonspecific multi-site inhibitors based on the physiological processes they affect Spark et al. [58]. Microbial bio-pesticides exert their control through antagonism, predation, parasitism, and antibiosis for a natural substance to be considered as a biochemical bio-pesticide, its mechanism of action must be nontoxic Ivase et al. [21]; Mishra et al. [37]; Inam-ul-Haq,Hyderm et al. [20]. Plant-incorporated protectants are dependent on the incorporated molecule which may be derived from microorganisms or plants.

Microbial Bio-Pesticides

Microbial bio-pesticides can be bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa and nematodes, or compounds derived from these organisms that influence pest activities, through competition, pathogenicity, or inhibitory toxins. These agents are broadly divided into multifactorial microbial generalists and hyper parasitic microbial specialists. The generalists control a wider range of pests whereas the specialists act against a particular pest. More than 3000 microbes have been recognized to cause diseases in insects implicating two major groups of nematodes (Steinernema; 55 species and Heterorhabditis; 12 species), more than 100 bacteria, 800 fungi, 1000 protozoa, and 1000 viruses Nawaz et al. [40]; Marche et al. [35]; Ruiu [48]. Specific examples are Bacillus thuringiensis, Paenibacillus (bacteria), HearNPV (Baculovirus), Metarhizium anisopliae, Verticillium (fungi), Heterorhabditis, Steinernema (nematodes), Nosema, Vairimorpha (protozoa), Chlorella, Anabaena. This category of bio-pesticides has the advantages of specificity (non-pathogenic to non-target), synergisms (can be used alongside synthetic pesticides), eco-friendliness (their residue has no negative impact on the ecosystem or ecoreceptors), permanent effects (the microorganism becomes an integral component of the insect population or its habitat exhibiting the inhibitory effects) and growth improvement to plants Nawaz, et al. [39]. However, understanding of microbial pesticides could be hampered by challenges such as detailed scientific research, ecological study, and mass production technologies. These challenges may differ from the known and common entomopathogenic microorganisms Haase, Sciocco-Cap, et al. [17]. The bacteria B. thuringiensis is entomopathogenic and produces Bt toxins. When insects ingest Bt toxins, the following sequence of events occurs binding of the toxins to the midgut receptors, a pore-forming process is triggered, disruption of the intestinal barrier functions and finally infestation leading to the death of insects. A similar mechanism is confirmed in mosquito and blackfly control with Lysinibacillus sphaericus (formally Bacillus sphaericus) active agent. In this example, the complementary biosynthesis of crystal proteins (BinA and B) and Mtx (mosquitocidal toxin) act as the insecticidal toxins Ruiu [48]. In the instances of fungal infection, the host cuticle serves as a point of contact to fungi, and when the environmental conditions are favorable, fungal spores and conidia germinate. The enzymatic and mechanical actions enhance the penetration of the fungi into the host body. As a result, these, the mycelia develop internally giving rise to different types of spores, conidia, metabolites, toxins, and virulence factors Ruiu [48]. Baculoviruses exert their effects via the production of crystalline occlusion bodies, possessing infectious particles, in the host cell. Once contaminated food is ingested, the occlusion bodies within the midgut release virions (occlusion derived viruses; ODVs) affecting the membranes of microvillar epithelial cells through the action of their envelope proteins Townsend et al. [63]. The cadaver of the affected insects liquefies thereby dispersing the virus particle in the environment.

Biochemical Bio-Pesticide

Biochemical bio-pesticides are substances of natural origin with active agents to control pests by mechanisms that are not toxic to the host, the environment, and humans Kumar [26]; Leahy et al. [30]. Based on this, a natural chemical can be considered a biopesticide if it acts as an attractant, deterrents repellant, antifeedant, suffocant, confusants, arrestants and desiccant as well Stankovic et al. [59]. Being natural implies that such chemicals would be discrete or mixed bioactive substances from nature. However, a synthetic analogue that is identical to a natural compound, both structurally and functionally exhibits the same mode of action. Certain factors have made some synthetic analogues of naturally occurring substances to dominate the commercial market Dang, et al. [11]. Although toxicity is a subjective term, a substance could be said to be nontoxic if direct lethality of the target host does not arise because of the chemical or biological interference of the substance active ingredients with the physiology of the target pest. This definition does not guarantee the absence of ill-fated biochemical and metabolic reactions in the target pest organism by the presumed nontoxic substance. Instead, the initiation of such ill-fated reactions is linked to one or more physical processes attributable to the substance. For instance, essential oil causes asphyxia (a physical process) which obstructs pest respiration leading to death. A substance still merits the nontoxic status if its active ingredients invoke biochemical reactions that interfere with the behavior or reproductive system of the target pests without resulting in death. A substance is environmentally safe if it is exogenous to that environment and has no impact on the physicochemical signature of the environment or affects the ecological services provided by that environment causes no distortion or harm to ecological receptors including wildlife and humans. Chemicals that pass these criteria of naturalness, nontoxicity and eco-friendliness are semi chemicals (pheromones and allelochemicals), essential oil (from neem, sour orange), insect growth regulators (juvenile hormones, chitin synthesis inhibitors), plant growth-promoting regulators (Rhizobacteria) and natural minerals (diatomaceous earth, kaoline). The semiochemical mode of action (MoA) is concerned with the disruption of hormones and neuropeptides associated with metamorphosis and insects' growth. The MoA of mineral-based insecticides (kaoline, insecticide soaps, diatomaceous earth) is mostly physical. The abrasive nature and sorption properties of diatomaceous earth, and the waxy layer of insects are damaged giving way for desiccation and eventual death Nukenine, et al. [44]; Sousa, et al. [57]. Similarly, kaoline exerts its insecticidal effect through its sorption property, which causes desiccation in insects. Besides, surface activity, the coating property of kaoline can cause reduced sublethal effects, repellence, and oviposition deterrence. The mode of action of insecticidal soap is expressed through cuticle dissolution leading to suffocation and desiccation. Bioactive compounds in botanical extracts can cause inhibition of hyphal growth, structural modifications of mycelia, changes in the cell wall, partitioning of cell membranes, and separation of the cytoplasmic membrane in entomopathogenic fungi Lengai et al. [32]. Plant extracts apart from inducing behavioral changes (as it concerns feeding habit, oviposition, and mating behavior) in insect pests also inhibit insect reproduction, growth, and development. Essential oils act as antifeedants, repellants, and oviposition deterrents. Besides, they possess active ingredients that make them larvicidal, ovicidal and insecticidal thereby displaying properties that interfere in all stages of insect metamorphosis Sharma, et al. [53]. The MoA of semi chemicals acts by inhibiting lipid biosynthesis resulting in a significant decrease in total lipids in immature insects, disruption and prevention of metamorphosis caused by the binding of juvenile hormone analogues to the receptor of juvenile hormone in insects Jindra et al. [22], and inhibition of molting and chitin synthesis which determines growth and development of insects Ullah, et al. [64].

Plant-Incorporated Protectants

A plant-incorporated protectant (PIP) is a biopesticide generated by a gene inserted into a plant through transgenesis

Ibrahim et al. [19]. It does not require killing the pest but keeps the plant unsuitable for an attack. In some cases, the protected plant may act as a repellant or disrupt the normal physiology of the insect pests when insects ingest PIPs. Once it is ingested it overcomes the digestive and physical barriers and then gets to the target site where it acts. The digestive system has been confirmed as a strong determinant of insect vulnerability and susceptibility therefore, gut function disruption has been a common theme in the development and discovery of PIPs Nelson et al. [43]. Insecticidal proteins, especially Bt, are suitable for application in PIPs and are thus being explored in pest control Koch et al. [25]. The insecticidal property of Bacillus thuringiensis was first discovered in 1902 against silkworm (Bombyx mori) and from then the search for Bt strains as an insect control agent has continued Jisha et al. [23]. The insecticidal proteins from Bt are effective, diverse, and specific thus they are widely used as a model in PIP biotechnology. According to the findings of Schwnek et al. [49] Bt has demonstrated non-negligible pathogenic potentials. The insecticidal crystal protein produced by Bt is known as Cry proteins, they are diverse and therefore exhibit insect selectivity. For example, there are those that are selective for Lepidoptera, Coleoptera and those for Diptera Maciel et al. [34]. presently, not less than 70 classes (based on sequence homologies and target selectivity) of Cry proteins have been used to protect corn, cotton, potato, soybean, and other crops (Pardo-Lopez et al., 2013). Cry proteins are toxins produced during the sporulation period, but toxins produced during the vegetative phase are called vegetative insecticidal proteins (Vips) and are commonly used in PIPs. According to Shingote, et al. [58]; Sopko, et al. [58], More than 50 Vips proteins, including Vips 1, Vips 2 and Vips 3, have been reported to be effective in plant protection. Other insecticidal proteins from other bacteria proved to be effective in transgenic control are toxic complex (Tc) proteins expressed by Photprhabdus and Xenorhabdus. Also, plants possess transgenic enzyme inhibitors that have been explored in PIP technology,such as αamylase inhibitors. Mir1-CP protease from maize, enhancing protease from Baculovirus and indicated potency in protecting plants through the PIP technology. Besides, double stranded ribonucleic acids (dsRNAs) are commonly used as approved PIPs due to the rapid progress in ascertaining RNAi biological processes. The dsRNA triggers host-induced gene silencing and protein synthesis inhibition which improves endogenous gene expression in plants while bringing about pest mortality within the plants Parker et al. [45]; Raruang et al. [47]. The Bt mode of action could be interpreted as the correlation of the Cry protein ingestion and insect susceptibility. Immediately the Cry protein reaches the mid gut after ingestion, it attacks the “brush border” epithelium with the attendant manifestation of feeding cessation with the right concentration of the toxin. At this point, ATPases that concerned with active transport, become inhibited, followed by modulation of endogenous potassium channels and pore formation that occasionally leads to uncontrolled ionic flux, the collapse of normal cellular function and death Lee et al. [31]; Knaak et al. [24]. However, the Cry proteins exist in different classes and structures with structure-dependent toxicities specific to insect orders. For example, Cry 3 and Cry 1 proteins are toxic to Coleoptera and Lepidoptera, respectively.

Potentials of bio-pesticides and economic importance of insect-pests

Bio-pesticides can make important contribution to sustainable agriculture and help reduce reliance on chemical pesticides. Microbial insecticide such as Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) produces a proteinic toxin which induces paralysis of the midgut and brings about cessation in feeding after being ingested by insect pests. Other promising candidates are Beauveria bassiana and Metarrhizium anisopliae. The spores penetrate the host cuticle, once inside the body, producing toxic metabolites called beauvericin (B. bassiana) and destruxins(M. anisopliae) responsible for death of the insects. Baculoviruses (Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus and Granulosis Virus) are safe to human beings and wildlife, their specificity is very narrow. They do not infect beneficial insects and have capacity to persist in the environment, making them very suitable for use in sustainable agriculture. Semi chemicals such as attractants and pheromones, and botanicals are important sources of agrochemicals used for the management of insect pests. They degrade rapidly and therefore, are considered safer than chemical pesticides to the environment Ansar, et al. [4]. Presently, great emphasis is given on organically produced food, conservation of biodiversity, environment protection and sustainable agriculture. Bio-pesticides and bio-control agents are the tools to meet to these challenges. These are the renewable alternative to conventional pesticides. Bio-pesticides are beneficial in view of their less toxicity, Eco safety, specificity, reduced number of applications, no resistance in pests, increased yields and quality and higher value of produce for exports and suitability for rural masses. It takes care of losses of crops, losses of exports, losses of man hours and lives and losses of beneficial, natural parasites and predators. When used as a component of IPM, efficacy of bio-pesticides can be equal to the conventional pesticides, especially for crops like fruits, vegetables, nuts, and flowers. By combining performance and environmental safety, bio-pesticides perform effectively with the flexibility of minimum application restrictions, and superior resistance management potential Dhakal et al. [13]. Insect-pests cause huge global losses to crop. However, more than 180 host plants including cotton and chickpea are attacked by cotton bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera) with an economic loss of $2 billion on an annual basis Tay et al. [60] while onion thrips (Trips tabaci) ranked top as the most important pest of onion Negash et al. [42] and other plant hosts. Research has showed that more than 500 host plants belonging to 60 plant families suffer pestilent attack from tobacco whitefly (Bemisia tabaci) along with the potential of reducing crop yield up to 50% Gangwar et al. [16]. Above 200 plants, including tomato and common bean are destroyed by Tetranychus urticae commonly known as the two-spotted spider mite which has resulted in a control cost of $400 million per year Litskas et al. [33]. An annual budget of between $4 and $5 billion has been estimated to cover weekly insecticide application and yield lost to the insecticide-resistant diamondback moth Zalucki et al. [66]. which destroys more than 15 genera of plants Willis [65], including Brassica (cabbage). More so, Spodoptera litura, commonly known as taro caterpillar, has been reported to cause 0.85 million tonnes of loss per year in an arable field of 1.46 million hectares planted with soybean and cotton Sharma et al. [53]. The polyphagous S. litura covers more than 120 species of plants as a pest Bragard et al. [7]. The red flour beetle, a well-known secondary pest, feeds on stored food products such as dry fruits, cereals, and cocoa beans. Myzus persicae, the green peach aphid, is a resistant global pest and virus vector that feeds on more than 400 plant species and their hosts are mostly essential crops such as oilseed rape, potato, and tomato. They have the potential to reduce yield up to 30% in unprotected farmland Silva et al. [55]; Alyokhin et al. [2]. A research carried out in 12 African countries indicated that in a year, losses incurred from maize cultivation and harvesting reach up to 4.1 to 17.7 million tons following an infestation of the fall armyworm Spdoptera frugiperda (Kassie et al., 2020). S. frugiperda is an invasive pest and can affect many crop types, especially maize and cotton De Groote, et al. [12]; Willis [65]. Thrips (Frankliniella occidentalis), Mediterranean fruit fly (Ceratitis capitate) and codling moth (Cydia pomonell) attack pepper, citrus, and apple, respectively with substantial damage done to more than 177 plant genera Abdullah et al. [1]; Willis [65]. The cowpea weevil is a pest that feeds on stored cowpea and legumes in the tropics with 10% to 50% storage loss Tiroesele et al. [62]; Sanon et al. [50]. The infestation of cotton, maize, and other plant species by the noctuid moth of the cotton leafworm (Spodotera littoralis) wildly occurs in Africa and Europe, thereby posing a threat to food security. Alfalfa and pea have been extensively attacked by Acyrthosiphon pisum (pea aphid). Citrus are attacked by the Asian citrus psyllid (Dtaphorina citri) and tomato leafminer (Tuta absoluta). Apart from the preference for the specific plants previously stated, these last three pestilent species have also affected more than 46 plant genera Willis [65]; Calevro et al. [8]; Biondi et al. [6].

Bio-pesticides as an innovation for agricultural Sustainability in the developing countries

Starting from the middle of 19th century to the present time, synthetic pesticides have been an agent for controlling the pests. There is no doubt that they have been promising agent for pest control but within more than 7 decades of their use, the synthetic pesticides have so thoroughly been distributed throughout the world, in fact they occur virtually everywhere. The land which used to be productive 50 years back is now showing declining yield. According to latest revision of the UN population prospects, the world population is projected to grow by 34 percent from 6.8 billion today to 9.1 billion in 2050. To feed this increasing population is a great challenge, especially when the productivity of land is declining day-by-day. Environmental pollution by agrochemical residues is increasing and eroding the natural resource base. Sustainability must be maintained in production system to feed the burgeoning population of the word. Sustainable agriculture systems are those which are economically viable and meet society's need for safe and nutritious food while maintaining or enhancing natural resources and the quality of the environment for future generation. It aims at producing food that is both nutritious and safe to human health. Since, all the materials are of natural or biological origin, it is very safe to use bio-pesticides as potential source of pest control in sustainable agriculture Dhakal et al. [13]. Therefore, agriculture that is directed to achieve economic viability, environmental objectives and social acceptability can be regarded as sustainable agriculture. Sustainable agriculture is directly or indirectly connected to all the various variants of sustainable development, including the 17 SDGs and Green Chemistry Perlatti, et al. [46]; Ganasen et al. [15]; Saleh et al. [49]. Green Chemistry (processing, synthesis and use of innocuous chemicals) directly connects sustainable agriculture and the SDGs in eight areas based on the consumption of possible renewable chemicals and the associated green technologies. These eight goals are SDG15, SDG14, SDG12 and SDG6 (concerned with the environmental conservation and restoration which mostly require organic materials), SDG7 and SDG9 (concerned with green energy and technology respectively), and SDG1 and SDG2 (concerned with improving agrotypes, which thus require bio-fertilizers and bio-pesticides). Bio-pesticides are naturally occurring organisms and substances derived from plants and natural inorganic compounds that can control pests' populations by different mechanisms of action Tijjani, et al. [61], excluding those that interfere with the nervous system of pests Marrone [36]. Bio-pesticides are of three categories: microbial bio-pesticides (microorganisms and their products that have pest controlling influences or compounds), biochemical bio-pesticides (natural substances with an active agent that control pests by non-toxic mechanisms), and plant incorporated protectants (transgenic plants) Kumar [26]; Ibrahim et al. [19]; Leahy, et al. [30]. These bio-based pesticides exert their effects through different modes of action, and they are classified into five groups: metabolic poison, growth regulators, gut disruptors, neuromuscular toxins, and non-specific multi-site inhibitors Spark et al. [58]. Moreover, in most cases, bio-pesticides have multiple modes of action against targeted pests making it difficult for the pest to develop resistance as is common with synthetic pesticides Hassan et al. [18] Due to their eco-friendliness and low toxicity properties, they do not harm not-targeted organisms including humans and the environment. They are also specific, easily biodegradable, pose no post-harvest contamination problem, as well as suitable in an integrated pest management system. The effectiveness of bio-pesticides is made pronounced in integrated pest management (IPM). IPM is a multifaceted approach that combines all suitable control methods, including cultural practices into one management portfolio Barzman et al. [5]. IPM implementation aims to obtain the best result at the lowest cost while maintaining environmental safety. Many authors and mechanized farmers have indicated that bio-pesticide-driven IPM is a prerequisite for sustainable agriculture if awareness and skills associated with the IPM are given at the right of place and time. Emmanuel et al. [14]

Conclusion

Presently, great emphasis is given on organically produced food, conservation of biodiversity, environment protection and sustainable agriculture. Bio-pesticides and bio-control agents are the tools to meet these challenges. Because these are the renewable alternative to conventional pesticides. Bio-pesticides are beneficial in view of their less toxicity, Eco safety, no resistance in pests, increased yields, and quality as well as higher value of produce for exports and suitability for rural masses. It takes care of losses of crops, losses of exports, losses of man hours and lives and losses of beneficial, natural parasites and predators. When used as a component of IPM, efficacy of bio-pesticides can be equal to the conventional pesticides, especially for crops like fruits, vegetables, nuts, and flowers. By combining performance and environmental safety, bio-pesticides perform effectively with the flexibility of minimum application restrictions, and superior resistance management potential. In view of these, this paper used analytical approach to review the followings: bio-pesticide as a concept, potentials of bio-pesticides, categories of bio-pesticides and agricultural sustainability as regard to bio-pesticides.

References

1. Abdullah Z S, Greenfield B P, Ficken K J (2015) A new attractant for monitoring western flower thrips, Frankliniella occidentalis in protected crops. Springerplus 4(1): 1-9.
2. Alyokhin A, Nault B, Brown B (2020) Soil conservation practices for insect pest management in highly disturbed agroecosystems–a review. Entomol Exp Appl 168(1): 7-27.
3. Ansar MS, Ahmad N, Hasan F (2011) Potential of Biopesticides in Sustainable Agriculture. Environmental Protection Strategies for Sustainable Development pp. 529-595.
4. Ansari MS, Ahmad N, Hasan F (2012) Potential of biopesticides in sustainable agriculture. In: Environmental Protection Strategies for Sustainable Development. Springer Dordrecht pp. 529-595.
5. Barzman M, Barberi P, Birch ANE (2015) Eight principles of integrated pest management. Agron Sustain Dev 35(4): 1199-1215.
6. Biondi A, Desneux N (2019) Special issue on Tuta absoluta: recent advances in management methods against the background of an ongoing worldwide invasion. J Pest Sci 92: 1313-1315.
7. Bragard C, Dehnen Schmutz KDI, Serio F (2019) Pest categorisation of Spodoptera litura. EFSA J 17(7): 1-11.
8. Calevro F, Tagu D, Callaerts P (2019) Acyrthosiphon pisum. Trends in Genet 35(10): 781.
9. Costa JAV, Freitas B C B, Cruz CG (2019) Potential of microalgae as biopesticides to contribute to sustainable agriculture and environmental development. J Environ Sci Health Part B 54(5): 366-375.
10. Cropwatch (2021) Nebraska Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources.
11. Dang CH, Nguyen CH, Im C (2016) Synthesis and application of pheromones for integrated pest management in Vietnam. Integrated Pest Management (IPM): Environ Sound Pest Manag 5: 103-127.
12. De Groote H, Kimenju S C, Munyua B (2020) Spread and impact of fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda JE Smith) in maize production areas of Kenya. Agric, Ecosystem Environ 292: 106804.
13. Dhakal R, Singh D N (2019) Biopesticides: A Key to Sustainable Agriculture. Int J Pure App Biosci 7(3): 391-396.
14. Emmanuel OF, Grace NI, Tonderayi M (2020) Biopesticides in sustainable agriculture: status and prospects. Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 November 2020.
15. Ganasen S, Velaichamy V (2016) Innovations in green chemistry towards sustainable development. OIDA Int J Sustain Dev 9(11): 11-14.
16. Gangwar RK, Gangwar C (2018) Lifecycle, distribution, nature of damage and economic importance of whitefly, Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius). Acta Sci Agric 2(4): 36-39.
17. Haase S, Sciocco Cap A, Romanowski V (2015) Baculovirus insecticides in Latin America: historical overview, status and future perspectives. Viruses 7(5): 2230-2267.
18. Hassan E, Gokçe A (2014) Production and consumption of biopesticides. In: Advances in Plant Biopesticides. Springer, New Delhi pp. 361-379.
19. Ibrahim RA, Shawer DM (2014) Transgenic Bt-plants and the future of crop protection (an overview). Int J Agric Food Res 3(1): 14-40.
20. Inam ul Haq M, Hyder S, Nisa T (2019) Overview of Biopesticides in Pakistan. In Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR): Prospects for Sustainable Agriculture. Springer Singapore pp 255-268.
21. Ivase TJP, Nyakuma BB, Ogenyi BU (2017) Current status, challenges, and prospects of biopesticide utilization in Nigeria. Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Agric Environ 9(1): 95-106.
22. Jindra M, Bittova L (2020) The juvenile hormone receptor as a target of juvenoid “insect growth regulators”. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 103(3): e21615.
23. Jisha VN, Smitha RB, Benjamin S (2013) An overview on the crystal toxins from Bacillus thuringiensis. Advan Microbiol 3(5): 462-472.
24. Knaak N, Franz AR, Santos GF (2010) Histopathology and the lethal effect of Cry proteins and strains of Bacillus thuringiensis Berliner in Spodoptera frugiperda. Braz J Biol 70(3): 677-684.
25. Koch MS, Ward JM, Levine SL (2015) The food and environmental safety of Bt crops. Front Plant Sci 6: 283.
26. Kumar S (2012) Biopesticides: a need for food and environmental safety. J Biofertil Biopestic 3(4): 1-3.
27. Kumar S (2013) The role of biopesticides in sustainably feeding the nine billion global populations. J Biofertil Biopestici 4: e114
28. Kumar S (2015) Biopesticide: An Environment Friendly Pest Management Strategy. J Biofertil Biopestici 6(1).
29. Kumar S (2015) Biopesticide: An Environment Friendly Pest Management Strategy. Journal of Biofertilizers & Biopesticides 6: 1.
30. Leahy J, Mendelsohn M, and Kough J (2014) Biopesticide oversight and registration at the US Environmental Protection Agency. In: Biopesticides: state of the art and future opportunities. American Chemical Society USA pp. 3-18.
31. Lee MK, Walters FS, Hart H (2003) The mode of action of the Bacillus thuringiensis vegetative insecticidal protein Vip3A differs from that of Cry1Ab δ-endotoxin. Appl Environ Microbiol 69(8): 4648-4657.
32. Lengai G, Muthomi J (2018) Biopesticides and Their Role in Sustainable Agricultural Production. Journal of Biosciences and Medicines 6: 7-41.
33. Liu S, Jaouannet M, Dempsey DA (2020) Research review paper RNA-based technologies for insect control in plant protection. Biotechnol Adv 39: 107463.
34. Maciel H, Zingaretti S, Maciel G (2014) Comparative analysis of the amino acid sequence of the Cry protein of codify the toxic protein to

insects of the orders Lepidoptera, Diptera and Lepidoptera/Diptera. BMC Proceedings 8 (4): 120.

35. Marche MG, Camiolo S, Porceddu A (2018) Survey of Brevibacillus laterosporus insecticidal protein genes and virulence factors. J Invert Pathol 155: 38-43.
36. Marrone PG (2019) Pesticidal natural products–status and future potential. Pest Manag Sci 75(9): 2325-2340.
37. Mishra RK, Bohra A, Kamaal N (2018) Utilization of biopesticides as sustainable solutions for management of pests in legume crops: achievements and prospects. Egypt J Biol Pest Cont 28(1): 3.
38. Mizubuti GSE, Junior VL, Forbes G A (2007) Management of Late Blight with Alternative Products. Pest Technology 2: 106-116.
39. Nawaz M, Mabubu JI, Hua H (2016) Current status and advancement of biopesticides: microbial and botanical pesticides. J Entomol Zool Stud 4(2): 241-246.
40. Nawaz M, Mabubu JI, Hua H (2016) Current status and advancement of biopesticides: microbial and botanical pesticides. J Entomol Zool Stud 4(2): 241-246.
41. Nefzi A, Abdallah BAR, Jabnoun Khiareddine H, Saidiana Medimagh S, Haouala R, et al. (2016) Antifungal Activity of Aqueous and Organic Extracts from Withania somnifera L. against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. radicislycopersici. J Microb Biochem Techno 8: 144-150.
42. Negash B, Azerefegn F, and Ayalew G (2020) Insecticide resistance management against thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on onion in the central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Int J Trop Insect Sci 5: 1-9.
43. Nelson ME, Alves AP (2014) Plant incorporated protectants and insect resistance. In: Insect Resistance Management. Academic Press pp. 99-147.
44. Nukenine EK, Goudoungou JW, Adler C (2010) Efficacy of diatomaceous earth and botanical powders against the maize weevil, Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on maize. Julius-Kühn-Archiv (425): 881.
45. Parker KM, Sander M (2017) Environmental fate of insecticidal plant-incorporated protectants from genetically modified crops: knowledge gaps and research opportunities.
46. Perlatti B, Forim MR, Zuin VG (2014) Green chemistry, sustainable agriculture, and processing systems: a Brazilian overview. Chem Biol Technol Agric 1(1): 5.
47. Raruang Y, Omolehin O, Hu D (2020) Host induced gene silencing targeting Aspergillus flavus aflM reduced aflatoxin contamination in transgenic maize under field conditions. Front Microbiol 11: 754.
48. Ruiu L (2018) Microbial biopesticides in agroecosystems. Agronomy 8(11): 235.
49. Saleh HEDM, Koller M (2018) Introductory chapter: principles of green chemistry. In Green Chemistry. IntechOpen.
50. Sanon A, Zakaria I, Clementine LDB (2018) Potential of botanicals to control Callosobruchus maculatus (Col.: Chrysomelidae, Bruchinae), a major pest of stored cowpeas in Burkina Faso: A review. Int J Insect Sci 10: 1179.
51. Sarma R, Adhikari K, Mahanta S (2019) Combinations of plant essential oil-based terpene compounds as larvicidal and adulticidal agent against Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae). Scientific Reports 9(1): 1-12.
52. Semeniuc CA, Pop CR, Rotar AM (2017) Antibacterial Activity and Interactions of Plant Essential Oil Combinations gainst Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis 25: 403-408.
53. Sharma A, Jaronski S, Reddy GVP (2019) Impact of granular carriers to improve the efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against wireworms in spring wheat. J Pest Sci.
54. Shingote PR, Moharil MP, Dhu male DR (2013) Distribution of vip genes, protein profiling and determination of entomopathogenic potential of local isolates of Bacillus thuringiensis. Bt Research 4(3): 14-20.
55. Silva AX, Bacigalupe LD, Luna Rudloff M (2012) Insecticide resistance mechanisms in the green peach aphid Myzus persicae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) II: costs and benefits. Plos One 7(6): e36810.
56. Sopko MS, Narva KE, Bowling AJ (2019) Modification of Vip3Ab1 C-terminus confers broadened plant protection from lepidopteran pests. Toxins 11(6): 316.
57. Sousa AH, Faroni LR, Andrade GS (2013) Bioactivity of diatomaceous earth to Sitophilus zeamais (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in different application conditions. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícolae Ambiental 17(9): 982-986.
58. Sparks TC, Nauen R (2015) Mode of action classification and insecticide resistance management. Pestici Biochem Physiol 121: 122-128.
59. Stankovic S, Kostic M, Kostic I (2020) Practical Approaches to Pest Control: The Use of Natural Compounds. In Pests-Classification, Management and Practical Approaches. IntechOpen.
60. Tay WT, Soria MF, Walsh T (2013) A brave new world for an old world pest: Helicoverpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. Plos One8(11): e80134.
61. Tijjani A, Bashir KA, Mohammed I (2016) Biopesticides for pests control: A review. J Biopest Agric, 3(1): 6- 13.
62. Tiroesele B, Thomas K, Seketeme S (2015) Control of cowpea weevil, Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae), using natural plant products. Insects 6(1): 77-84.
63. Townsend R J, Nelson T L, Jackson T A (2010) Beauveria brongniartii a potential biocontrol agent for use against manuka beetle larvae damaging dairy pastures on Cape Foulwind. New Zealand Plant Protec 63: 224-22.
64. Ullah F, Gul H, Yousaf H K (2019) Impact of low lethal concentrations of buprofezin on biological traits and expression profile of chitin synthase 1 gene (CHS1) in melon aphid, Aphis gossypii. Scientific Reports 9(1): 1-13.
65. Willis K J (2017) State of the world's plants report-2017. Royal Botanic Gardens.
66. Zalucki M, Shabbir A, Silva R (2012) Estimating the economic cost of one of the world's major insect pests, Plutella xylostella (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): just how long is a piece of string? J Econ Entomol 105(4): 1115-1129.

DOI: 10.32474/AOICS.2021.05.000212